



Motivation | Use | Value Study:

Technical Research Brief #3 — What do Parents Perceive is Valuable about Interactive Spaces in Art Museums, and How do they Perceive their Family Benefits from Visiting Them?

August 2011

Prepared by: Jessica Luke, PhD Cláudia Figueiredo, PhD Angelina Ong, MA

About the Institute for Learning Innovation: Established in 1986 as an independent non-governmental not-for-profit learning research and development organization, the Institute for Learning Innovation is dedicated to changing the world of education and learning by understanding, facilitating, advocating and communicating about free-choice learning across the life span. The Institute provides leadership in this area by collaborating with a variety of free-choice learning institutions such as museums, other cultural institutions, public television stations, libraries, communitybased organizations such as scouts and the YWCA, scientific societies and humanities councils, as well as schools and universities. These collaborations strive to advance understanding, facilitate and improve the learning potential of these organizations by incorporating free-choice learning principles in their work.



Table of Contents

Introduction	4
Research Purposes and Questions	4
Methods	5
Purpose of Brief	6
Study Sample	6
What do parents think is valuable about interactive spaces in art museums?	7
Measures	7
Overall Benefits	10
Benefits to Children	11
Benefits to Parents	16
Benefits to the Relationship Between Parents and Children	20
Conversations after the museum visit	21
How do the perceived benefits of interactive spaces in art museums interact with demogra	aphic
and psychographic participant variables?	26
Study Museum Membership x Benefits	
Other Museum Membership x Benefits	30
Previous Museum Visitation x Benefits	34
Interest in Art x Benefits	37
Group Composition x Benefits	38
How do the perceived benefits of interactive spaces in art museums interact with families	,
reasons for visiting the museum?	48
How do the perceived benefits of interactive spaces in art museums interact with participa	ants'
motivations for using those spaces?	
How do perceived benefits of interactive spaces in art museums relate to the nature of far	milies'
museum visit?	73
Total Number of Stops x Benefits	74
Position of Interactive Space X Benefits	76
Appendices	87
••	

List of Tables

Table 1:	Number of Onsite interviews and Number of Online Questionnaires	6
Table 2:	Composition and Reliability of Benefits to Children Scales	7
Table 3:	Composition and Reliability of Benefits to Parents Scales	8
Table 4:	Composition and Reliability of Benefits to Parent-Child Relationship Scales	9
Table 5:	Reliability of Overall Benefit Scales	10
Table 6:	Overall Benefit Scales	10
Table 7:	Post Hoc (Mean Difference I-J): Overall Benefit Scales by Study Museum	11
Table 8:	Benefits to Children During a Visit to the Interactive Space	12
Table 9:	Post Hoc (Mean Difference I-J): Benefits to Children by Study Museum	15



Table 10:	Benefits to Parents During a Visit to the Interactive Space	. 16
Table 11:	Post Hoc (Mean Difference I-J): Benefits to Parents by Study Museum	. 19
Table 12:	Benefits to the Parent-Child Relationship During a Visit to the Interactive Space.	. 20
Table 13:	Post Hoc (Mean Difference I-J): Benefits to the Parent-Child Relationship by Stu	dy
	Museum	. 21
Table 14:	Frequencies of Respondents' Direct Conversations	. 22
Table 15:	Frequencies of Respondents' Indirect Conversations	. 22
Table 16:	Frequencies of Conversations Between Respondents and Adults and Children	. 23
Table 17:	Frequencies of Conversations Between Respondents and Specific Relationship	
	Types	
Table 18:	Topics of Respondents' Direct Conversations	
Table 19:	Overall Benefits by Membership in the Study Museum	
Table 20:	Benefits to Children by Membership in the Study Museum	
Table 21:	Benefits to Parents by Membership in the Study Museum	. 28
Table 22:	Benefits to the Parent-Child Relationship by Membership in the Study Museum.	
Table 23:	Overall Benefits by Membership in Other Museums	
Table 24:	Benefits to Children by Membership in Other Museums	
Table 25:	Benefits to Parents by Membership in Other Museums	
Table 26:	Benefits to the Parent-Child Relationship by Membership in Other Museums	
Table 27:	Overall Benefits by Museum Visitation	
Table 28:	Benefits to Children by Museum Visitation	
Table 29:	Benefits to Parents by Museum Visitation	. 36
Table 30:	Benefits to the Parent-Child Relationship by Museum Visitation	
Table 31:	Overall Benefits by Interest in Art	
Table 32:	Benefits to Children by Interest in Art	. 37
Table 33:	Benefits to Parents by Interest in Art	
Table 34:	Benefits to the Parent-Child Relationship by Interest in Art	
Table 35:	Overall Benefits by Group Composition	
Table 36:	Post Hoc (Mean Difference I-J): Overall Benefits by Group Composition	
Table 37:	Benefits to Children by Group Composition	
Table 38:	Post Hoc (Mean Difference I-J): Benefits to Children by Group Composition	
Table 39:	Benefits to Parents by Group Composition	
Table 40:	Post Hoc (Mean Difference I-J): Benefits to Parents by Group Composition	. 46
Table 41:	Benefits to the Parent-Child Relationship by Group Composition	. 47
Table 42:	Post Hoc (Mean Difference I-J): Benefits to the Parent-Child Relationship by Gro	_
Table 43:	Overall Benefits by Motivation to Come to the Museum	. 49
Table 44:	Post Hoc (Mean Difference I-J): Overall Benefits by Motivation to Come to the	
	Museum	. 50
Table 45:	Benefits to Children by Motivation to Come to the Museum	. 52
Table 46:	Post Hoc (Mean Difference I-J): Benefits to Children by Motivation to Come to t	
	Museum	
Table 47:	Benefits to Parents by Motivation to Come to the Museum	
Table 48:	Post Hoc (Mean Difference I-J): Benefits to Parents by Motivation to Come to the	ne
	Museum	. 59



Table 49:	Benefits to the Parent-Child Relationship by Motivation to Come to the Museum.
Table 50:	Post Hoc (Mean Difference I-J): Benefits to the Parent-Child Relationship by
	Motivation to Come to the Museum
Table 51:	Overall Benefits by Motivation to Come to the Interactive Space 6
Table 52:	Post Hoc (Mean Difference I-J): Overall Benefits by Motivation to Come to the
	Interactive Space6
Table 53:	Benefits to Children by Motivation to Come to the Interactive Space 6
Table 54:	Post Hoc (Mean Difference I-J): Benefits to Children by Motivation to Come to the Interactive Space
Table 55:	Benefits to Parents by Motivation to Come to the Interactive Space
Table 56:	Post Hoc (Mean Difference I-J): Benefits to Parents by Motivation to Come to the
	Interactive Space
Table 57:	Benefits to the Parent-Child Relationship by Motivation to Come to the Interactiv Space
Table 58:	Overall Benefits by Number of Stops During that Visit
Table 59:	Benefits to Children by Number of Stops During that Visit
Table 60:	Benefits to Parents by Number of Stops During that Visit
Table 61:	Benefits to the Parent-Child Relationship by Number of Stops During that Visit 7
Table 62:	Overall Benefits by Position of the Interactive Space During That Visit 7
Table 63:	Post Hoc (Mean Difference I-J): Overall Benefits by Position of the Interactive
	Space During That Visit
Table 64:	Benefits to Children by Position of the Interactive Space During That Visit 7
Table 65:	Post Hoc (Mean Difference I-J): Benefits to Children by Position of the Interactive
	Space During That Visit 8
Table 66:	Benefits to Parents by Position of the Interactive Space During That Visit
Table 67:	Post Hoc (Mean Difference I-J): Benefits to Parents by Position of the Interactive
	Space During That Visit 8
Table 68:	Benefits to the Parent-Child Relationship by Position of the Interactive Space
	During That Visit
Table 69:	Post Hoc (Mean Difference I-J): Benefits to the Parent-Child Relationship by
	Position of the Interactive Space During That Visit



Introduction

Much of what we know about family learning in museums comes from studies conducted in science centers and children's museums. Only a handful of such evaluation studies have been conducted in art museums. Although there is a growing interest in establishing family-oriented, interactive galleries in art museum, little is known about the value these experiences add to visiting families.



As part of a **National Leadership Grant** from IMLS, the Institute for Learning Innovation and Audience Focus, Inc., partnered with three museums—the Frist Center for the Visual Arts, the High Museum of Art, and the Speed Art Museum—to conduct a three-year study to address this knowledge gap.

In 2007, a literature review was conducted to explore the areas of learning outcomes/visitor benefits in art museums, interactive museum experiences and intergenerational learning. While the review revealed a breadth of research and evaluation studies conducted in these fields, there was an absence of data on what families take away from their experiences in these unique spaces—the outcomes—and understanding the value that parents and caregivers ascribe to these places. To better understand the nature of these outcomes and allow for broader generalizations across our three partner museums, a quantitative approach was adopted for this study. This initiative led by ILI is known as the Motivation | Use | Value study, or MUV study and is paired with the Longitudinal Case Study conducted by Audience Focus, Inc.

Research Purposes and Questions

The MUV study focused on bringing greater clarity to three key research questions:

- 1. **WHO** are the families who visit interactive spaces in art museums and WHY do they visit them?
- 2. **HOW** do families use interactive spaces within art museums?
- 3. **WHAT** do parents perceive is valuable about interactive spaces in art museums, and how do they perceive their family benefits from visiting them?

We defined a family as an intergenerational group of 2 or more individuals with at least one child between the ages of 2-12 years. Throughout this brief, we will use the term family to denote such an intergenerational group.



Methods

Two methods were used to answer the above-mentioned questions: 1) on-site interviews with visiting parents/caregivers, aimed at understanding demographics, psychographics, motivations, and engagement with the museum's interactive space; and 2) a follow-up questionnaire administered online to the same parents/caregivers, focused on understanding the value and benefits that adults ascribe to the museum's interactive space.

The onsite interview was a structured instrument containing three parts. The first section asked visitors to reflect on their entire museum visit and recreate it chronologically using color-coded picture cards. Each card represented a stop on their visit and visitors put the cards in chronological order. While the entire group, including children, could participate in this activity, the primary adult participant in the group was responsible for confirming the completed path.

The second part of the onsite instrument required visitors to answer a series of psychographic questions including frequency of museum visits, museum memberships, motivations for visiting, and interest/background in art. The third part of the interview was demographic in nature, and asked adults for their age, sex, ethnicity, education level, and zip code along with information on how each member of the visiting group was related to the primary adult participant. At the end of the onsite exit interview, adults were asked to provide their contact information, including email, so that they could participate in the follow-up questionnaire.

The offsite questionnaire was administered online, although a paper version was made available to those who requested it. The questionnaire asked participants to indicate what was most valuable about their visit to the museum's interactive gallery. Rating statements were organized into three groups: 1) what is valuable for your child, 2) what is valuable for you (as a parent/caregiver), and 3) what is valuable for your group together. Finally, participants were asked to answer some open-ended questions about their visit to the interactive space and how they described their visit to others. The questionnaire was administered via email one week after the participant's museum visit allowing them time for reflection and enabling them to complete the study at their convenience.

Incentives were used to recruit study participants. Specifically, all participants who completed the offsite questionnaire were eligible for a monthly drawing for a \$100 American Express gift card.

All data were collected by one of three trained Research Assistants – Kim Jameson at the Frist Center for the Visual Arts, Gwen Kelly at the Speed Art Museum, and Sofia Broman at the High Museum of Art. Data were collected from April 2009 through May 2010, during randomly assigned blocks of time during each month. In this way, we could be sure that the study sample represented the larger population of families visiting the interactive space in a given year.



Purpose of Brief

For the purposes of sharing study results on the FLING website and within the FLING toolkit, ILI decided to present top-line findings in the form of research briefs. We prepared three briefs, one for each of our primary research questions. Each brief takes a "just the facts" approach to presenting basic frequencies for all relevant variables; minimal interpretation and context is provided, since these briefs are intended to provide a panoramic view of the data (instruments and protocols can be found on the FLING website www.familiesinartmuseums.org). More specific snapshots of the findings will be offered in peer-reviewed journal articles. These articles will tell more detailed, contextualized stories about the research questions, moving beyond the straightforward frequencies within the research briefs to more field-wide discussion of what the findings mean for both research and practice in museums.

This third technical brief summarizes results from our third and final research question: What do parents perceive is valuable about interactive spaces in art museums, and how do they perceive their family benefits by visiting them? Information in this brief includes parents' perceptions of what they valued most about their experiences in the interactive space, and what they think those experiences have meant to their family. Where available, information is provided for each partner museum as well as in aggregate.

Study Sample

Across all three partner museums, a total of 2,408 people participated in the onsite exit interviews and 1,513 people completed the online questionnaire—a 62% conversion rate. We were able to match 1,503 sets of visitor data. Table 1 shows the breakdown of onsite and offsite data collected across sites.

Table 1: Number of Onsite interviews and Number of Online Questionnaires

TOTALS TO DATE (from 04/27 - 5/31)	TOTAL	Frist	High	Speed*
Onsite interviews	2408	980	869	559
Offsite questionnaires	1513	640	541	332
Matched onsite/offsite	1503	633	540	330
Conversion from ONSITE to OFFSITE	62%	64%	62%	59%

^{*} Due to the closure of the Speed Art Museum for weather damage repair and renovation, MUV data was not collected between mid-August and the end of October 2009. The figure reported here represents data collected between May and the first week of August. Data collection resumed November 3rd, 2009.



What do parents think is valuable about interactive spaces in art museums?

Measures

To measure parents' perceptions of value, ILI researchers developed a series of scaled items from exploratory interviews with parents and from the literature¹. These scales were grouped into three dimensions: a) the benefits of the interactive space to children; b) the benefits to parents; and c) the benefits for the parent-child relationship.

Table 2 below presents the scales within the "benefits to children" dimension.

Table 2: Composition and Reliability of Benefits to Children Scales

Benefits to Children	n	Mean	SD	Cronbach's alpha
Art Skills – Art Making	1512	5.67	1.247	4 items, alpha=.814, n=1460
make art	1492	5.27	1.350	
practice different art techniques	1494	5.98	.992	
learn by doing	1501	5.80	1.091	
experiment with various materials	1501	5.80	1.080	
Art Skills – Observation	1510	4.93	1.333	2 items, alpha=810, n=1480
look carefully at a work of art	1490	4.79	1.504	
observe the details in a work of art	1500	5.07	1.394	
Attitudes - Art	1510	5.70	0.995	3 items, alpha=823, n=1470
feel excited about art	1493	5.69	1.157	
become curious about art	1495	5.77	1.118	
further their interest in art	1500	5.65	1.150	
Attitudes - Art Museums	1511	5.82	0.951	3 items, alpha=812, n=1461
feel excited about coming to the museum	1493	5.87	1.059	
feel that art museums are fun	1500	5.92	1.057	
feel welcome in the museum	1489	5.68	1.204	
Complement Home-School	1509	4.94	1.173	4 items, alpha=.747, n=1452
have experiences that build on what they	1501	5.10	1.334	
learn at home				
use materials they don't have at home	1497	5.15	1.523	
use materials they don't have at school	1483	4.77	1.684	
have experiences that build on what they	1489	4.74	1.643	
learn at school				
Knowledge – Art	1510	5.23	1.100	3 items, alpha= .766, n=1480
become more aware of different types of	1497	5.52	1.208	
art				
learn about the elements of art (e.g., line,	1500	5.28	1.316	
shape color, texture)	1502	4.90	1 111	
learn how art is made	1503	4.89	1.441	

¹ Note that we use the term parent broadly to refer to any significant adult accompanying a child aged 2-12 years to the art museum. For more information on who those significant adults were, see Research Brief #1.



Self – Expression	1511	5.13	1.123	4 items, alpha=.784, n=1476
express themselves creatively	1501	5.83	1.044	
learn something about themselves	1498	5.01	1.524	
feel like they accomplished something	1497	5.11	1.490	
express their feelings	1502	4.56	1.618	
Self – Independence	1511	5.16	1.130	3 items, alpha=.722, n=1482
choose what they want to do	1506	5.43	1.269	
figure things out for themselves	1492	5.21	1.309	
work independently	1501	4.81	1.610	
Self – Perspective Taking	1511	5.06	1.191	3 items, alpha=782, n=1456
open their minds to new ideas	1505	5.49	1.252	
think about a problem in a new way	1496	4.90	1.445	
consider a different point of view	1475	4.76	1.563	
Self – Social Skills	1510	3.77	1.572	4 items, alpha=923, n=1475
meet new people	1499	3.74	1.693	
engage in conversations with other children	1496	3.82	1.707	
practice getting along with others	1500	4.09	1.780	
play with other children	1504	3.41	1.794	

The scales within the "benefits to parents" dimension are presented in Table 3.

Table 3: Composition and Reliability of Benefits to Parents Scales

Benefits to Parents		Mean	SD	Cronbach's alpha
Art Skills – Art Making		4.54	1.691	2items, alpha=.958, n=1484
practice different art techniques	1501	4.47	1.725	
experiment with various materials	1490	4.60	1.729	
Art Skills – Observation	1509	4.63	1.524	2 items, alpha=.835, n=1485
observe the details in a work of art	1492	4.59	1.657	
look carefully at a work of art	1502	4.66	1.633	
Attitudes - Art Museums	1510	5.26	1.285	3 items, alpha=.837, n=1477
feel excited about coming to the museum	1497	5.14	1.517	
feel welcome in the museum	1508	5.38	1.465	
feel that art museums are fun	1489	5.27	1.460	
Attitudes - Art	1510	4.91	1.411	3 items, alpha=.865, n=1473
further my interest in art	1498	4.55	1.654	
feel excited about art	1501	5.21	1.532	
become curious about art	1490	4.98	1.582	
Knowledge – Art	1509	4.54	1.508	3 items, alpha=.893, n=1471
learn about the elements of art (e.g., line,	1495	4.30	1.718	
shape, color, texture)				
learn how art is made	1488	4.60	1.660	



Benefits to Parents	n	Mean	SD	Cronbach's alpha
become more aware of different types of	1500	4.72	1.607	
art				
Facilitator Art Learning	1511	5.51	1.057	3 items, alpha=.690, n=1477
feel that I can facilitate conversations	1496	5.29	1.375	
about art with my child(ren)				
feel confident taking my child(ren) into	1503	5.15	1.573	
the rest of the museum				
facilitate a learning experience for my	1496	6.10	.974	
child(ren)				
Successful Parenting	1509	4.57	1.526	3 items, alpha=.855, n=1476
better understand what I am good at, as a	1491	4.12	1.792	
parent/caregiver				
learn something about myself, as a	1498	4.53	1.764	
parent/caregiver				
feel like a good parent/ caregiver	1503	5.06	1.634	
Learning about Child	1512	5.35	1.160	4 items, alpha=.874, n=1461
see how my child(ren) solve problems	1487	5.14	1.424	
better understand my child(ren)'s	1504	5.28	1.338	
interests				
gain insight into my child(ren)'s	1496	5.27	1.450	
personality				
observe what my child(ren) are capable of	1503	5.71	1.193	
doing				

Table 4 shows the scales within the final dimension, "benefits to the parent/child relationship."

Table 4: Composition and Reliability of Benefits to Parent-Child Relationship Scales

Benefits to Relationship	n	Mean	SD	Cronbach's alpha
Bonding	1511	5.80	1.004	5 items, alpha=.879, n=1465
have fun together	1506	6.12	.937	
learn about each other	1496	5.23	1.539	
spend quality time together	1506	6.15	.984	
get closer to each other	1495	5.62	1.388	
play together	1502	5.89	1.141	
Conversations	1510	5.14	1.353	2 items, alpha=.692, n=1490
talk about things that are important to us	1503	4.86	1.684	
talk about art	1497	5.41	1.385	
Group Identity	1511	4.85	1.472	3 items, alpha=.860, n=1494
express our values	1506	4.80	1.683	
strengthen our values	1502	4.79	1.706	
make art a central part of who we are	1504	4.98	1.578	
Memory Making	1510	5.97	1.017	2 items, alpha=.881, n=1505
build a shared memory	1509	5.90	1.131	
build positive memories	1506	6.03	1.020	



In addition to using the individual scales within each dimension, we also aggregated the scales within each dimension to create an Overall Benefits to Child Scale, an Overall Benefits to Parent Scale, an Overall Benefits to Parent-Child Relationship Scale; these scales had 12 to 33 items and were highly reliable (Table 5).

Table 5: Reliability of Overall Benefit Scales

Benefits to Relationship	n	Mean	SD	Cronbach's alpha
Overall – Children Scale	1512	5.12	0.860	33 items, alpha=.948, n=1272
Overall – Parent Scale	1512	4.97	1.120	23 items, alpha=.959, n=1311
Overall – Relationship Scale	1512	5.48	1.053	12 items, alpha=.935, n=1432

Overall Benefits

At a broad level, it is interesting to note that adults perceived that interactive spaces were highly valuable not just for their children, but for themselves as well. In fact, looking at the ratings of these dimensions overall (Table 6), what adults valued most were benefits related to the parent/child relationship (mean=5.48); second highest were the benefits for children (mean=5.12); and third were the benefits for themselves, although these were still quite highly rated (mean=5.04).

Table 6: Overall Benefit Scales

Overall – Children	Total	Frist	High	Speed	Statistically Sig. Diff.?
Scale				•	
N	1512	640	540	332	YES
Mean	5.12	5.19	5.03	5.12	(ANOVA, F=4.983, df=2,
Median	5.12	5.18	5.03	5.12	p<.05; n=1512; Post Hoc
Mode	6.00	5.12	6.00	5.39	LSD)
Std. Deviation	0.860	0.778	0.954	0.839	
Minimum	1.55	2.76	1.55	2.00	
Maximum	7.00	7.00	7.00	7.00	
Overall – Parent	Total	Frist	High	Speed	Statistically Sig. Diff.?
Scale					
N	1512	640	540	332	YES
Mean	4.97	5.09	4.80	4.98	(ANOVA, F=9.935, df=2,
Median	5.04	5.22	4.95	4.96	p<.05; n=1512; Post Hoc
Mode	6.00	6.00	6.00	7.00	LSD)
Std. Deviation	1.120	0.989	1.270	1.065	
Minimum	1.00	2.30	1.00	1.00	
Maximum	7.00	7.00	7.00	7.00	



Overall –	Total	Frist	High	Speed	Statistically Sig. Diff.?
Relationship Scale					
N	1512	640	540	332	YES
Mean	5.48	5.55	5.36	5.54	(ANOVA, F=5.489, df=2,
Median	5.58	5.67	5.50	5.67	p<.05; n=1512; Post Hoc
Mode	6.00	6.00	7.00	6.00	LSD)
Std. Deviation	1.053	0.941	1.195	0.994	
Minimum	1.00	1.58	1.00	2.00	
Maximum	7.00	7.00	7.00	7.00	

There were differences in these ratings across the three art museum sites (Table 7):

- Though still highly valued, respondents at the High rated benefits to child, parent, and parent-child relationship lower than did those from the Frist. They also rated the benefits to parent and parent-child relationship lower than did those from the Speed.
- Respondents from the Speed and Frist had similar ratings in all three overall scales.

Table 7: Post Hoc (Mean Difference I-J): Overall Benefit Scales by Study Museum

ı		J	
Overall – Children Scale	Frist	High	Speed
Frist		.158*	.068
High	158 [*]		090
Speed	068	.090	
Overall – Parent Scale	Frist	High	Speed
Frist		.290*	.116
High	290 [*]		174 [*]
Speed	116	.174*	
Overall – Relationship Scale	Frist	High	Speed
Frist		.192*	.017
High	192 [*]		175 [*]
Speed	017	.175*	

^{*.} The mean difference is significant at the 0.05 level.

Benefits to Children

Parents rated highly almost all of the benefits for children (Table 8). However, what they thought was most beneficial for children about visiting interactive spaces in art museums was:

^{&#}x27;I' smaller than 'J'; 'I' larger than 'J'



- Positive increases in attitudes towards art museums;
- Positive increases in attitudes towards art;
- And enhanced skills relative to art making.

Knowledge about art, and self-development towards self-expression, towards independence, and towards perspective-taking were the second most highly rated benefits (means ranged from 5.06 to 5.16). Some of the benefits were moderately rated, such as experience that complements learning at home and/or school and art skills in observation (means were 4.94 and 4.93, respectively). Finally, self-development towards social skills had the lowest rating (mean=3.77).

Table 8: Benefits to Children During a Visit to the Interactive Space

Table 8: Benefits to Children During a Visit to the Interactive Space						
Art Skill – Art	Total	Frist	High	Speed	Statistically Sig. Diff.?	
Making						
N	1512	640	540	332	YES	
Mean	5.68	5.93	5.41	5.63	(ANOVA, F=45.640, df=2,	
Median	5.75	6.00	5.50	5.75	p<.05; n=1512; Post Hoc	
Mode	6.00	6.00	6.00	6.00	LSD)	
Std. Deviation	0.957	0.773	1.120	0.863		
Minimum	1.00	2.50	1.00	2.00		
Maximum	7.00	7.00	7.00	7.00		
Art Skill –	Total	Frist	High	Speed	Statistically Sig. Diff.?	
Observation						
N	1510	639	540	331	YES	
Mean	4.93	5.14	4.82	4.70	(ANOVA, F=14.615, df=2,	
Median	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	p<.05; n=1510; Post Hoc	
Mode	6.00	6.00	6.00	6.00	LSD)	
Std. Deviation	1.333	1.169	1.457	1.366		
Minimum	1.00	2.00	1.00	1.00		
Maximum	7.00	7.00	7.00	7.00		
Attitudes towards	Total	Frist	High	Speed	Statistically Sig. Diff.?	
art museum						
N	1511	640	540	331	NO	
Mean	5.82	5.79	5.90	5.76	(ANOVA)	
Median	6.00	6.00	6.00	6.00		
Mode	6.00	6.00	6.00	6.00		
Std. Deviation	0.951	0.931	0.977	0.943		
Minimum	1.50	2.00	1.50	2.00		
Maximum	7.00	7.00	7.00	7.00		



Attitudes towards art	Total	Frist	High	Speed	Statistically Sig. Diff.?
N	1510	640	539	331	YES
Mean	5.70	5.86	5.55	5.63	(ANOVA, F=15.211, df=2,
Median	6.00	6.00	5.67	5.67	p<.05; n=1510; Post Hoc
Mode	6.00	6.00	6.00	6.00	LSD)
Std. Deviation	0.995	0.847	1.128	0.986	
Minimum	1.00	3.00	1.00	1.67	
Maximum	7.00	7.00	7.00	7.00	
Self -	Total	Frist	High	Speed	Statistically Sig. Diff.?
Independence			J	•	, ,
N	1511	640	540	331	NO
Mean	5.16	5.12	5.13	5.27	(ANOVA)
Median	5.33	5.33	5.33	5.33	
Mode	6.00	6.00	6.00	5.00	
Std. Deviation	1.130	1.119	1.205	1.015	
Minimum	1.00	1.33	1.00	2.00	
Maximum	7.00	7.00	7.00	7.00	
Self – Perspective	Total	Frist	High	Speed	Statistically Sig. Diff.?
taking				-	
N	1511	640	539	332	NO
Mean	5.06	5.14	4.99	5.01	(ANOVA)
Median	5.33	5.33	5.00	5.00	
Mode	6.00	6.00	6.00	6.00	
Std. Deviation	1.191	1.140	1.253	1.177	
Minimum	1.00	1.33	1.00	1.67	
Maximum	7.00	7.00	7.00	7.00	
Self - Expression	Total	Frist	High	Speed	Statistically Sig. Diff.?
N	1511	640	539	332	YES
Mean	5.13	5.28	4.91	5.17	(ANOVA, F=16.528, df=2,
Median	5.25	5.50	5.00	5.25	p<.05; n=1511; Post Hoc
Mode	6.00	6.00	5.00	5.75	LSD)
Std. Deviation	1.123	1.010	1.244	1.068	
Minimum	1.00	1.25	1.00	1.75	
Maximum	7.00	7.00	7.00	7.00	
Self – Social skills	Total	Frist	High	Speed	Statistically Sig. Diff.?
N	1510	640	539	331	YES
Mean	3.77	3.37	4.09	4.02	(ANOVA, F=37.827, df=2,
Median	3.75	3.25	4.00	4.00	p<.05; n=1510; Post Hoc
Mode	3.75	1.00	3.25	4.00	LSD)
Std. Deviation	1.572	1.496	1.624	1.459	
Minimum	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	



Knowledge about	Total	Frist	High	Speed	Statistically Sig. Diff.?
art			Ü	•	, 0
N	1510	640	539	331	YES
Mean	5.23	5.51	5.00	5.04	(ANOVA, F=38.453, df=2,
Median	5.33	5.67	5.00	5.00	p<.05; n=1510; Post Hoc
Mode	6.00	6.00	5.00	5.33	LSD)
Std. Deviation	1.100	0.918	1.204	1.126	
Minimum	1.00	2.00	1.00	1.33	
Maximum	7.00	7.00	7.00	7.00	
Experience	Total	Frist	High	Speed	Statistically Sig. Diff.?
complements					
school and home					
N	1509	639	539	331	YES
Mean	4.94	5.09	4.73	5.01	(ANOVA, F=14.840, df=2,
Median	5.00	5.25	5.00	5.00	p<.05; n=1509; Post Hoc
Mode	6.00	6.00	6.00	5.50	LSD)
Std. Deviation	1.173	1.081	1.292	1.090	
Minimum	1.00	1.50	1.00	1.00	
Maximum	7.00	7.00	7.00	7.00	

A comparison across art museum sites showed several statistically significant differences (Table 9). Below, we highlight some of the more salient differences.

- Respondents from the Frist rated art-related skills and attitudes higher than those from the High and Speed; their means in art-making skills and in observation, attitudes towards art, and knowledge about art were statistically significantly higher. This may be due in large part to the Frist's emphasis on elements of art and on art production within their interactive space.
- On the other hand, respondents from the Speed and High seemed to value social opportunities more so than those from the Frist; their means on self-development towards social skills were significantly higher.
- Respondents from the High tended to rate art-making skills, self-expression, and experiences that complement home and/or school significantly lower than did those from the Frist and Speed.
- No statistically significant differences were found among museums ratings on attitudes towards art museums, and self-development towards independence and towards perspective-taking.



Table 9: Post Hoc (Mean Difference I-J): Benefits to Children by Study Museum

I		J	
Art Skill – Art Making	Frist	High	Speed
Frist		.515*	.301*
High	515 [*]		214*
Speed	301 [*]	.214*	
Art Skill – Observation	Frist	High	Speed
Frist		.315*	.436*
High	315 [*]		.121
Speed	436 [*]	121	
Attitudes towards art	Frist	High	Speed
Frist		.308*	.224*
High	308 [*]		084
Speed	224 [*]	.084	
Self - Expression	Frist	High	Speed
Frist		.370*	.118
High	370 [*]		252 [*]
Speed	118	.252 [*]	
Self – Social skills	Frist	High	Speed
Frist		720 [*]	650 [*]
High	.720 [*]		.070
Speed	.650 [*]	-0.070	
Knowledge about art	Frist	High	Speed
Frist		.505*	.464*
High	505 [*]		041
Speed	464 [*]	.041	
Experience complements school	Frist	High	Speed
and home Frist		.361*	.078
High	361*	.501	283 [*]
Speed	078	.283*	.203

^{*.} The mean difference is significant at the 0.05 level.

'I' smaller than 'J'; 'I' larger than 'J'



Benefits to Parents

In general, parents rated their own benefits from visiting the interactive space lower than those of the child and their parent-child relationship. That said, they still rated their own benefits fairly high, suggesting that they do perceive that interactive spaces have value for them as parents (Table 10).

For parents, the most valued benefits of the interactive spaces were:

- Developing their ability to facilitate art learning;
- Learning about their child's personal growth and development; and
- Feeling more positively about art museums.

Parents moderately valued the opportunity to develop their own positive attitudes towards art (mean=4.91).

Least valued by parents as benefits of interactive spaces in art museums were art-specific benefits (i.e., art making skills, knowledge about art) and parent-specific benefits (i.e., being a good caregiver, successful parenting).

Table 10: Benefits to Parents During a Visit to the Interactive Space

Art Skill – Art	Total	Frist	High	Speed	Statistically Sig. Diff.?
Making					
N	1507	639	537	331	YES
Mean	4.54	4.87	4.21	4.43	(ANOVA, F=23.705, df=2,
Median	5.00	5.00	4.50	4.50	p<.05; n=1507; Post Hoc
Mode	6.00	6.00	6.00	6.00	LSD)
Std. Deviation	1.691	1.568	1.788	1.645	
Minimum	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	
Maximum	7.00	7.00	7.00	7.00	
Art Skill –	Total	Frist	High	Speed	Statistically Sig. Diff.?
Observation					
N	1509	639	539	331	YES
Mean	4.63	4.85	4.43	4.53	(ANOVA, F=12.675, df=2,
Median	5.00	5.00	4.50	4.50	p<.05; n=1509; Post Hoc
Mode	6.00	6.00	6.00	5.00	LSD)
Std. Deviation	1.524	1.394	1.652	1.492	
Minimum	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	
Maximum	7.00	7.00	7.00	7.00	
Attitudes towards	Total	Frist	High	Speed	Statistically Sig. Diff.?
art museum					
N	1510	640	539	331	NO
Mean	5.26	5.29	5.19	5.31	(ANOVA)
Median	5.50	5.42	5.67	5.33	



Mode	6.00	6.00	6.00	6.00	
Std. Deviation	1.285	1.205	1.433	1.173	
Minimum	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	
Maximum	7.00	7.00	7.00	7.00	
Attitudes towards	Total	Frist	High	Speed	Statistically Sig. Diff.?
art					
N	1510	640	539	331	YES
Mean	4.91	5.06	4.73	4.93	(ANOVA, F=8.495, df=2,
Median	5.00	5.33	5.00	5.00	p<.05; n=1510; Post Hoc
Mode	6.00	6.00	6.00	5.00	LSD)
Std. Deviation	1.411	1.271	1.595	1.314	
Minimum	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	
Maximum	7.00	7.00	7.00	7.00	
Knowledge about	Total	Frist	High	Speed	Statistically Sig. Diff.?
art					
N	1509	640	539	330	YES
Mean	4.54	4.81	4.31	4.41	(ANOVA, F=18.22, df=2,
Median	4.67	5.00	4.67	4.33	p<.05; n=1509; Post Hoc
Mode	6.00	6.00	6.00	4.00	LSD)
Std. Deviation	1.508	1.353	1.682	1.410	
Minimum	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	
Maximum	7.00	7.00	7.00	7.00	
Facilitator of Art	Total	Frist	High	Speed	Statistically Sig. Diff.?
Learning					
N	1511	640	539	332	YES
Mean	5.51	5.59	5.48	5.41	(ANOVA, F=3.540, df=2,
Median	5.67	5.67	5.67	5.67	p<.05; n=1511; Post Hoc LSD)
Mode	6.00	6.00	6.00	6.00	LSDJ
Std. Deviation	1.057	0.942	1.191	1.026	
Minimum	1.00	2.00	1.00	1.00	
Maximum	7.00	7.00	7.00	7.00	
Successful	Total	Frist	High	Speed	Statistically Sig. Diff.?
Parenting					
N	1509	639	538	332	YES
Mean	4.57	4.58	4.40	4.84	(ANOVA, F=8.325, df=2,
Median	4.67	4.67	4.67	5.00	p<.05; n=1509; Post Hoc LSD)
Mode	6.00	6.00	6.00	6.00	נטטן
Std. Deviation	1.526	1.484	1.622	1.406	
Minimum	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	
Maximum	7.00	7.00	7.00	7.00	



Learning about Child	Total	Frist	High	Speed	Statistically Sig. Diff.?
N	1512	640	540	332	YES
Mean	5.35	5.43	5.21	5.44	(ANOVA, F=6.153, df=2,
Median	5.50	5.50	5.50	5.50	p<.05; n=1512; Post Hoc
Mode	6.00	6.00	6.00	6.00	LSD)
Std. Deviation	1.160	1.082	1.293	1.051	
Minimum	1.00	1.25	1.00	1.00	
Maximum	7.00	7.00	7.00	7.00	

A comparison across study museums showed several statistically significant differences (Table 11), some of which are discussed below:

- Respondents from the Frist rated more highly benefits associated with their art-related skills and attitudes than did respondents from the High and Speed; specifically, their means in art skills in art making and in observation, knowledge about art were statistically significantly higher. Their mean was also higher than respondents from the High, on attitudes towards art. This trend was also evident in parents' perceptions of benefits for children, again suggesting that the design of the Frist's interactive space, and its emphasis on elements of art and art-making, may influence perceptions of value, not just in terms of children but also in terms of adults.
- Respondents from the Speed and Frist tended to rate scales related to parenting higher than did respondents from the High. For example, parents at the High were much less likely than parents at the Frist or the Speed to say that they learned about their child in the interactive space. Speed's ratings on successful parenting were higher than the High and Frist. On the other hand, Frist's rating of benefits towards facilitating art learning was higher than Speed's, and similar to High's.
- No statistically significant differences were found among museums ratings on attitudes towards art museums.



Table 11: Post Hoc (Mean Difference I-J): Benefits to Parents by Study Museum

1		J	
Art Skill – Art Making	Frist	High	Speed
Frist		.658*	.446*
High	658 [*]		212
Speed	446 [*]	.212	
Art Skill – Observation	Frist	High	Speed
Frist		.428*	.326 [*]
High	428 [*]		101
Speed	326 [*]	.101	
Attitudes towards art	Frist	High	Speed
Frist		.338*	.132
High	338 [*]		206 [*]
Speed	132	.206 [*]	
Knowledge about art	Frist	High	Speed
Frist		.502*	.398*
High	502 [*]		104
Speed	398 [*]	.104	
Facilitator of Art Learning	Frist	High	Speed
Frist		.112	.179*
High	112	'	.066
Speed	179 [*]	066	
Successful Parenting	Frist	High	Speed
Frist		.177*	256 [*]
High	177 [*]		432 [*]
Speed	.256 [*]	.432*	
Learning about Child	Frist	High	Speed
Frist		.213*	012
High	213 [*]		226 [*]
Speed	.012	.226*	

^{*.} The mean difference is significant at the 0.05 level.

^{&#}x27;I' smaller than 'J'; 'I' larger than 'J'



Benefits to the Relationship Between Parents and Children

In general, parents rated the benefits to the parent-child relationship as high (Table 12). The benefit most valued was the opportunity to create memories (mean=5.97), followed by bonding with their child (mean-5.80), having conversations (mean=5.14), and strengthening their group identity (moderately rated as mean=4.85).

Table 12: Benefits to the Parent-Child Relationship During a Visit to the Interactive Space

Bonding	Total	Frist	High	Speed	Statistically Sig. Diff.?
N	1511	640	539	332	YES
Mean	5.80	5.85	5.66	5.91	(ANOVA, F=7.972, df=2,
Median	6.00	6.00	6.00	6.00	p<.05; n=1511; Post Hoc
Mode	6.00	6.00	7.00	6.00	LSD)
Std. Deviation	1.004	0.901	1.165	0.885	
Minimum	1.00	1.40	1.00	2.80	
Maximum	7.00	7.00	7.00	7.00	
Conversations	Total	Frist	High	Speed	Statistically Sig. Diff.?
N	1510	640	539	331	YES
Mean	5.14	5.25	5.05	5.06	(ANOVA, F=3.642, df=2,
Median	5.50	5.50	5.00	5.00	p<.05; n=1510; Post Hoc
Mode	6.00	6.00	6.00	6.00	LSD)
Std. Deviation	1.353	1.239	1.451	1.386	
Minimum	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	
Maximum	7.00	7.00	7.00	7.00	
Cuarra Idaratita			11:	Cl	Charlesteelle Cia Diff 3
Group Identity	Total	Frist	High	Speed	Statistically Sig. Diff.?
N Group Identity	1511	640	540	331	YES
					YES (ANOVA, F=3.253, df=2,
N	1511	640	540	331	YES (ANOVA, F=3.253, df=2, p<.05; n=1511; Post Hoc
N Mean	1511 4.85	640 4.93	540 4.73	331 4.92	YES (ANOVA, F=3.253, df=2,
N Mean Median	1511 4.85 5.00	640 4.93 5.00	540 4.73 5.00	331 4.92 5.00	YES (ANOVA, F=3.253, df=2, p<.05; n=1511; Post Hoc
N Mean Median Mode	1511 4.85 5.00 6.00	640 4.93 5.00 6.00	540 4.73 5.00 6.00	331 4.92 5.00 6.00	YES (ANOVA, F=3.253, df=2, p<.05; n=1511; Post Hoc
N Mean Median Mode Std. Deviation	1511 4.85 5.00 6.00 1.472	640 4.93 5.00 6.00 1.368	540 4.73 5.00 6.00 1.600	331 4.92 5.00 6.00 1.436	YES (ANOVA, F=3.253, df=2, p<.05; n=1511; Post Hoc
N Mean Median Mode Std. Deviation Minimum	1511 4.85 5.00 6.00 1.472 1.00	640 4.93 5.00 6.00 1.368 1.00	540 4.73 5.00 6.00 1.600 1.00	331 4.92 5.00 6.00 1.436 1.00	YES (ANOVA, F=3.253, df=2, p<.05; n=1511; Post Hoc
N Mean Median Mode Std. Deviation Minimum Maximum	1511 4.85 5.00 6.00 1.472 1.00 7.00	640 4.93 5.00 6.00 1.368 1.00 7.00	540 4.73 5.00 6.00 1.600 1.00 7.00	331 4.92 5.00 6.00 1.436 1.00 7.00	YES (ANOVA, F=3.253, df=2, p<.05; n=1511; Post Hoc LSD)
N Mean Median Mode Std. Deviation Minimum Maximum Memory Making	1511 4.85 5.00 6.00 1.472 1.00 7.00	640 4.93 5.00 6.00 1.368 1.00 7.00	540 4.73 5.00 6.00 1.600 1.00 7.00	331 4.92 5.00 6.00 1.436 1.00 7.00 Speed	YES (ANOVA, F=3.253, df=2, p<.05; n=1511; Post Hoc LSD) Statistically Sig. Diff.? YES (ANOVA, F=5.335, df=2,
N Mean Median Mode Std. Deviation Minimum Maximum Memory Making N	1511 4.85 5.00 6.00 1.472 1.00 7.00 Total 1510	640 4.93 5.00 6.00 1.368 1.00 7.00 Frist	540 4.73 5.00 6.00 1.600 1.00 7.00 High	331 4.92 5.00 6.00 1.436 1.00 7.00 Speed 331	YES (ANOVA, F=3.253, df=2, p<.05; n=1511; Post Hoc LSD) Statistically Sig. Diff.? YES (ANOVA, F=5.335, df=2, p<.05; n=1510; Post Hoc
N Mean Median Mode Std. Deviation Minimum Maximum Memory Making N Mean	1511 4.85 5.00 6.00 1.472 1.00 7.00 Total 1510 5.97	640 4.93 5.00 6.00 1.368 1.00 7.00 Frist 640 6.05	540 4.73 5.00 6.00 1.600 1.00 7.00 High 539 5.86	331 4.92 5.00 6.00 1.436 1.00 7.00 Speed 331 5.99	YES (ANOVA, F=3.253, df=2, p<.05; n=1511; Post Hoc LSD) Statistically Sig. Diff.? YES (ANOVA, F=5.335, df=2,
N Mean Median Mode Std. Deviation Minimum Maximum Memory Making N Mean Median	1511 4.85 5.00 6.00 1.472 1.00 7.00 Total 1510 5.97 6.00	640 4.93 5.00 6.00 1.368 1.00 7.00 Frist 640 6.05 6.00	540 4.73 5.00 6.00 1.600 1.00 7.00 High 539 5.86 6.00	331 4.92 5.00 6.00 1.436 1.00 7.00 Speed 331 5.99 6.00	YES (ANOVA, F=3.253, df=2, p<.05; n=1511; Post Hoc LSD) Statistically Sig. Diff.? YES (ANOVA, F=5.335, df=2, p<.05; n=1510; Post Hoc
N Mean Median Mode Std. Deviation Minimum Maximum Memory Making N Mean Median Mode	1511 4.85 5.00 6.00 1.472 1.00 7.00 Total 1510 5.97 6.00 6.00	640 4.93 5.00 6.00 1.368 1.00 7.00 Frist 640 6.05 6.00 6.00	540 4.73 5.00 6.00 1.600 1.00 7.00 High 539 5.86 6.00 6.00	331 4.92 5.00 6.00 1.436 1.00 7.00 Speed 331 5.99 6.00 6.00	YES (ANOVA, F=3.253, df=2, p<.05; n=1511; Post Hoc LSD) Statistically Sig. Diff.? YES (ANOVA, F=5.335, df=2, p<.05; n=1510; Post Hoc



A comparison across study museums showed several statistically significant differences (Table 13), some of which are presented below:

- Respondents from the Frist rated all four parent-child relationship scales higher than did those from the High.
- Respondents from the Frist rated the benefit of offering opportunities for parent-child conversations higher than did those from the Speed. Those from the Speed rated the benefit of bonding more highly than did those from the High.

Table 13: Post Hoc (Mean Difference I-J): Benefits to the Parent-Child Relationship by Study
Museum

Museum			
1		J	
Bonding	Frist	High	Speed
Frist		.190*	057
High	190 [*]		247 [*]
Speed	.057	.247*	
Conversations	Frist	High	Speed
Frist		.191*	.187*
High	191*		004
Speed	187 [*]	.004	
Group Identity	Frist	High	Speed
Frist		.204*	.009
High	204 [*]		195
Speed	009	.195	
Memory Making	Frist	High	Speed
Frist		.192*	.061
High	192 [*]		131
Speed	061	.131	

^{*.} The mean difference is significant at the 0.05 level.

Conversations after the museum visit

As part of the online questionnaire, respondents answered the following open-ended question "Since your visit to the museum that day, please describe a conversation you have had with other people specifically about your visit to [interactive space]. What did you talk about, and with whom?"

In general, the majority of respondents (72%) engaged in a direct conversation (defined as conversations in which the respondent participated). The comparison across museums suggests

^{&#}x27;I' smaller than 'J'; 'I' larger than 'J'



that respondents from the High engaged in such conversations more frequently than did those from the Frist and Speed.

Table 14: Frequencies of Respondents' Direct Conversations

	To	tal	Fr	ist	Hi	gh	Speed		Statistically
•	N	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	Sig. Diff.?
No	144	10.9	50	8.7	76	16.7	18	6.1	Yes
Yes	961	72.4	453	78.8	265	58.2	243	81.8	(Pearson chi-
Yes, but not about interactive space	108	8.1	35	6.1	62	13.6	11	3.7	square=38.68 5, df=2, N=1105; only 'Yes' and "No"
Non- relevant comment or conversatio n; did not answer question	114	8.6	37	6.4	52	11.4	25	8.4	were compared)
TOTAL	1327	100.0	575	100.0	455	100.0	297	100.0	

Less than expected; More than expected

In general, the minority of respondents (4%) reported an indirect conversation (defined as third party conversations recounted by the respondent). A comparison across museums suggests that Frist respondents may have had more indirect conversations than did those from the High.

Table 15: Frequencies of Respondents' Indirect Conversations

	То	Total		Frist		High		eed	Statistically	
	N	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	Sig. Diff.?	
No	1274	96.0	540	93.9	445	97.8	289	97.3	Yes	
Yes	53	4.0	35	6.1	10	2.2	8	2.7	(Pearson chi-	
TOTAL	1327	100.0	575	100.0	455	100.0	297	100.0	square=11.70 7, df=2, N=1327)	

Less than expected; More than expected

Respondents were asked to recount who was a part of those conversations and what they talked about. The majority of respondents talked with another adult (80%) and only a few talked with children or both adults and children. No statistically significant differences were found when comparing museums.



Table 16: Frequencies of Conversations Between Respondents and Adults and Children

	Total		Frist		High		Speed		Statistically	
	N	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	Sig. Diff.?	
Adult	772	80.3	352	77.7	221	83.4	199	81.9	NO	
Child	24	2.5	15	3.3	6	2.3	3	1.2	(Chi square)	
Both	23	2.4	16	3.5	4	1.5	3	1.2		
Unspecified	142	14.8	70	15.5	34	12.8	38	15.6		
TOTAL	961	100.0	453	100.0	265	100.0	243	100.0		

Conversations happened between respondents and people in different relationships, described below. As presented in Table 17, the majority of these conversations happened with someone in the respondents' family (56%) and/or social network, such as a friend (45%).

Family

- Immediate/nuclear family: Includes husband, wife, spouse, other children in the family (who didn't visit)
- o **Extended family**: Includes grandparents, sister, uncle, niece, in-laws, etc.
- Family (unspecified): Visitor is not specific as to whether nuclear or extended family
- Parent of child/children: If respondent is the caregiver for the visit (e.g. nanny, friend, relative)
- Friends/Social Network: Includes neighbors, mom's groups, other parents, other children, Facebook, blog, etc.
- Amongst the group who visited: Only use if visitor mentions group they visited with
- Classroom: Includes teachers, students, museum staff
- Unspecified: Visitor did not include a "Who"



Table 17: Frequencies of Conversations Between Respondents and Specific Relationship Types

	Total (n=961)		Frist (n=453)		High (n=265)		Speed (n=243)	
	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%
Family member	534	55.6	264	58.3	143	53.9	127	52.3
Immediate/nuclear family	211	22.0	106	23.4	63	23.8	42	17.3
Extended family	180	18.7	80	17.7	43	16.2	57	23.5
Family (unspecified)	76	7.9	42	9.3	21	7.9	13	5.3
Parent of child/children	67	7.0	36	7.9	16	6.0	15	6.2
Friends/Social Network	432	45.0	198	43.7	115	43.4	119	49.0
Amongst the group who visited	40	4.2	23	5.1	9	3.4	8	3.3
Classroom	13	1.4	9	2.0	3	1.1	1	.4
Unspecified	151	15.7	77	17.0	37	14.0	37	15.2
TOTAL*	1170	121.9	571	126.1	307	115.8	292	120.2

^{*}Multiple responses allowed. Percentages total more than 100%.

As presented in Table 18, several topics were found in the content of the conversations. These topics are described below.

- Interactive Space (general): Visitor describes the interactive space to others in general terms, such as "We talked about the things you could do, the atmosphere and activities."
- **Experience (general description)**: Visitor simply mentions the group's experience in general terms, such as, "Told them what we did there."
- Interactive Space as a Child Destination (in Museum): Visitor describes interactive space as a place for kids in a museum, explaining how the space enables visits to the museum, the use of the interactive space to manage child's behavior, and/or how the space is a benefit for the child.
- Interactive Space as Enjoyment & Entertainment: Visitor describes interactive space as a place for fun and enjoyment, using terms like great, wonderful, exciting, or enjoyable.
- **Child development**: Visitor describes how activities in interactive space connect or contribute to child development, such as "we discussed the influence of art in our children's lives and outside the box thinking."
- All-Ages Appropriate: Visitor describes interactive space as a place for everyone in the group.
- **Design & Space**: Visitor describes design-specific aspects of the interactive space (e.g., layout, materials, staff, resources, activities, etc.), explaining what the space is, what it offers, and/or what's in it.



- **Art and art-making experience**: Visitor describes the art or art-making experience in the space.
- Art projects made during visit: Visitor describes specific art projects created in interactive space.
- Recommendation/Request to visit (or visit again): Visitor recommends visiting
 interactive space to others, encouraging others to visit and/or stating that group will (or
 wants to) visit again.
- **Frustration/Suggestion**: Visitor describes a frustrating or negative experience at the interactive space.
- **Follow-Up**: Visitor describes how they are doing things that follow up on visit to interactive space.
- Non-specific: Visitor was not specific about the conversation topic
- **Practical**: Visitor describes reasons to visit in practical terms cost, convenience, etc.
- Other: Use only if response does not fit into any other category

Table 18: Topics of Respondents' Direct Conversations

	Total (n=961)		Frist (Frist (n=453)		High (n=265)		Speed (n=243)	
	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%	
Interactive Space as	288	30.0	135	14.0	62	6.5	91	9.5	
Enjoyment & Entertainment									
Design & Space	211	22.0	90	9.4	54	5.6	67	7.0	
Recommendation/Request to visit (or visit again)	168	17.5	77	8.0	42	4.4	49	5.1	
Art and art-making experience	151	15.7	75	7.8	51	5.3	25	2.6	
Interactive Space (general)	134	13.9	64	6.7	39	4.1	31	3.2	
Child development	114	11.9	46	4.8	43	4.5	25	2.6	
Art projects made during visit	109	11.3	90	9.4	4	.4	15	1.6	
Experience (general description)	91	9.5	41	4.3	24	2.5	26	2.7	
Interactive Space as a Child Destination (in Museum)	55	5.7	15	1.6	30	3.1	10	1.0	
Frustration/Suggestion	32	3.3	5	.5	20	2.1	7	.7	
Follow-Up	20	2.1	12	1.2	4	.4	4	.4	
All-Ages Appropriate	19	2.0	12	1.2	1	.1	6	.6	
Practical	15	1.6	3	.3	1	.1	11	1.1	
Non-specific	31	3.2	22	2.3	6	.6	3	.3	
Other	2	.2	2	.2	0	.0	0	.0	
TOTAL*	1440	149.9	689	71.7	381	39.7	370	38.4	

^{*}Multiple responses allowed. Percentages total more than 100%.



In general, about a third of respondents talked about the interactive space as enjoyment and entertainment. Almost a quarter of respondents talked about aspects of the design of the space. Other topics frequently reported in conversations after the visit were recommendation or request to visit the space, the art and art-making experience or the art projects made during the visit, and/or aspects of child development. Though not statistically significant, some differences may be noticed across museum responses; for example, respondents from the Frist tended to report the interactive space as enjoyment and entertainment, the art and art-making nature of the experience, and the art projects made during visit more frequently than did those from the High and Speed.

How do the perceived benefits of interactive spaces in art museums interact with demographic and psychographic participant variables?

The perceived benefits of the interactive space were compared to participant characteristics such as museum membership, previous museum visitation, art interest, and group composition.

Study Museum Membership x Benefits

In general, study museum members and non-members moderately or highly² valued the interactive space for their overall benefits to children, parents, and parent-child relationships; their mean scores ranged from 4.84 to 5.56. A couple of differences were found between members and non-members, with non-members rating parent and parent-child benefits higher than members (Table 19).

Table 19: Overall Benefits by Membership in the Study Museum

Variable	Relevant Statistics			Statistically Sig. Diff.?
Study Museum Membership	Overall – Children Scale			NO
	N	Mean	SD	(ANOVA)
No	880	5.15	0.872	
Yes	622	5.07	0.842	
TOTAL	1502	5.12	0.860	
Study Museum Membership	Over	all – Paren	t Scale	YES
	N	Mean	SD	(ANOVA, F=13.397, df=1, p<.05, n=1502)
No	880	5.05	1.072	
Yes	622	4.84	1.177	
TOTAL	1502	4.97	1.121	

² In order to describe findings, mean ratings from 5.00-7.00 were considered *high*, from 3.00-4.99 were considered *moderate*, and below 3.00 were considered *low*.



Study Museum Membership	Overall – Relationship Scale			YES
	N	Mean	SD	(ANOVA, F=10.755, df=1, p<.05, n=1502)
No	880	5.56	0.999	
Yes	622	5.38	1.118	
TOTAL	1502	5.49	1.053	

When looking at specific benefits to children, differences between members and non-members were found in four scales. Non-members rated more highly the benefits of the interactive space in enhancing children's art skills in art making, self-expression, knowledge of art, and in complementing home-school learning than did members. No other statistically significant differences were found.

Table 20: Benefits to Children by Membership in the Study Museum

Variable	Rel	evant Stat	istics	Statistically Sig. Diff.?
Study Museum Membership	Art S	kills – Art N	Naking	YES
	N	Mean	SD	(ANOVA, F=8.884, df=1, p<.05, n=1502)
No	880	5.74	0.943	
Yes	622	5.59	0.973	
TOTAL	1502	5.68	0.958	
Study Museum Membership	Art Sl	ills – Obse	rvation	NO
	N	Mean	SD	(ANOVA)
No	879	4.95	1.306	
Yes	621	4.90	1.369	
TOTAL	1500	4.93	1.332	
Study Museum Membership	Attitudes - Art Museums			NO
	n	Mean	SD	(ANOVA)
No	879	5.79	0.957	
Yes	622	5.87	0.943	
TOTAL	1501	5.83	0.952	
Study Museum Membership	P	ttitudes - A	4rt	NO
	n	Mean	SD	(ANOVA)
No	878	5.71	0.979	
Yes	622	5.68	1.016	
TOTAL	1500	5.70	0.994	
Study Museum Membership	Self	- Independ	dence	NO
	n	Mean	SD	(ANOVA)
No	879	5.16	1.136	
Yes	622	5.16	1.123	
TOTAL	1501	5.16	1.130	



Self – Perspective Taking			NO
n	Mean	SD	(ANOVA)
879	5.10	1.179	
622	5.01	1.211	
1501	5.06	1.193	
Se	lf - Express	sion	YES
n	Mean	SD	(ANOVA, F=13.602, df=1, p<.05, n=1501)
879	5.21	1.089	
622	5.00	1.159	
1501	5.12	1.123	
Se	lf – Social S	skills	NO
n	Mean	SD	(ANOVA)
878	3.74	1.561	
622	3.81	1.594	
1500	3.77	1.574	
Kr	nowledge -	Art	YES
n	Mean	SD	(ANOVA, F=6.331, df=1, p<.05, n=1500)
878	5.29	1.078	
622	5.15	1.122	
1500	5.23	1.098	
Comple	ment Hom	ie-School	YES
n	Mean	SD	(ANOVA, F=8.537, df=1, p<.05, n=1499)
878	5.01	1.155	
621	4.83	1.193	
1499	4.94	1.174	
	n 879 622 1501 Se n 879 622 1501 Se n 878 622 1500 Kr n 878 622 1500 Comple n 878 621	n Mean 879 5.10 622 5.01 1501 5.06 Self - Express n Mean 879 5.21 622 5.00 1501 5.12 Self - Social S n Mean 878 3.74 622 3.81 1500 3.77 Knowledge - n Mean 878 5.29 622 5.15 1500 5.23 Complement Hom n Mean 878 5.01 621 4.83	n Mean SD 879 5.10 1.179 622 5.01 1.211 1501 5.06 1.193 Self - Expression n Mean SD 879 5.21 1.089 622 5.00 1.159 1501 5.12 1.123 Self - Social Skills n Mean SD 878 3.74 1.561 622 3.81 1.594 1500 3.77 1.574 Knowledge - Art n Mean SD 878 5.29 1.078 622 5.15 1.122 1500 5.23 1.098 Complement Home-School n Mean SD 878 SD 878 5.01 1.155 621 4.83 1.193

In terms of benefits to parents, non-members rated all but one of these benefits statistically significantly higher than members (the one not rated higher was facilitating art experiences for others). (See Table 21.)

Table 21: Benefits to Parents by Membership in the Study Museum

Variable	Relevant Statistics			Statistically Sig. Diff.?
Study Museum Membership	Art Skills – Art Making			YES
	n	Mean	SD	(ANOVA, F=10.924, df=1, p<.05, n=1497)
No	876	4.66	1.626	
Yes	621	4.36	1.773	
TOTAL	1497	4.54	1.694	
Study Museum Membership	Art Sl	kills – Obse	rvation	YES
	n	Mean	SD	(ANOVA, F=11.767, df=1, p<.05, n=1499)
No	878	4.74	1.441	
Yes	621	4.47	1.617	



Variable	Rel	evant Stat	istics	Statistically Sig. Diff.?
TOTAL	1499	4.63	1.522	
Study Museum Membership	Attitu	des - Art M	useums	YES
	n	Mean	SD	(ANOVA, F=6.207, df=1, p<.05, n=1500)
No	878	5.33	1.192	
Yes	622	5.17	1.397	
TOTAL	1500	5.26	1.283	
Study Museum Membership	P	Attitudes - A	4rt	YES
	n	Mean	SD	(ANOVA, F=8.206, df=1, p<.05, n=1500)
No	878	5.00	1.320	
Yes	622	4.79	1.525	
TOTAL	1500	4.91	1.412	
Study Museum Membership	Kı	nowledge -	Art	YES
	n	Mean	SD	(ANOVA, F=22.682, df=1, p<.05, n=1499)
No	878	4.70	1.409	
Yes	621	4.32	1.617	
TOTAL	1499	4.54	1.510	
Study Museum Membership	Facili	tator Art Le	earning	NO
	n	Mean	SD	(ANOVA)
No	879	5.52	1.039	
Yes	622	5.50	1.083	
TOTAL	1501	5.52	1.057	
Study Museum Membership	Succ	essful Pare	enting	YES
	n	Mean	SD	(ANOVA, F=14.764, df=1, p<.05, n=1499)
No	879	4.70	1.468	
Yes	620	4.39	1.595	
TOTAL	1499	4.57	1.529	
Study Museum Membership	Lear	ning about	Child	YES
	n	Mean	SD	(ANOVA, F=4.765, df=1, p<.05, n=1502)
No	880	5.41	1.123	
Yes	622	5.28	1.211	
TOTAL	1502	5.35	1.162	

In terms of benefits for parent/child relationships, non-members rated more highly those benefits related to bonding, group identity, and memory making (Table 22).



Table 22: Benefits to the Parent-Child Relationship by Membership in the Study Museum

Variable	Relevant Statistics			Statistically Sig. Diff.?
Study Museum Membership		Bonding		YES
	n	Mean	SD	(ANOVA, F=16.728, df=1, p<.05, n=1501)
No	879	5.89	0.935	
Yes	622	5.68	1.084	
TOTAL	1501	5.80	1.004	
Study Museum Membership	C	Conversatio	ns	NO
	n	Mean	SD	(ANOVA)
No	878	5.18	1.302	
Yes	622	5.08	1.418	
TOTAL	1500	5.14	1.352	
Study Museum Membership	G	roup Ident	ity	YES
	n	Mean	SD	(ANOVA, F=4.840, df=1, p<.05, n=1501)
No	879	4.93	1.402	
Yes	622	4.76	1.563	
TOTAL	1501	4.86	1.473	
Study Museum Membership	М	emory Mal	king	YES
	n	Mean	SD	(ANOVA, F=10.857, df=1, p<.05, n=1500)
No	878	6.04	0.965	
Yes	622	5.87	1.079	
TOTAL	1500	5.97	1.017	

Other Museum Membership x Benefits

Members and non-members of other museums did not differ in their ratings of the interactive spaces' overall benefits for children, parents, or parent-child relationship (Table 23).

Table 23: Overall Benefits by Membership in Other Museums

Variable	Relevant Statistics			Statistically Sig. Diff.?
Other Museum Membership	Overall – Children Scale			NO
	n	Mean	SD	(ANOVA)
No	743	5.16	0.925	
Yes	756	5.08	0.792	
TOTAL	1499	5.12	0.861	
Other Museum Membership	Over	all – Paren	t Scale	NO
	n	Mean	SD	(ANOVA)
No	743	5.02	1.157	
Yes	756	4.91	1.086	
TOTAL	1499	4.97	1.122	



Other Museum Membership	Overall – Relationship Scale			NO
	n	Mean	SD	(ANOVA)
No	743	5.52	1.077	
Yes	756	5.44	1.030	
TOTAL	1499	5.48	1.054	

In terms of benefits to children, non-members rated more highly than did members art skills in observation and social skill development (Table 24).

Table 24: Benefits to Children by Membership in Other Museums

Variable	Relevant Statistics			Statistically Sig. Diff.?
Other Museum Membership	Art Skills – Art Making		Making	NO
	n	Mean	SD	(ANOVA)
No	743	5.65	1.037	
Yes	756	5.71	0.871	
TOTAL	1499	5.68	0.957	
Other Museum Membership	Art Sl	kills – Obse	rvation	YES
	n	Mean	SD	(ANOVA, F=3.872, df=1, p<.05, n=1497)
No	743	5.00	1.391	
Yes	754	4.86	1.267	
TOTAL	1497	4.93	1.331	
Other Museum Membership	Attitu	des - Art M	luseums	NO
	n	Mean	SD	(ANOVA)
No	743	5.86	0.976	
Yes	755	5.79	0.928	
TOTAL	1498	5.83	0.952	
Other Museum Membership	Α.	Attitudes - A	Art	NO
	n	Mean	SD	(ANOVA)
No	742	5.68	1.058	
Yes	755	5.73	0.929	
TOTAL	1497	5.70	0.995	
Other Museum Membership	Self	- Independ	dence	NO
	n	Mean	SD	(ANOVA)
No	743	5.19	1.185	
Yes	755	5.13	1.075	
TOTAL	1498	5.16	1.131	
Other Museum Membership	Self –	Perspective	e Taking	NO
	n	Mean	SD	(ANOVA)
No	742	5.12	1.211	
Yes	756	5.00	1.173	
TOTAL	1498	5.06	1.193	



Variable	Relevant Statistics			Statistically Sig. Diff.?		
Other Museum Membership	Self - Expression			NO		
	n	Mean	SD	(ANOVA)		
No	742	5.15	1.137			
Yes	756	5.10	1.107			
TOTAL	1498	5.13	1.122			
Other Museum Membership	Se	lf – Social S	Skills	YES		
	n	Mean	SD	(ANOVA, F=22.385, df=1, p<.05, n=1497)		
No	742	3.96	1.626			
Yes	755	3.58	1.500			
TOTAL	1497	3.77	1.575			
Other Museum Membership	Kr	nowledge -	Art	NO		
	n	Mean	SD	(ANOVA)		
No	742	5.24	1.157			
Yes	755	5.23	1.039			
TOTAL	1497	5.23	1.099			
Other Museum Membership	Comple	ment Hom	ie-School	NO		
	n	Mean	SD	(ANOVA)		
No	741	4.97	1.211			
Yes	755	4.91	1.139			
TOTAL	1496	4.94	1.175			

In terms of benefits for parents, a couple of statistically significant differences were found in the comparison between members and non-members (Table 25). Specifically, non-members rated more highly the benefits related to art skills in observation and attitudes towards art museums.

Table 25: Benefits to Parents by Membership in Other Museums

Variable	Relevant Statistics		istics	Statistically Sig. Diff.?
Other Museum Membership	Art Skills – Art Making		/laking	NO
	n	Mean	SD	(ANOVA)
No	739	4.62	1.712	
Yes	755	4.46	1.674	
TOTAL	1494	4.54	1.694	
Other Museum Membership	Art Skills – Observation			YES
	n	Mean	SD	(ANOVA, F=4.375, df=1, p<.05, n=1496)
No	742	4.71	1.542	
Yes	754	4.55	1.500	
TOTAL	1496	4.63	1.522	
Other Museum Membership	Attitudes - Art Museums			YES
	n	Mean	SD	(ANOVA, F=4.216, df=1, p<.05, n=1497)
No	742	5.33	1.258	



Variable	Rel	evant Stat	istics	Statistically Sig. Diff.?
Yes	755	5.19	1.306	
TOTAL	1497	5.26	1.284	
Other Museum Membership	Attitudes - Art		٩rt	NO
	n	Mean	SD	(ANOVA)
No	742	4.97	1.435	
Yes	755	4.85	1.390	
TOTAL	1497	4.91	1.413	
Other Museum Membership	Kr	nowledge -	Art	NO
	n	Mean	SD	(ANOVA)
No	742	4.59	1.564	
Yes	754	4.49	1.455	
TOTAL	1496	4.54	1.511	
Other Museum Membership	Facili	tator Art Le	earning	NO
	n	Mean	SD	(ANOVA)
No	742	5.56	1.091	
Yes	756	5.47	1.023	
TOTAL	1498	5.52	1.058	
Other Museum Membership	Succ	essful Pare	enting	NO
	n	Mean	SD	(ANOVA)
No	742	4.62	1.514	
Yes	754	4.52	1.545	
TOTAL	1496	4.57	1.530	
Other Museum Membership	Lear	ning about	Child	NO
	n	Mean	SD	(ANOVA)
No	743	5.38	1.199	
Yes	756	5.33	1.126	
TOTAL	1499	5.35	1.163	

In terms of benefits to parent/child relationships, there were no differences in ratings between members and non-members of other museums (Table 26).

Table 26: Benefits to the Parent-Child Relationship by Membership in Other Museums

Variable	Relevant Statistics			Statistically Sig. Diff.?
Other Museum Membership	Bonding			NO
	n	Mean	SD	(ANOVA)
No	742	5.84	1.026	
Yes	756	5.77	0.983	
TOTAL	1498	5.80	1.005	



Other Museum Membership	(Conversatio	ns	NO
other wascam wembership	n	Mean	SD	(ANOVA)
No	742	5.17	1.372	
Yes	755	5.10	1.335	
TOTAL	1497	5.14	1.353	
Other Museum Membership	Group Identity			NO
	n	Mean	SD	(ANOVA)
No	743	4.92	1.479	
Yes	755	4.79	1.467	
TOTAL	1498	4.86	1.474	
Other Museum Membership	М	emory Mal	king	NO
	n	Mean	SD	(ANOVA)
No	742	6.00	1.041	
Yes	755	5.94	0.995	
TOTAL	1497	5.97	1.018	

Previous Museum Visitation x Benefits

The frequency with which respondents' reportedly visited museums (including a number of different museum types) was compared with their perceived benefits of the interactive space for themselves, for their parent/child relationship, and for their children. Looking at overall benefits, there were no significant correlations (Table 27).

Table 27: Overall Benefits by Museum Visitation

Overall Benefits	Visitation - Statistically Sig. Corr.? (p<.05)								
	Study	Art	History	Children	Science	Zoo/			
	Museum	Museum	Museum	Museum	Museum	Aquaria			
Overall – Children	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO			
Scale	(Pearson's)	(Pearson's)	(Pearson's)	(Pearson's)	(Pearson's)	(Pearson's)			
Overall – Parent	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO			
Scale	(Pearson's)	(Pearson's)	(Pearson's)	(Pearson's)	(Pearson's)	(Pearson's)			
Overall –	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO			
Relationship Scale	(Pearson's)	(Pearson's)	(Pearson's)	(Pearson's)	(Pearson's)	(Pearson's)			

Large = 0.5 and above Moderate = 0.3 to 0.5 Small = 0.1 to 0.3 Trivial = smaller 0.1

In terms of benefits to children, some significant positive correlations emerged, albeit trivial in size (Table 28). As frequency to study museums increased, so did the perceived benefit of the interactive spaces for children's self-development in independence and social skills. Visitation to art museums tended to correspond with higher ratings of the interactive spaces' benefits towards self-development in perspective taking. Finally, visitation to children's museums tended to correspond with higher ratings in the benefits of self-development in social skills.



Table 28: Benefits to Children by Museum Visitation

Benefits to		Visitat	ion - Statistica	lly Sig. Corr.?	(p<.05)	
Children	Study	Art	History	Children	Science	Zoo/
	Museum	Museum	Museum	Museum	Museum	Aquaria
Art Skills – Art	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO
Making	(Pearson's)	(Pearson's)	(Pearson's)	(Pearson's)	(Pearson's)	(Pearson's)
Art Skills –	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO
Observation	(Pearson's)	(Pearson's)	(Pearson's)	(Pearson's)	(Pearson's)	(Pearson's)
Attitudes - Art	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO
Museums	(Pearson's)	(Pearson's)	(Pearson's)	(Pearson's)	(Pearson's)	(Pearson's)
Attitudes - Art	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO
	(Pearson's)	(Pearson's)	(Pearson's)	(Pearson's)	(Pearson's)	(Pearson's)
Self –	YES, Pos.	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO
Independence	(Pearson's	(Pearson's)	(Pearson's)	(Pearson's)	(Pearson's)	(Pearson's)
	r= .063,					
	p<.05,					
	n=1499)					
Self – Perspective	NO	YES, Pos.	NO	NO	NO	NO
Taking	(Pearson's)	(Pearson's	(Pearson's)	(Pearson's)	(Pearson's)	(Pearson's)
		r= .054,				
		p<.05,				
		n=1501)				
Self – Expression	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO
.	(Pearson's)	(Pearson's)	(Pearson's)	(Pearson's)	(Pearson's)	(Pearson's)
Self – Social Skills	YES, Pos.	NO	NO	YES, Pos.	NO	NO
	(Pearson's	(Pearson's)	(Pearson's)	(Pearson's	(Pearson's)	(Pearson's)
	r= .083,			r= .065,		
	p<.05,			p<.05,		
	n=1498)			n=1500)		
Knowledge – Art	NO ()	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO
	(Pearson's)	(Pearson's)	(Pearson's)	(Pearson's)	(Pearson's)	(Pearson's)
Complement	NO ()	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO
Home-School	(Pearson's)	(Pearson's)	(Pearson's)	(Pearson's)	(Pearson's)	(Pearson's)

Large = 0.5 and above

Moderate = 0.3 to 0.5

Small = 0.1 to 0.3

Trivial = smaller 0.1

In terms of benefits for parents, a few trivial statistically significant correlations were found (Table 29). Specifically, as frequency of visitation to study museums increased, so did the perceived benefit of the interactive spaces in the development of parents' as a facilitator of art learning. Negative correlations were found related to visitation to history museums, in which higher visitation tended to correspond with lower ratings of the interactive spaces' benefits towards successful parenting and learning about child.



Table 29: Benefits to Parents by Museum Visitation

Benefits to	Visitation - Statistically Sig. Corr.? (p<.05)								
Parents	Study	Art	History	Children	Science	Zoo/			
	Museum	Museum	Museum	Museum	Museum	Aquaria			
Art Skills – Art	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO			
Making	(Pearson's)	(Pearson's)	(Pearson's)	(Pearson's)	(Pearson's)	(Pearson's)			
Art Skills –	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO			
Observation	(Pearson's)	(Pearson's)	(Pearson's)	(Pearson's)	(Pearson's)	(Pearson's)			
Attitudes - Art	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO			
Museums	(Pearson's)	(Pearson's)	(Pearson's)	(Pearson's)	(Pearson's)	(Pearson's)			
Attitudes - Art	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO			
	(Pearson's)	(Pearson's)	(Pearson's)	(Pearson's)	(Pearson's)	(Pearson's)			
Knowledge - Art	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO			
	(Pearson's)	(Pearson's)	(Pearson's)	(Pearson's)	(Pearson's)	(Pearson's)			
Facilitator Art	YES, Pos.	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO			
Learning	(Pearson's	(Pearson's)	(Pearson's)	(Pearson's)	(Pearson's)	(Pearson's)			
	r= .064,								
	p<.05,								
	n=1499)								
Successful	NO	NO	YES, Neg.	NO	NO	NO			
Parenting	(Pearson's)	(Pearson's)	(Pearson's	(Pearson's)	(Pearson's)	(Pearson's)			
			r=064,						
			p<.05,						
			n=1498)						
Learning about	NO	NO	YES, Neg.	NO	NO	NO			
Child	(Pearson's)	(Pearson's)	(Pearson's	(Pearson's)	(Pearson's)	(Pearson's)			
			r=051,						
			p<.05,						
			n=1501)						

Large = 0.5 and above

Moderate = 0.3 to 0.5

Small = 0.1 to 0.3

Trivial = smaller 0.1

In terms of benefits for parent/child relationships, a few trivial positive statistically significant correlations were found (Table 30). As frequency to study museums increased, so did the perceived benefit of the interactive spaces in the development of group identity. Higher visitation in art museums tended to correspond with higher ratings of the interactive spaces' benefits in promoting parent and child's conversations and group identity.



Table 30: Benefits to the Parent-Child Relationship by Museum Visitation

Benefits to	Visitation - Statistically Sig. Corr.? (p<.05)								
Relationship	Study	Art	History	Children	Science	Zoo/			
	Museum	Museum	Museum	Museum	Museum	Aquaria			
Bonding	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO			
	(Pearson's)	(Pearson's)	(Pearson's)	(Pearson's)	(Pearson's)	(Pearson's)			
Conversations	NO	YES, Pos.	NO	NO	NO	NO			
	(Pearson's)	(Pearson's	(Pearson's)	(Pearson's)	(Pearson's)	(Pearson's)			
		r= .061,							
		p<.05,							
		n=1499)							
Group Identity	YES, Pos.	YES, Pos.	NO	NO	NO	NO			
	(Pearson's	(Pearson's	(Pearson's)	(Pearson's)	(Pearson's)	(Pearson's)			
	r= .051,	r= .057,							
	p<.05,	p<.05,							
	n=1499)	n=1501)							
Memory Making	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO			
	(Pearson's)	(Pearson's)	(Pearson's)	(Pearson's)	(Pearson's)	(Pearson's)			

Large = 0.5 and above

Moderate = 0.3 to 0.5

Small = 0.1 to 0.3

Trivial = smaller 0.1

Interest in Art x Benefits

Several small positive statistically significant correlations were found when comparing the perceived benefits of the interactive space with respondents' interest in art. Specifically, respondents who were reportedly more interested in art rated the overall and specific benefits of the interactive spaces higher (Tables 31-34). The only benefits that did not show a statistically significant correlation with interest in art were the benefits of the interactive space in promoting children's self-development in social skills and complementing their home-school learning, and the benefits related to parents' attitude towards art museums, knowledge of art, and successful parenting.

Table 31: Overall Benefits by Interest in Art

Table 31. Overall Benefits by interest in 74t						
Variable	Benefits	Statistically Sig. Corr.? (p<.05)				
Interest in Art	Overall – Children Scale	YES, Pos. (Pearson's r= .093, p<.05, n=1502)				
(summated)	Overall – Parent Scale	YES, Pos. (Pearson's r= .070, p<.05, n=1502)				
	Overall – Relationship Scale	YES, Pos. (Pearson's r= .121, p<.05, n=1502)				
Large = 0.5 and ab	ove Moderate = 0.3 to 0.5	Small = 0.1 to 0.3 Trivial = smaller 0.1				

Table 32: Benefits to Children by Interest in Art

Variable	Benefits to Children	Statistically Sig. Corr.? (p<.05)
Interest in Art	Art Skills – Art Making	YES, Pos. (Pearson's r= .060, p<.05, n=1502)
(summated)	Art Skills - Observation	YES, Pos. (Pearson's r= .086, p<.05, n=1500)
	Attitudes - Art Museums	YES, Pos. (Pearson's r= .055, p<.05, n=1501)
	Attitudes - Art	YES, Pos. (Pearson's r= .117, p<.05, n=1500)



Variable		Benefits to Children		Statistically Sig. Corr.? (p<.05)			
	Self -	Independence		YES, Pos. (Pearson's r= .062, p<.05, n=15			
	Self –	Perspective Taking		YES, Pos. (Pearson's r= .121, p<.05, n=150			
	Self -	Expression		YES, Pos. (Pearson's r= .087, p<.05, n=1501)			
	Self –	Self – Social Skills			NO (Pearson's)		
	Know	Knowledge - Art			arson's r= .075, p<.05, n=1500)		
	Complement Home-School				NO (Pearson's)		
Large = 0.5 and	d above	Moderate = 0.3 to 0.5	Sm	all = 0.1 to 0.3	Trivial = smaller 0.1		

Table 33: Benefits to Parents by Interest in Art

Variable	Benefits to Children	Statistically Sig. Corr.? (p<.05)
Interest in Art	Art Skills – Art Making	YES, Pos. (Pearson's r= .069, p<.05, n=1497)
(summated)	Art Skills - Observation	YES, Pos. (Pearson's r= .067, p<.05, n=1499)
	Attitudes - Art Museums	NO (Pearson's)
	Attitudes - Art	YES, Pos. (Pearson's r= .090, p<.05, n=1500)
	Knowledge - Art	NO (Pearson's)
	Facilitator Art Learning	YES, Pos. (Pearson's r= .102, p<.05, n=1501)
	Successful Parenting	NO (Pearson's)
	Learning about Child	YES, Pos. (Pearson's r= .077, p<.05, n=1502)
Large = 0.5 and a	bove Moderate = 0.3 to 0.5	Small = 0.1 to 0.3 Trivial = smaller 0.1

Table 34: Benefits to the Parent-Child Relationship by Interest in Art

Variable	Benefits to Children	Statistically Sig. Corr.? (p<.05)
Interest in Art	Bonding	YES, Pos. (Pearson's r= .067, p<.05, n=1501)
(summated)	Conversations	YES, Pos. (Pearson's r= .119, p<.05, n=1500)
	Group Identity	YES, Pos. (Pearson's r= .159, p<.05, n=1501)
	Memory Making	YES, Pos. (Pearson's r= .079, p<.05, n=1500)
Large = 0.5 and a	bove Moderate = 0.3 to 0.5	Small = 0.1 to 0.3 Trivial = smaller 0.1

Group Composition x Benefits

The perceived benefits of the interactive spaces were also compared with group composition. In general, respondents from non-family and from non-parental familiar groups tended to rate the benefits the highest, while respondents from nuclear family and mixed family/ non-family groups tended to rate them the lowest (Table 35).



Table 35: Overall Benefits by Group Composition

Variable	Relevant Statistics		istics	Statistically Sig. Diff.?
Group Composition	Overa	ıll – Childre	n Scale	YES
	n	Mean	SD	(ANOVA, F=3.111, df=4, p<.05, n=1451)
Non-family	52	5.44	0.825	
Non-parental familial	158	5.24	0.837	
Extended family	202	5.12	0.801	
Nuclear family	878	5.08	0.870	
Mixed family/ non-family	161	5.06	0.909	
TOTAL	1451	5.11	0.863	
Group Composition	Over	all – Paren	t Scale	YES
	n	Mean	SD	(ANOVA, F=3.418, df=4, p<.05, n=1451)
Non-family	52	5.35	1.057	
Non-parental familial	158	5.16	1.090	
Extended family	202	5.01	1.108	
Mixed family/ non-family	161	4.92	1.162	
Nuclear family	878	4.91	1.123	
TOTAL	1451	4.97	1.123	
Group Composition	Overall	Relations	ship Scale	NO
	n	Mean	SD	(ANOVA)
Non-parental familial	158	5.68	1.069	
Non-family	52	5.65	1.016	
Extended family	202	5.50	1.016	
Nuclear family	878	5.46	1.046	
Mixed family/ non-family	161	5.43	1.082	
TOTAL	1451	5.49	1.049	

A few statistically significant differences were found in the perceived benefits to children and parents; some of them are described below:

- Respondents from nuclear family groups tended to rate the overall benefits to children and to parents lower than did those from non-parental familial and non-family groups.
- Respondents from non-family groups tended to rate the overall benefits to children and to parents higher than those from nuclear family and mixed family/ non-family groups.
- No statistically significant differences were found in the overall rating of benefits to parent-child relationship.



Table 36: Post Hoc (Mean Difference I-J): Overall Benefits by Group Composition

I			J		_
Overall – Children Scale	Nuclear family	Extended family	Non-parental familial	Non-family	Mixed family/ non-family
Nuclear family		041	155 [*]	354 [*]	.023
Extended family	.041	'	114	314*	.064
Non-parental familial	.155*	.114		199	.178
Non-family	.354*	.314*	.199		.378*
Mixed family/ non- family	023	064	178	378 [*]	
Overall – Parent Scale	Nuclear family	Extended family	Non-parental familial	Non-family	Mixed family/ non-family
Nuclear family		102	252 [*]	434 [*]	003
Extended family	.102	'	150	332	.098
Non-parental familial	.252*	.150		182	.249*
Non-family	.434*	.332	.182		.431*
Mixed family/ non- family	.003	098	249 [*]	431 [*]	

^{*.} The mean difference is significant at the 0.05 level.

When looking at the specific benefits to children, with the exception of attitudes towards art and art museums and development of social skills, respondents from non-family and from non-parental familiar groups tended to rate the benefits the highest and nuclear family and mixed family/ non-family groups tended to rate them the lowest (Table 37). The only statistically significant differences found related with child's self development; some of these differences are described below:

- Respondents from nuclear family groups and those from mixed family/non-family groups tended to rate the benefits to children's self development in independence, perspective-taking, and self-expression lower than did those from non-parental familial and non-family groups.
- Respondents from extended family groups tended to rate the benefits to children's development of independence and self-expression lower than non-parental familial; they also rated social skills and self-expression lower than did those from non-family groups.
- No statistically significant differences were found in the overall rating of benefits to parent-child relationship.

^{&#}x27;I' smaller than 'J'; 'I' larger than 'J'



Table 37: Benefits to Children by Group Composition

Variable	Relevant Statistics		istics	Statistically Sig. Diff.?
Group Composition	Art S	kills – Art N	/Jaking	NO
	n	Mean	SD	(ANOVA)
Non-family	52	5.84	0.799	
Non-parental familial	158	5.76	0.980	
Extended family	202	5.73	0.906	
Nuclear family	878	5.65	0.966	
Mixed family/ non-family	161	5.56	1.010	
TOTAL	1451	5.67	0.960	
Group Composition	Art Sk	kills – Obse	rvation	NO
	n	Mean	SD	(ANOVA)
Non-family	52	5.06	1.327	
Non-parental familial	157	5.01	1.424	
Extended family	202	4.95	1.232	
Nuclear family	878	4.90	1.333	
Mixed family/ non-family	160	4.89	1.380	
TOTAL	1449	4.92	1.334	
Group Composition	Attitud	des - Art M	useums	NO
	n	Mean	SD	(ANOVA)
Non-parental familial	158	5.96	0.929	
Non-family	52	5.93	0.848	
Nuclear family	878	5.82	0.948	
Mixed family/ non-family	160	5.79	0.976	
Extended family	202	5.76	0.992	
TOTAL	1450	5.83	0.952	
Group Composition	Δ	Attitudes - A	4rt	NO
	n	Mean	SD	(ANOVA)
Non-family	52	5.92	0.737	
Extended family	202	5.78	0.965	
Non-parental familial	158	5.70	1.120	
Nuclear family	877	5.68	0.985	
Mixed family/ non-family	160	5.60	1.052	
TOTAL	1449	5.70	0.999	
Group Composition	Self	- Independ	dence	YES
	n	Mean	SD	(ANOVA, F=1.608, df=4, p<.05, n=1450)
Non-family	52	5.47	1.053	
Non-parental familial	158	5.41	1.035	
Extended family	202	5.15	1.067	
Mixed family/ non-family	160	5.11	1.113	
Nuclear family	878	5.10	1.161	
TOTAL	1450	5.16	1.131	



Group Composition	Self –	Perspective	e Taking	YES
	n	Mean	SD	(ANOVA, F=3.020, df=4, p<.05, n=1450)
Non-family	52	5.42	1.221	
Non-parental familial	158	5.25	1.101	
Extended family	202	5.13	1.107	
Nuclear family	877	5.01	1.197	
Mixed family/ non-family	161	4.97	1.331	
TOTAL	1450	5.06	1.195	
Group Composition	Se	elf - Express	sion	YES
•	n	Mean	SD	(ANOVA, F=4.737, df=4, p<.05, n=1450)
Non-family	52	5.53	1.000	
Non-parental familial	158	5.38	1.122	
Extended family	202	5.13	1.077	
Nuclear family	877	5.06	1.129	
Mixed family/ non-family	161	5.06	1.167	
TOTAL	1450	5.12	1.127	
Group Composition	Se	lf – Social S	kills	YES
·	n	Mean	SD	(ANOVA, F=3.609, df=4, p<.05, n=1449)
Non-family	52	4.56	1.490	
Mixed family/ non-family	160	3.83	1.533	
Non-parental familial	158	3.77	1.465	
Nuclear family	877	3.74	1.621	
Extended family	202	3.68	1.430	
TOTAL	1449	3.77	1.571	
Group Composition	Kı	nowledge -	Art	NO
	n	Mean	SD	(ANOVA)
Non-family	52	5.40	0.950	
Non-parental familial	158	5.36	1.122	
Extended family	202	5.27	1.047	
Nuclear family	877	5.19	1.105	
Mixed family/ non-family	160	5.12	1.150	
TOTAL	1449	5.22	1.100	
Group Composition	Comple	ement Hom	e-School	NO
	n	Mean	SD	(ANOVA)
Non-family	52	5.28	1.061	
Non-parental familial	157	5.01	1.268	
Extended family	202	4.94	1.029	
Nuclear family	877	4.91	1.188	
Mixed family/ non-family	160	4.84	1.176	
TOTAL	1448	4.93	1.172	



Table 38: Post Hoc (Mean Difference I-J): Benefits to Children by Group Composition

l			J		
Self - Independence	Nuclear family	Extended family	Non-parental familial	Non-family	Mixed family/ non-family
Nuclear family		051	308 [*]	365 [*]	005
Extended family	.051		257 [*]	314	.046
Non-parental familial	.308*	.257*		057	.303*
Non-family	.365*	.314	.057		.360*
Mixed family/ non- family	.005	046	303 [*]	360 [*]	
Self – Perspective Taking	Nuclear family	Extended family	Non-parental familial	Non-family	Mixed family/ non-family
Nuclear family		116	245 [*]	410 [*]	.042
Extended family	.116		129	294	.158
Non-parental familial	.245*	.129		166	.286*
Non-family	.410*	.294	.166		.452*
Mixed family/ non- family	042	158	286 [*]	452 [*]	
Self - Expression	Nuclear family	Extended family	Non-parental familial	Non-family	Mixed family/ non-family
Nuclear family		071	326 [*]	472 [*]	001
Extended family	.071		256 [*]	402 [*]	.070
Non-parental familial	.326 [*]	.256 [*]		146	.326 [*]
Non-family	.472*	.402 [*]	.146		.472*
Mixed family/ non- family	.001	070	326 [*]	472 [*]	
Self – Social Skills	Nuclear family	Extended family	Non-parental familial	Non-family	Mixed family/ non-family
Nuclear family		.061	034	818 [*]	094
Extended family	061		095	879 [*]	155
Non-parental familial	.034	.095		784 [*]	060
Non-family	.818*	.879 [*]	.784*		.724 [*]
Mixed family/ non- family	.094	.155	.060	724 [*]	

^{*.} The mean difference is significant at the 0.05 level.

Ratings of specific benefits to parents had the similar trend of respondents from non-family and from non-parental familiar groups rating the benefits the highest and nuclear family and mixed family/ non-family groups rating them the lowest (Table 39). Several statistically significant differences were found, some of which are described below (Table 40):

• Respondents from nuclear family groups tended to rate the benefits of the interactive spaces in developing parents' art skills in art making and observation, attitudes towards

^{&#}x27;I' smaller than 'J'; 'I' larger than 'J'



- art, knowledge of art, and skills as facilitator of art learning lower than did those of non-parental familial groups.
- Respondents from nuclear family groups tended to rate the benefits of the interactive spaces in developing parents' art skills in observation, attitudes towards art, knowledge of art, and skills as successful parenting lower than did those of non-family groups.
- Respondents from non-family groups rated the benefits related to successful parenting higher than any other group. They also rated art skills in observation, attitudes towards art, and knowledge of art higher than those from nuclear family and mixed family/ non-family groups.

Table 39: Benefits to Parents by Group Composition

Variable	Relevant Statistics			Statistically Sig. Diff.?
Group Composition	Art S	kills – Art N	/laking	YES
	n	Mean	SD	(ANOVA, F=2.530, df=4, p<.05, n=1446)
Non-parental familial	158	4.87	1.632	
Non-family	52	4.81	1.690	
Extended family	201	4.61	1.713	
Mixed family/ non-family	160	4.49	1.704	
Nuclear family	875	4.45	1.704	
TOTAL	1446	4.53	1.701	
Group Composition	Art Sl	kills – Obse	rvation	YES
	n	Mean	SD	(ANOVA, F=5.524, df=4, p<.05, n=1148)
Non-family	52	5.13	1.511	
Non-parental familial	158	5.05	1.469	
Extended family	202	4.64	1.463	
Mixed family/ non-family	160	4.62	1.551	
Nuclear family	876	4.53	1.527	
TOTAL	1448	4.63	1.524	
Group Composition	Attitu	des - Art M	useums	NO
	n	Mean	SD	(ANOVA)
Non-family	52	5.55	1.138	
Non-parental familial	158	5.45	1.294	
Extended family	202	5.31	1.266	
Mixed family/ non-family	160	5.28	1.288	
Nuclear family	877	5.21	1.288	
TOTAL	1449	5.27	1.282	
Group Composition	P	Attitudes - A	4rt	YES
	n	Mean	SD	(ANOVA, F=3.197, df=4, p<.05, n=1449)
Non-family	52	5.39	1.353	
Non-parental familial	158	5.12	1.345	
Extended family	202	5.00	1.397	
Mixed family/ non-family	160	4.90	1.424	



Variable	Rel	Relevant Statistics		Statistically Sig. Diff.?
Nuclear family	877	4.84	1.428	
TOTAL	1449	4.92	1.416	
Group Composition	Kı	nowledge -	Art	YES
	n	Mean	SD	(ANOVA, F=2.895, df=4, p<.05, n=1448)
Non-family	52	4.97	1.387	
Non-parental familial	157	4.77	1.470	
Extended family	202	4.65	1.493	
Mixed family/ non-family	160	4.48	1.545	
Nuclear family	877	4.46	1.524	
TOTAL	1448	4.54	1.515	
Group Composition	Facili	tator Art Le	earning	YES
	n	Mean	SD	(ANOVA, F=2.375, df=4, p<.05, n=1450)
Non-family	52	5.71	0.895	
Non-parental familial	158	5.69	0.989	
Extended family	202	5.59	1.041	
Nuclear family	877	5.48	1.062	
Mixed family/ non-family	161	5.41	1.130	
TOTAL	1450	5.52	1.056	
Group Composition	Succ	cessful Pare	enting	YES
	n	Mean	SD	(ANOVA, F=3.124, df=4, p<.05, n=1448)
Non-family	52	5.25	1.320	
Non-parental familial	158	4.71	1.537	
Nuclear family	875	4.56	1.512	
Extended family	202	4.49	1.589	
Mixed family/ non-family	161	4.52	1.502	
TOTAL	1448	4.58	1.522	
Group Composition	Lear	ning about	Child	NO
	n	Mean	SD	(ANOVA)
Non-family	52	5.63	0.924	
Extended family	202	5.44	1.070	
Non-parental familial	158	5.41	1.141	
Nuclear family	878	5.34	1.175	
Mixed family/ non-family	161	5.23	1.246	
TOTAL	1451	5.36	1.158	



Table 40: Post Hoc (Mean Difference I-J): Benefits to Parents by Group Composition

l			J		
Art Skills – Art Making	Nuclear family	Extended family	Non-parental familial	Non-family	Mixed family/ non-family
Nuclear family		158	418 [*]	359	038
Extended family	.158		260	201	.119
Non-parental familial	.418*	.260		.059	.380*
Non-family	.359	.201	059		.320
Mixed family/ non-	.038	119	380 [*]	320	
family Art Skills –	Nuclear family	Extended family	Non-parental	Non-family	Mixed family/
Observation	reacted failing	Extended family	familial	ivon-ranniy	non-family
Nuclear family		110	528 [*]	599 [*]	096
Extended family	.110		418 [*]	489 [*]	.014
Non-parental familial	.528 [*]	.418*		071	.432 [*]
Non-family	.599 [*]	.489 [*]	.071		.503 [*]
Mixed family/ non- family	.096	014	432 [*]	503 [*]	
Attitudes - Art	Nuclear family	Extended family	Non-parental familial	Non-family	Mixed family/ non-family
Nuclear family		166	282 [*]	559 [*]	066
Extended family	.166		115	393	.101
Non-parental familial	.282*	.115		277	.216
Non-family	.559 [*]	.393	.277		.493*
Mixed family/ non- family	.066	101	216	493 [*]	
Knowledge - Art	Nuclear family	Extended family	Non-parental familial	Non-family	Mixed family/ non-family
Nuclear family		187	310 [*]	509 [*]	016
Extended family	.187		123	323	.170
Non-parental familial	.310*	.123		199	.294
Non-family	.509 [*]	.323	.199		.493 [*]
Mixed family/ non- family	.016	170	294	493 [*]	
Facilitator Art	Nuclear family	Extended family	Non-parental	Non-family	Mixed family/
Learning			familial		non-family
Nuclear family		104	206 [*]	230	.074
Extended family	.104		102	126	.178
Non-parental familial	.206*	.102		024	.280 [*]
Non-family	.230	.126	.024		.304
Mixed family/ non- family	074	178	280 [*]	304	



Successful Parenting	Nuclear family	Extended family	Non-parental familial	Non-family	Mixed family/ non-family
Nuclear family		.069	152	695 [*]	.037
Extended family	069		221	764 [*]	033
Non-parental familial	.152	.221		543 [*]	.188
Non-family	.695*	.764 [*]	.543*		.731*
Mixed family/ non- family	037	.033	188	731 [*]	

^{*.} The mean difference is significant at the 0.05 level.

In general, mixed family/ non-family groups had the lowest ratings in all of the specific benefits to parent-child relationship parents (Table 41). With the exception of benefits to group identity, the other scales had non-family or non-parental familial groups with the highest ratings. Only benefits to group identity showed statistically significant differences (Table 42):

- Respondents from non-parental familial groups rated the benefits of the interactive spaces in developing group identity higher than those from nuclear family and mixed family/ non-family groups.
- Respondents from mixed family/non-family groups rated this benefit lower than those from non-parental familial and non-family groups.

Table 41: Benefits to the Parent-Child Relationship by Group Composition

Variable	Relevant Statistics		istics	Statistically Sig. Diff.?
Group Composition		Bonding		NO
	N	Mean	SD	(ANOVA)
Non-parental familial	158	5.92	1.021	
Non-family	52	5.88	0.867	
Nuclear family	877	5.80	0.994	
Extended family	202	5.80	1.004	
Mixed family/ non-family	161	5.78	1.051	
TOTAL	1450	5.81	1.000	
Group Composition	C	Conversatio	ns	NO
	N	Mean	SD	(ANOVA)
Non-family	52	5.38	1.498	
Non-parental familial	158	5.37	1.344	
Extended family	202	5.16	1.279	
Nuclear family	877	5.10	1.362	
Mixed family/ non-family	160	5.07	1.346	
TOTAL	1449	5.15	1.353	

^{&#}x27;I' smaller than 'J'; 'I' larger than 'J'



Group Composition	G	roup Ident	ity	YES
	N	Mean	SD	(ANOVA, F=3.214, df=4, p<.05, n=1450)
Extended family	202	4.89	1.389	
Nuclear family	878	4.82	1.453	
Non-family	52	5.19	1.593	
Non-parental familial	158	5.18	1.482	
Mixed family/ non-family	160	4.70	1.551	
TOTAL	1450	4.87	1.468	
Group Composition	М	emory Mal	king	NO
	n	Mean	SD	(ANOVA)
Non-parental familial	158	6.15	0.970	
Non-family	52	6.03	0.866	
Extended family	202	5.99	1.018	
Nuclear family	877	5.95	1.010	
Mixed family/ non-family	160	5.93	1.089	
TOTAL	1449	5.98	1.012	

Table 42: Post Hoc (Mean Difference I-J): Benefits to the Parent-Child Relationship by Group Composition

I			J		
Group Identity	Nuclear family	Extended family	Non-parental familial	Non-family	Mixed family/ non-family
Nuclear family		077	364 [*]	371	.113
Extended family	.077		287	293	.191
Non-parental familial	.364*	.287		007	.477*
Non-family	.371	.293	.007		.484*
Mixed family/ non-	113	191	477 [*]	484 [*]	
family					

^{*.} The mean difference is significant at the 0.05 level.

How do the perceived benefits of interactive spaces in art museums interact with families' reasons for visiting the museum?

The benefits of visiting the interactive space were compared with motivations for coming to the museum (Table 43). In general, respondents who came to the museum with the primary motivation of entertainment rated the overall benefits for child, parent, and parent-child the highest. Those who came motivated by an exhibition rated the overall benefits for child, parent, and parent-child relationship the lowest.

^{&#}x27;I' smaller than 'J'; 'I' larger than 'J'



Table 43: Overall Benefits by Motivation to Come to the Museum

Variable	Relevant Statistics		stics	Statistically Sig. Diff.?
Motivation to Come to the	Overal	I – Childre	n Scale	YES
Museum	n	Mean	SD	(ANOVA, F=2.433, df=7, p<.05, n=1482)
Entertainment	34	5.41	0.820	
Place: Program-based	114	5.19	0.865	
Social Event	179	5.17	0.871	
Interactive Space	309	5.17	0.778	
Practical Issues	245	5.13	0.839	
Content	218	5.11	0.935	
Place	129	5.00	0.915	
Place: Exhibition-based	254	4.96	0.857	
TOTAL	1482	5.11	0.860	
Motivation to Come to the	Overa	ıll – Parent	Scale	YES
Museum	n	Mean	SD	(ANOVA, F=3.140, df=7, p<.05, n=1482)
Entertainment	34	5.30	1.049	
Interactive Space	309	5.10	1.024	
Place: Program-based	114	5.10	1.143	
Social Event	179	4.97	1.150	
Content	218	4.96	1.198	
Practical Issues	245	4.94	1.061	
Place	129	4.92	1.093	
Place: Exhibition-based	254	4.71	1.192	
TOTAL	1482	4.96	1.123	
Motivation to Come to the	Overa	ıll – Relatio	onship	YES
Museum		Scale		(ANOVA, F=3.023, df=7, p<.05, n=1482)
	n	Mean	SD	
Entertainment	34	5.90	0.909	
Interactive Space	309	5.60	0.929	
Place: Program-based	114	5.58	1.130	
Content	218	5.48	1.096	
Place	129	5.47	1.002	
Practical Issues	245	5.47	1.021	
Social Event	179	5.46	1.082	
Place: Exhibition-based	254	5.27	1.128	
TOTAL	1482	5.48	1.051	

Several statistically significant differences were found (Table 44); some of them are discussed below:

• Respondents motivated to come to the museum to attend an exhibition rated the overall benefits for children, parents, and parent-child relationship lower than did those



motivated by entertainment, practical issues, the interactive space itself, or a particular program.

• Respondents motivated by entertainment tended to rate the benefits for parent-child relationship higher than almost any other groups; the exceptions were those motivated by the interactive space and a museum program, who had similar rating.

Table 44: Post Hoc (Mean Difference I-J): Overall Benefits by Motivation to Come to the Museum

1					l			
Overall – Children Scale	Place	Content	Social Event	Entertain ment	Practical Issues	Interactiv e Space	Place- Exhibition	Place- Program
Place		108	167	406 [*]	132	164	.039	191
Content	.108		060	299	024	056	.146	083
Social Event	.167	.060		239	.036	.004	.206*	023
Entertainment	.406*	.299	.239		.275	.243	.445*	.215
Practical Issues	.132	.024	036	275		032	.170*	059
Interactive Space	.164	.056	004	243	.032		.202*	027
Place-Exhibition	039	146	206 [*]	445 [*]	170 [*]	202 [*]		230 [*]
Place-Program	.191	.083	.023	215	.059	.027	.230 [*]	
Overall – Parent Scale	Place	Content	Social Event	Entertain ment	Practical Issues	Interactiv e Space	Place- Exhibition	Place- Program
Place		039	047	376	022	175	.208	174
Content	.039		008	336	.017	135	.248*	135
Social Event	.047	.008		329	.025	128	.255*	127
Entertainment	.376	.336	.329		.354	.201	.584*	.201
Practical Issues	.022	017	025	354		152	.231*	152
Interactive Space	.175	.135	.128	201	.152		.383*	.000
Place-Exhibition	208	248 [*]	255 [*]	584 [*]	231 [*]	383 [*]		383 [*]
Place-Program	.174	.135	.127	201	.152	.000	.383*	
Overall – Relationship Scale	Place	Content	Social Event	Entertain ment	Practical Issues	Interactiv e Space	Place- Exhibition	Place- Program
Place		006	.016	425 [*]	.005	129	.205	102
Content	.006		.022	419 [*]	.011	123	.211*	096
Social Event	016	022		441*	011	145	.189	118
Entertainment	.425*	.419*	.441*		.430*	.296	.630*	.323
Practical Issues	005	011	.011	430 [*]		134	.200*	107
Interactive Space	.129	.123	.145	296	.134		.334*	.027
Place-Exhibition	205	211*	189	630 [*]	200 [*]	334 [*]		307 [*]
Place-Program	.102	.096	.118	323	.107	027	.307*	

^{*.} The mean difference is significant at the 0.05 level.

^{&#}x27;I' smaller than 'J'; 'I' larger than 'J'



When looking at the specific benefits to children for visiting the interactive space, several statistically significant differences were found (Tables 45-46); some of them are presented below:

- Respondents motivated to come to the museum because of the interactive space or for entertainment rated the benefits to children's art-making skills higher than did those motivated by the museum as a destination (place) or a specific exhibition in the museum.
- Respondents motivated by the museum as a destination (place) rated the benefits for children's self-development in independence and social skills lower than did those motivated by the museum content or a specific program, and also those motivated by entertainment and practical issues.
- Respondents motivated by a specific exhibition rated the benefits to children's art skills in art making, self-development in self expression and social skills, and in complementing home-school learning lower than did those motivated by practical issues and the interactive space.



Table 45: Benefits to Children by Motivation to Come to the Museum

Variable	Rele	Relevant Statistics		Statistically Sig. Diff.?
Motivation to Come to the	Art Sl	Art Skills – Art Making		YES
Museum	n	Mean	SD	(ANOVA, F=2.669, df=7, p<.05, n=1482
Entertainment	34	5.94	0.739	
Interactive Space	309	5.79	0.841	
Social Event	179	5.75	0.924	
Practical Issues	245	5.70	0.938	
Place: Program-based	114	5.66	0.997	
Content	218	5.65	1.036	
Place	129	5.57	1.072	
Place: Exhibition-based	254	5.50	1.001	
TOTAL	1482	5.67	0.960	
Motivation to Come to the	Art Sk	ills – Obse	rvation	NO
Museum	n	Mean	SD	(ANOVA)
Entertainment	34	5.15	1.234	
Place: Program-based	114	5.05	1.264	
Place	129	5.04	1.184	
Social Event	178	5.03	1.378	
Content	218	4.94	1.515	
Place: Exhibition-based	254	4.94	1.284	
Practical Issues	245	4.83	1.357	
Interactive Space	308	4.81	1.277	
TOTAL	1480	4.93	1.332	
Motivation to Come to the	Attituc	les - Art M	useums	NO
Museum	n	Mean	SD	(ANOVA)
e: Program-based	114	6.03	0.832	
Entertainment	34	5.86	1.073	
Social Event	179	5.83	0.993	
Interactive Space	308	5.83	0.881	
Practical Issues	245	5.82	0.902	
Content	218	5.81	1.008	
Place: Exhibition-based	254	5.77	1.000	
Place	129	5.68	1.027	
TOTAL	1481	5.82	0.953	
Motivation to Come to the	A	ttitudes - A	Art	NO
Museum	n	Mean	SD	(ANOVA)
Entertainment	34	6.05	0.682	
Social Event	179	5.76	0.971	
Interactive Space	307	5.75	0.904	
Content	218	5.71	1.152	
Place: Program-based	114	5.71	1.003	
Practical Issues	245	5.64	0.985	



Variable	Rele	evant Stat	istics	Statistically Sig. Diff.?
Place	129	5.63	1.024	
Place: Exhibition-based	254	5.62	0.998	
TOTAL	1480	5.70	0.996	
Motivation to Come to the	Self -	- Independ	lence	YES
Museum	n	Mean	SD	(ANOVA, F=2.773, df=7, p<.05, n=1481)
Entertainment	34	5.47	1.048	
Interactive Space	308	5.27	0.981	
Practical Issues	245	5.25	1.040	
Place: Program-based	114	5.21	1.234	
Content	218	5.16	1.241	
Social Event	179	5.09	1.171	
Place: Exhibition-based	254	5.06	1.138	
Place	129	4.85	1.206	
TOTAL	1481	5.16	1.128	
Motivation to Come to the	Self – F	erspective	e Taking	NO
Museum	n	Mean	SD	(ANOVA)
Social Event	179	5.22	1.192	
Entertainment	34	5.17	1.117	
Place: Program-based	114	5.12	1.280	
Content	218	5.10	1.309	
Interactive Space	308	5.04	1.130	
Place	129	5.01	1.171	
Practical Issues	245	4.99	1.193	
Place: Exhibition-based	254	4.98	1.121	
TOTAL	1481	5.06	1.189	
Motivation to Come to the	Sel	f - Express	sion	YES
Museum	n	Mean	SD	(ANOVA, F=3.794, df=7, p<.05, n=1481)
Entertainment	34	5.57	1.022	
Social Event	179	5.22	1.109	
Interactive Space	308	5.22	0.989	
Practical Issues	245	5.21	1.038	
Place: Program-based	114	5.15	1.295	
Content	218	5.07	1.233	
Place	129	5.01	1.165	
Place: Exhibition-based	254	4.86	1.129	
TOTAL	1481	5.12	1.123	



Motivation to Come to the	Sel	f – Social S	kills	YES
Museum	n	Mean	SD	(ANOVA, F=3.712, df=7, p<.05, n=1480)
Entertainment	34	4.36	1.572	
Place: Program-based	114	4.22	1.665	
Practical Issues	245	3.83	1.563	
Content	218	3.82	1.604	
Interactive Space	307	3.79	1.502	
Social Event	179	3.76	1.555	
Place: Exhibition-based	254	3.52	1.559	
Place	129	3.47	1.611	
TOTAL	1480	3.77	1.577	
Motivation to Come to the	Kn	owledge -	Art	NO
Museum	n	Mean	SD	(ANOVA)
Entertainment	34	5.64	0.958	
Social Event	179	5.32	1.078	
Interactive Space	307	5.26	1.045	
Place	129	5.25	1.058	
Place: Program-based	114	5.22	1.186	
Content	218	5.20	1.137	
Practical Issues	245	5.19	1.142	
Place: Exhibition-based	254	5.11	1.096	
TOTAL	1480	5.23	1.099	
Motivation to Come to the	Complei	ment Hom	e-School	YES
Museum	n	Mean	SD	(ANOVA, F=2.056, df=7, p<.05, n=1479)
Entertainment	34	5.06	1.108	
Practical Issues	245	5.03	1.148	
Social Event	179	5.02	1.126	
Interactive Space	307	5.02	1.059	
Content	218	4.92	1.285	
Place	129	4.91	1.245	
Place: Program-based	113	4.86	1.266	
Place: Exhibition-based	254	4.71	1.193	
TOTAL	1479	4.93	1.177	



Table 46: Post Hoc (Mean Difference I-J): Benefits to Children by Motivation to Come to the Museum

l]			
Art Skills – Art	Place	Content	Social	Entertain	Practical	Interactiv	Place-	Place-
Making			Event	ment	Issues	e Space	Exhibition	Program
Place		080	179	373 [*]	132	218 [*]	.072	089
Content	.080		099	292	052	138	.152	009
Social Event	.179	.099		194	.047	039	.251*	.089
Entertainment	.373 [*]	.292	.194		.241	.154	.445*	.283
Practical Issues	.132	.052	047	241		086	.204*	.042
Interactive Space	.218 [*]	.138	.039	154	.086		.290*	.129
Place-Exhibition	072	152	251 [*]	445 [*]	204 [*]	290 [*]		162
Place-Program	.089	.009	089	283	042	129	.162	
Self - Independence	Place	Content	Social Event	Entertain ment	Practical Issues	Interactiv e Space	Place- Exhibition	Place- Program
Place		314 [*]	237	620 [*]	396 [*]	416 [*]	213	358 [*]
Content	.314*		.077	306	081	102	.101	043
Social Event	.237	077		383	158	179	.025	120
Entertainment	.620 [*]	.306	.383		.225	.204	.408*	.263
Practical Issues	.396*	.081	.158	225		021	.183	.038
Interactive Space	.416*	.102	.179	204	.021		.203*	.059
Place-Exhibition	.213	101	025	408 [*]	183	203 [*]		145
Place-Program	.358*	.043	.120	263	038	059	.145	
Self - Expression	Place	Content	Social	Entertain	Practical	Interactiv	Place-	Place-
Place		061	Event 209	ment 565 [*]	Issues 200	e Space 210	Exhibition .149	Program 137
Content	.061	001	148	504 [*]	139	149	.210*	137
Social Event	.209	.148	140	356	.010	.000	.358*	.072
Entertainment	.565*	.504*	.356	550	.365	.355	.336 .714 [*]	.428*
Practical Issues	.200	.139	010	365	.303	.333 010	.349*	.063
Interactive Space	.210	.139	.000	355	.010	010	.359*	.003
Place-Exhibition	149	210 [*]	358*	714 [*]	349 [*]	359 [*]	.333	286 [*]
Place-Program	.137	.076	072	714 428 [*]	063	073	.286*	200
Self – Social Skills	Place	Content	Social	Entertain	Practical	Interactiv	Place-	Place-
Sell – Social Skills	riace	Content	Event	ment	Issues	e Space	Exhibition	Program
Place		348 [*]	295	891 [*]	364 [*]	318	049	747 [*]
Content	.348 [*]		.053	544	016	.029	.298*	399 [*]
Social Event	.295	053		596 [*]	069	023	.246	452 [*]
Entertainment	.891*	.544	.596*		.527	.573*	.842 [*]	.145
Practical Issues	.364*	.016	.069	527		.046	.315*	383 [*]
Interactive Space	.318	029	.023	573 [*]	046		.269 [*]	428 [*]
Place-Exhibition	.049	298 [*]	246	842 [*]	315 [*]	269 [*]		698*
Place-Program	.747*	.399*	.452 [*]	145	.383*	.428*	.698 [*]	



Complement Home-School	Place	Content	Social Event	Entertain ment	Practical Issues	Interactiv e Space	Place- Exhibition	Place- Program
Place		017	112	152	124	116	.196	.046
Content	.017		095	135	107	099	.212	.062
Social Event	.112	.095		040	012	004	.307*	.157
Entertainment	.152	.135	.040		.028	.036	.348	.197
Practical Issues	.124	.107	.012	028		.008	.319*	.169
Interactive Space	.116	.099	.004	036	008		.312*	.161
Place-Exhibition	196	212	307 [*]	348	319 [*]	312 [*]		150
Place-Program	046	062	157	197	169	161	.150	

^{*.} The mean difference is significant at the 0.05 level.

Several statistically significant differences were also found when comparing the specific benefits to parents for visiting the interactive space with their motivations to come to the museum (Tables 47-48); some of them are presented below:

- Respondents motivated to come to the museum because of a specific exhibition rated the benefits of the interactive space in promoting parents' attitudes towards art and developing parenting skills, such as skills as facilitator or art learning, feeling successful parenting, and learning about child, lower than several other motivation groups.
- Those motivated by a specific program rated the benefits of the interactive space in supporting parent's attitudes towards art museum higher than almost every other group; the exception were those motivated by entertainment or the interactive space.

Table 47: Benefits to Parents by Motivation to Come to the Museum

Variable	Relevant Statistics			Statistically Sig. Diff.?
Motivation to Come to the	Art Skills – Art Making			NO
Museum	n	Mean	SD	(ANOVA)
Entertainment	34	4.88	1.656	
Interactive Space	307	4.67	1.650	
Place: Program-based	114	4.63	1.808	
Content	216	4.58	1.797	
Social Event	179	4.58	1.637	
Place	128	4.55	1.544	
Practical Issues	245	4.49	1.62	
Place: Exhibition-based	254	4.20	1.786	
TOTAL	1477	4.53	1.698	
Motivation to Come to the	Art Sk	ills – Obsei	rvation	NO
Museum	n	Mean	SD	(ANOVA)
Entertainment	34	4.85	1.485	
Content	218	4.78	1.528	

^{&#}x27;I' smaller than 'J'; 'I' larger than 'J'



Variable	Rele	evant Stati	stics	Statistically Sig. Diff.?
Place	129	4.70	1.409	
Social Event	179	4.68	1.556	
Interactive Space	307	4.66	1.492	
Place: Program-based	114	4.56	1.599	
Practical Issues	245	4.51	1.523	
Place: Exhibition-based	253	4.45	1.558	
TOTAL	1479	4.62	1.524	
Motivation to Come to the	Attitud	les - Art M	useums	YES
Museum	n	Mean	SD	(ANOVA, F=1.271, df=7, p<.05, n=1480)
Place: Program-based	114	5.54	1.233	
Entertainment	34	5.48	1.067	
Interactive Space	307	5.42	1.140	
Practical Issues	245	5.25	1.208	
Content	218	5.22	1.322	
Social Event	179	5.20	1.366	
Place	129	5.13	1.327	
Place: Exhibition-based	254	5.04	1.414	
TOTAL	1480	5.26	1.284	
Motivation to Come to the	A ⁻	ttitudes - A	\rt	YES
Museum	n	Mean	SD	(ANOVA, F=2.645, df=7, p<.05, n=1480)
Entertainment	34	5.41	1.237	
Place: Program-based	114	5.10	1.380	
Interactive Space	307	5.05	1.282	
Social Event	179	4.90	1.508	
Place	129	4.89	1.372	
Content	218	4.89	1.499	
Practical Issues	245	4.86	1.370	
Place: Exhibition-based	254	4.65	1.499	
TOTAL	1480	4.91	1.416	
Motivation to Come to the	Kn	owledge -	Art	NO
Museum	n	Mean	SD	(ANOVA)
Entertainment	34	4.76	1.396	
Interactive Space	306	4.64	1.419	
Content	218	4.61	1.588	
Social Event	179	4.61	1.492	
Place	129	4.60	1.412	
Place: Program-based	114	4.60	1.639	
Practical Issues	245	4.46	1.435	
Place: Exhibition-based	254	4.27	1.636	
TOTAL	1479	4.53	1.514	



Motivation to Come to the	Facilit	ator Art Le	arning	YES
Museum	n	Mean	SD	(ANOVA, F=2.131, df=7, p<.05, n=1481)
Entertainment	34	5.75	1.049	
Place: Program-based	114	5.72	0.969	
Interactive Space	308	5.58	0.942	
Social Event	179	5.53	1.161	
Practical Issues	245	5.52	1.019	
Content	218	5.49	1.131	
Place	129	5.42	1.111	
Place: Exhibition-based	254	5.35	1.095	
TOTAL	1481	5.51	1.060	
Motivation to Come to the	Succ	essful Pare	nting	YES
Museum	n	Mean	SD	(ANOVA, F=3.865, df=7, p<.05, n=1479)
Interactive Space	308	4.79	1.45	
Place: Program-based	114	4.74	1.563	
Practical Issues	245	4.63	1.434	
Place	129	4.53	1.472	
Entertainment	34	5.07	1.609	
Content	218	4.52	1.593	
Social Event	179	4.49	1.534	
Place: Exhibition-based	252	4.21	1.605	
TOTAL	1479	4.57	1.531	
Motivation to Come to the	Leari	ning about	Child	YES
Museum	n	Mean	SD	(ANOVA, F=2.776, df=7, p<.05, n=1482)
Entertainment	34	5.74	0.861	
Interactive Space	309	5.48	1.028	
Place: Program-based	114	5.43	1.19	
Social Event	179	5.39	1.166	
Practical Issues	245	5.39	1.071	
Content	218	5.31	1.292	
Place	129	5.24	1.157	
Place: Exhibition-based	254	5.13	1.277	
TOTAL	1482	5.35	1.163	



Table 48: Post Hoc (Mean Difference I-J): Benefits to Parents by Motivation to Come to the Museum

I					J			
Attitudes - Art	Place	Content	Social	Entertain	Practical	Interactiv	Place-	Place-
Museums			Event	ment	Issues	e Space	Exhibition	Program *
Place		096	076	352	122	296	.089	409
Content	.096		.020	256	026	199	.185	312 [*]
Social Event	.076	020		276	046	220	.165	333 [*]
Entertainment	.352	.256	.276		.231	.057	.441	056
Practical Issues	.122	.026	.046	231		174	.210	287 [*]
Interactive Space	.296*	.199	.220	057	.174		.384*	113
Place-Exhibition	089	185	165	441	210	384 [*]		497 [*]
Place-Program	.409*	.312*	.333*	.056	.287*	.113	.497*	
Attitudes - Art	Place	Content	Social	Entertain	Practical	Interactiv	Place-	Place-
Place		.003	Event 008	ment 520	Issues .033	e Space 163	Exhibition .241	Program 204
Content	003	.003	011	523 [*]	.030	166	.238	207
Social Event	.008	.011	.011	512	.041	155	.249	196
Entertainment	.520	.523*	.512	.512	.553*	.357	.762*	.317
Practical Issues	033	030	041	553 [*]	.555	196	.208	237
Interactive Space	.163	.166	.155	357	.196	.130	.405*	040
Place-Exhibition	241	238	249	762 [*]	208	405 [*]	.405	445 [*]
Place-Program	.204	.207	.196	317	.237	.040	.445*	.443
Facilitator Art	Place	Content	Social	Entertain	Practical	Interactiv	Place-	Place-
Learning	i iucc	content	Event	ment	Issues	e Space	Exhibition	Program
Place		074	109	338	106	158	.072	305 [*]
Content	.074		034	263	032	084	.146	231
Social Event	.109	.034		229	.003	050	.181	196
Entertainment	.338	.263	.229		.232	.179	.410*	.033
Practical Issues	.106	.032	003	232		053	.178	199
Interactive Space	.158	.084	.050	179	.053		.231*	146
Place-Exhibition	072	146	181	410 [*]	178	231 [*]		377 [*]
Place-Program	.305*	.231	.196	033	.199	.146	.377*	
Successful	Place	Content	Social	Entertain	Practical	Interactiv	Place-	Place-
Parenting			Event	ment	Issues	e Space	Exhibition *	Program
Place		.011	.046	540	092	254	.328*	206
Content	011		.035	551 [*]	103	265 [*]	.317*	217
Social Event	046	035		586 [*]	138	300 [*]	.282	252
Entertainment	.540	.551*	.586 [*]		.448	.286	.868*	.334
Practical Issues	.092	.103	.138	448		162	.420*	114
Interactive Space	.254	.265*	.300*	286	.162		.582 [*]	.048
Place-Exhibition	328 [*]	317 [*]	282	868 [*]	420 [*]	582 [*]		534 [*]



Place-Program	.206	.217	.252	334	.114	048	.534*	
Learning about Child	Place	Content	Social Event	Entertain ment	Practical Issues	Interactiv e Space	Place- Exhibition	Place- Program
Place		063	153	498 [*]	153	236	.112	191
Content	.063		090	435 [*]	090	173	.175	128
Social Event	.153	.090		345	.000	083	.264*	039
Entertainment	.498*	.435*	.345		.345	.262	.610*	.307
Practical Issues	.153	.090	.000	345		083	.265 [*]	039
Interactive Space	.236	.173	.083	262	.083		.348*	.044
Place-Exhibition	112	175	264 [*]	610 [*]	265 [*]	348 [*]		303 [*]
Place-Program	.191	.128	.039	307	.039	044	.303*	

^{*.} The mean difference is significant at the 0.05 level.

Statistically significant differences were found in the parent-child benefits associated with bonding and memory making (Table 49):

- Respondents motivated to come to the museum for entertainment rated bonding and memory-making as benefits of the interactive space, higher than almost every other group.
- Respondents motivated by an exhibition in the museum rated bonding and memorymaking lower than several other group of respondents, particularly those motivated by entertainment, interactive space, and a specific museum program.

Table 49: Benefits to the Parent-Child Relationship by Motivation to Come to the Museum

Variable	Rele	vant Stati	stics	Statistically Sig. Diff.?
Motivation to Come to the		Bonding		YES
Museum	n	Mean	SD	(ANOVA, F=4.148, df=7, p<.05, n=1481)
Entertainment	34	6.29	0.736	
Interactive Space	308	5.95	0.823	
Place: Program-based	114	5.86	1.073	
Practical Issues	245	5.84	0.914	
Social Event	179	5.77	1.066	
Place	129	5.74	0.975	
Content	218	5.74	1.091	
Place: Exhibition-based	254	5.58	1.119	
TOTAL	1481	5.80	1.003	
Motivation to Come to the	Co	onversatio	ns	NO
Museum	n	Mean	SD	(ANOVA)
Entertainment	34	5.54	1.281	
Place: Program-based	114	5.28	1.444	
Place	129	5.26	1.183	

^{&#}x27;I' smaller than 'J'; 'I' larger than 'J'



Variable	Rele	evant Stati	stics	Statistically Sig. Diff.?
Content	218	5.19	1.377	
Social Event	179	5.16	1.316	
Interactive Space	307	5.14	1.309	
Practical Issues	245	5.06	1.383	
Place: Exhibition-based	254	4.95	1.407	
TOTAL	1480	5.13	1.351	
Motivation to Come to the	G	roup Ident	ity	NO
Museum	n	Mean	SD	(ANOVA)
Entertainment	34	5.19	1.538	
Interactive Space	308	4.98	1.411	
Content	218	4.95	1.463	
Place: Program-based	114	4.93	1.632	
Place	129	4.88	1.353	
Social Event	179	4.81	1.480	
Practical Issues	245	4.79	1.451	
Place: Exhibition-based	254	4.61	1.527	
TOTAL	1481	4.85	1.473	
Motivation to Come to the	Me	emory Mal	king	YES
Museum	n	Mean	SD	(ANOVA, F=3.074, df=7, p<.05, n=1480
Entertainment	34	6.37	0.772	
Interactive Space	307	6.10	0.891	
Place: Program-based	114	6.10	0.989	
Practical Issues	245	5.96	0.960	
Social Event	179	5.94	1.096	
Content	218	5.92	1.027	
Place	129	5.88	1.028	
Place: Exhibition-based	254	5.80	1.138	
TOTAL	1480	5.97	1.016	



Table 50: Post Hoc (Mean Difference I-J): Benefits to the Parent-Child Relationship by Motivation to Come to the Museum

l					J			
Bonding	Place	Content	Social Event	Entertain ment	Practical Issues	Interactiv e Space	Place- Exhibition	Place- Program
Place		.008	028	542 [*]	093	200	.162	119
Content	008		036	549 [*]	101	208 [*]	.155	126
Social Event	.028	.036		514*	065	172	.190	091
Entertainment	.542 [*]	.549 [*]	.514*		.448*	.342	.704*	.423 [*]
Practical Issues	.093	.101	.065	448 [*]		107	.256 [*]	025
Interactive Space	.200	.208*	.172	342	.107		.363*	.082
Place-Exhibition	162	155	190	704 [*]	256 [*]	363 [*]		281 [*]
Place-Program	.119	.126	.091	423 [*]	.025	082	.281*	
Memory Making	Place	Content	Social Event	Entertain ment	Practical Issues	Interactiv e Space	Place- Exhibition	Place- Program
Place		038	064	488 [*]	079	220 [*]	.085	221
Content	.038		027	450 [*]	042	182 [*]	.122	183
Social Event	.064	.027		424*	015	155	.149	157
Entertainment	.488*	.450 [*]	.424*		.408*	.268	.572 [*]	.267
Practical Issues	.079	.042	.015	408 [*]		140	.164	142
Interactive Space	.220 [*]	.182*	.155	268	.140		.304*	002
Place-Exhibition	085	122	149	572 [*]	164	304 [*]		306 [*]
Place-Program	.221	.183	.157	267	.142	.002	.306*	

^{*.} The mean difference is significant at the 0.05 level.

How do the perceived benefits of interactive spaces in art museums interact with participants' motivations for using those spaces?

The benefits of visiting the interactive space were compared with motivations for using the interactive space. Though not statistically significantly different, the ratings on the overall benefit scales differed based on motivations to visit the spaces. For example, while those motivated by a desire to spend time together as a group (social event) had the highest ratings in the overall parent scale, they had one of the lowest in the relationship and children scales (Tables 51-52). A few statistically significant differences were found regarding the overall children scale:

 Respondents motivated to come to the interactive space as a destination (place) rated the overall benefits to children lower than did those motivated by the spaces' content and design.

^{&#}x27;I' smaller than 'J'; 'I' larger than 'J'



• Those motivated by the interactive spaces as a behavioral tool rated the overall benefits to children lower than did those motivated by the spaces' content and design, by practical issues, and by entertainment.

Table 51: Overall Benefits by Motivation to Come to the Interactive Space

Variable	Rele	vant Statis	stics	Statistically Sig. Diff.?
Motivation to Come to the	Overal	l – Childrer	n Scale	YES
Interactive Space	n	Mean	SD	(ANOVA, F=2.884, df=7, p<.05, n=1440)
Content	249	5.23	0.782	
Design	423	5.17	0.811	
Practical Issues	128	5.15	0.925	
Entertainment	207	5.10	0.803	
Social Event	71	5.08	0.702	
Place	264	5.01	0.940	
Requested by Child	36	4.94	1.097	
Place-Behavioral	62	4.81	0.975	
TOTAL	1440	5.11	0.855	
Motivation to Come to the	Overa	II – Parent	Scale	NO
Interactive Space	n	Mean	SD	(ANOVA)
Social Event	71	5.05	0.923	
Content	249	5.05	1.082	
Design	423	5.01	1.046	
Practical Issues	128	4.99	1.151	
Place	264	4.93	1.219	
Entertainment	207	4.92	1.135	
Requested by Child	36	4.71	1.287	
Place-Behavioral	62	4.60	1.196	
TOTAL	1440	4.96	1.117	
Motivation to Come to the	Overall -	- Relationsl	nip Scale	NO
Interactive Space	n	Mean	SD	(ANOVA)
Practical Issues	128	5.55	0.999	
Place	264	5.52	1.119	
Content	249	5.52	1.046	
Design	423	5.52	1.041	
Entertainment	207	5.46	0.931	
Social Event	71	5.43	0.991	
Requested by Child	36	5.34	1.286	
Place-Behavioral	62	5.15	1.174	
TOTAL	1440	5.49	1.049	



Table 52: Post Hoc (Mean Difference I-J): Overall Benefits by Motivation to Come to the Interactive Space

l				J				
Overall – Children Scale	Place	Content	Social Event	Entertain ment	Practical Issues	Design	Place- Behavioral	Requested by Child
Place		219 [*]	070	089	143	162 [*]	.199	.067
Content	.219 [*]		.149	.130	.076	.057	.418*	.286
Social Event	.070	149		019	073	092	.269	.137
Entertainment	.089	130	.019		054	073	.288*	.156
Practical Issues	.143	076	.073	.054		019	.342*	.210
Design	.162*	057	.092	.073	.019		.361*	.229
Place-Behavioral	199	418 [*]	269	288 [*]	342 [*]	361 [*]		132
Requested by Child	067	286	137	156	210	229	.132	

^{*.} The mean difference is significant at the 0.05 level.

In general terms, when looking at the specific benefits to children for visiting the interactive space, those motivated by the content of the spaces tended to rate art-related skills, knowledge, and attitudes the highest (content-motivated respondents had the highest means in art skills in art making and in observation, attitudes towards art, development in perspective-taking, development in self-expression, knowledge of art, in complementing home-school learning). (See Tables 53-54.)

Those motivated by a request by a child or the space as a behavioral tool rated almost all of the benefits as one of the lowest. The two exceptions were the benefit associated with children's attitudes towards art museums, which was rated the highest by those motivated by the space as a behavioral tool; and the child's development in independence in which those motivated by a request from the child tended to rate it somewhat highly. Several statistically significant differences were found; some of them are presented below:

- Those respondents who were content-motivated had higher ratings in the benefits of the interactive space in children' in art skills in art making, attitudes towards art, knowledge of art, complement home-school, self-development in perspective taking and self-expression, than most others, but particularly those motivated by the interactive space as a destination (place) or a behavioral tool.
- Those motivated by the interactive space as a behavioral tool had lower ratings in the benefits of the interactive space in children's art skills in art making, attitudes towards art, self-development in perspective taking, self expression, knowledge of art, and in complementing home-school learning than most others, but particularly those motivated by content and design.

^{&#}x27;I' smaller than 'J'; 'I' larger than 'J'



• No statistically significant differences were found in the rating of art skills in observation, attitudes towards art museum, self-development in independence and social skills.

Table 53: Benefits to Children by Motivation to Come to the Interactive Space

Motivation to Come to the Interactive Space Art Skills—Art Wing YES (ANOVA, F=5.237, df=7, p<.05, n=1440)	Variable	Rele	evant Stati	stics	Statistically Sig. Diff.?
Content 249 5.81 0.823 Design 423 5.80 0.852 Social Event 71 5.69 0.824 Practical Issues 128 5.65 0.942 Entertainment 207 5.62 0.910 Place 264 5.50 1.119 Requested by Child 36 5.44 1.308 Place-Behavioral 62 5.26 1.193 TOTAL 1440 5.67 0.956 Motivation to Come to the Interactive Space n Mean SD Content 248 5.09 1.218 Entertainment 207 4.97 1.298 Social Event 71 4.94 1.227 Design 423 4.92 1.320 Practical Issues 127 4.91 1.319 Place 264 4.83 1.462 Requested by Child 36 4.83 1.462 Requested by Child 36 5.91 </td <td>Motivation to Come to the</td> <td>Art Sl</td> <td colspan="2">Art Skills – Art Making</td> <td>YES</td>	Motivation to Come to the	Art Sl	Art Skills – Art Making		YES
Design Social Event Social Event Practical Issues 128 5.69 0.824 Practical Issues Entertainment Place 264 5.50 0.942 Entertainment Place 264 5.50 1.119 Requested by Child Place-Behavioral TOTAL 36 5.44 1.308 Place-Behavioral TOTAL 1440 5.67 0.956 Motivation to Come to the Interactive Space Content 248 5.09 1.218 Entertainment Social Event Place	Interactive Space	n	Mean	SD	(ANOVA, F=5.237, df=7, p<.05, n=1440)
Social Event Practical Issues 128 5.69 0.824 Practical Issues 128 5.65 0.942 Entertainment 207 5.62 0.910 Place 264 5.50 1.119 Requested by Child 36 5.44 1.308 Place-Behavioral 62 5.26 1.193 TOTAL 1440 5.67 0.956 NO Motivation to Come to the Interactive Space n Mean SD (ANOVA) NO Content 248 5.09 1.218 ATT SWIIIS — Observation NO	Content	249	5.81	0.823	
Practical Issues 128 5.65 0.942 Entertainment 207 5.62 0.910 Place 264 5.50 1.119 Requested by Child 36 5.44 1.308 Place-Behavioral 62 5.26 1.193 TOTAL 1440 5.67 0.956 Motivation to Come to the Interactive Space n Mean SD (ANOVA) Content 248 5.09 1.218 (ANOVA) (ANOVA) Content 248 5.09 1.218 (ANOVA) (ANOVA) Social Event 71 4.94 1.227 (APOVA) (ANOVA) Practical Issues 127 4.91 1.319 (APOVA) (APOVA) Place-Behavioral 62 4.60 1.434 (APOVA) (APOVA) Place-Behavioral 62 5.91 1.066 (APOVA) (APOVA) Place-Behavioral 62 5.91 1.066 (APOVA) (APOVA) (APOVA) (AP	Design	423	5.80	0.852	
Entertainment 207 5.62 0.910 Place 264 5.50 1.119 Requested by Child 36 5.44 1.308 Place-Behavioral 62 5.26 1.193 TOTAL 1440 5.67 0.956 Motivation to Come to the Interactive Space n Mean SD (ANOVA) Content 248 5.09 1.218 NO Entertainment 207 4.97 1.298 ASOCIAL Event 71 4.94 1.227 Design 423 4.92 1.320 ASOCIAL Event ASOCIAL Event <td>Social Event</td> <td>71</td> <td>5.69</td> <td>0.824</td> <td></td>	Social Event	71	5.69	0.824	
Place Requested by Child Requested by Child Place-Behavioral TOTAL 36 5.44 1.308 1.119 1.119 1.108 1.108 1.108 1.108 1.109 1.109	Practical Issues	128	5.65	0.942	
Requested by Child Place-Behavioral TOTAL 36 5.44 1.308 1.193 Notivation to Come to the Interactive Space 1440 5.67 0.956 NO Motivation to Come to the Interactive Space n Mean SD (ANOVA) Content 248 5.09 1.218 (ANOVA) Entertainment 207 4.97 1.298 (ANOVA) Social Event 71 4.94 1.227 (APOVA) Design 423 4.92 1.320 (APOVA) Practical Issues 127 4.91 1.319 (APOVA) (APOVA) Place Behavioral 62 4.60 1.434 (APOVA) (APOVA) Place-Behavioral 62 4.60 1.434 (APOVA) (ANOVA) Place-Behavioral 62 5.91 1.066 (ANOVA) (ANOVA) Place-Behavioral 62 5.91 1.066 (ANOVA) (ANOVA) Place-Behavioral 62 5.81 0.976 0.987 (ANOVA) (ANOV	Entertainment	207	5.62	0.910	
Place-Behavioral TOTAL 62 1440 5.26 5.67 1.193 0.956 Motivation to Come to the Interactive Space Art Skills − Observation No Mean NO (ANOVA) Content 248 5.09 1.218 Entertainment 207 4.97 1.298 Social Event 71 4.94 1.227 Design 423 4.92 1.320 Practical Issues 127 4.91 1.319 Place 264 4.83 1.462 Requested by Child 36 4.83 1.464 Place-Behavioral 62 4.60 1.434 TOTAL 1438 4.92 1.333 Motivation to Come to the Interactive Space n Mean SD (ANOVA) Place-Behavioral 62 5.91 1.066 (ANOVA) Design 423 5.87 0.887 (ANOVA) Entertainment 207 5.84 0.973 (ANOVA) Place 264 5.83 1.033 (ANOVA) <	Place	264	5.50	1.119	
TOTAL 1440 5.67 0.956 Motivation to Come to the Interactive Space n Mean SD (ANOVA) Content 248 5.09 1.218 (ANOVA) Entertainment 207 4.97 1.298 (ANOVA) Social Event 71 4.94 1.227 (ANOVA) Design 423 4.92 1.320 (ANOVA) Practical Issues 127 4.91 1.319 (ANOVA) (ANOVA) Place 264 4.83 1.462 (ANOVA) (ANOVA) (ANOVA) Place-Behavioral 62 4.60 1.434 (ANOVA) (ANOVA) (ANOVA) Place-Behavioral 62 5.91 1.066 (ANOVA) (ANO	Requested by Child	36	5.44	1.308	
Motivation to Come to the Interactive Space Art Skills − Observation n Mean SD (ANOVA) NO (ANOVA) Content Content 248 5.09 1.218 Entertainment 207 4.97 1.298 Social Event 71 4.94 1.227 Design 423 4.92 1.320 Practical Issues 127 4.91 1.319 Place 264 4.83 1.462 Requested by Child 36 4.83 1.462 Requested by Child 36 4.83 1.464 Place-Behavioral 62 4.60 1.434 TOTAL 1438 4.92 1.333 NO (ANOVA) Motivation to Come to the Interactive Space Place Behavioral Design 423 5.87 0.887 Entertainment 207 5.84 0.973 Place 264 5.83 1.033 Content 249 5.78 0.916 Practical Issues 127 5.78 0.976 Social Event 71 5.77 0.794 Requested by Child 36 5.77 0.985 TOTAL 1439 5.83 0.945 No (ANOVA, F=2.626, df=7, p<.05, n=1438)	Place-Behavioral	62	5.26	1.193	
Interactive Space n Mean SD (ANOVA) Content 248 5.09 1.218 Entertainment 207 4.97 1.298 Social Event 71 4.94 1.227 Design 423 4.92 1.320 Practical Issues 127 4.91 1.319 Place 264 4.83 1.462 Requested by Child 36 4.83 1.464 Place-Behavioral 62 4.60 1.434 TOTAL 1438 4.92 1.33 Motivation to Come to the Interactive Space n Mean SD (ANOVA) Place-Behavioral 62 5.91 1.066 (ANOVA) (ANOVA) Place-Behavioral 62 5.91 1.066 (ANOVA) (ANOVA) (ANOVA) Place-Behavioral 207 5.84 0.973 (ANOVA) (ANOVA) (ANOVA) (ANOVA) (ANOVA) (ANOVA) (ANOVA) (ANOVA) (ANOVA) (ANOVA)<	TOTAL	1440	5.67	0.956	
Content 248 5.09 1.218 Entertainment 207 4.97 1.298 Social Event 71 4.94 1.227 Design 423 4.92 1.320 Practical Issues 127 4.91 1.319 Place 264 4.83 1.462 Requested by Child 36 4.83 1.464 Place-Behavioral 62 4.60 1.434 TOTAL 1438 4.92 1.333 Motivation to Come to the Interactive Space n Mean SD (ANOVA) Place-Behavioral 62 5.91 1.066 (ANOVA) (ANOVA) Place Behavioral 62 5.91 1.066 (ANOVA) (ANOVA) Place Behavioral 62 5.91 1.066 (ANOVA) (ANOVA) Place Content 207 5.84 0.973 (ANOVA) (ANOVA) Practical Issues 127 5.78 0.916 (ANOVA) (ANOVA) (ANOVA)	Motivation to Come to the	Art Sk	ills – Obse	rvation	NO
Entertainment 207 4.97 1.298 Social Event 71 4.94 1.227 Design 423 4.92 1.320 Practical Issues 127 4.91 1.319 Place 264 4.83 1.462 Requested by Child 36 4.83 1.464 Place-Behavioral 62 4.60 1.434 TOTAL 1438 4.92 1.333 Motivation to Come to the Interactive Space n Mean SD (ANOVA) Place-Behavioral 62 5.91 1.066 (ANOVA) (ANOVA) Place-Behavioral 62 5.91 1.066 (ANOVA) (ANOVA) Place-Behavioral 62 5.91 1.066 (ANOVA) (ANOVA)<	Interactive Space	n	Mean	SD	(ANOVA)
Social Event 71 4.94 1.227 Design 423 4.92 1.320 Practical Issues 127 4.91 1.319 Place 264 4.83 1.462 Requested by Child 36 4.83 1.464 Place-Behavioral 62 4.60 1.434 TOTAL 1438 4.92 1.333 Motivation to Come to the Interactive Space n Mean SD (ANOVA) Place-Behavioral 62 5.91 1.066 (ANOVA) (ANOVA) Place-Behavioral 62 5.91 1.066 (ANOVA) (ANOVA) Place-Behavioral 62 5.91 1.066 (ANOVA) (ANOVA) Place-Behavioral 62 5.81 1.036 (ANOVA) (ANOVA) Place-Behavioral 62 5.81 1.066 (ANOVA) (ANOVA) Place-Behavioral 50 5.83 1.033 (ANOVA) (ANOVA) Place-Behavioral 71 <	Content	248	5.09	1.218	
Design 423 4.92 1.320 Practical Issues 127 4.91 1.319 Place 264 4.83 1.462 Requested by Child 36 4.83 1.464 Place-Behavioral 62 4.60 1.434 TOTAL 1438 4.92 1.333 Motivation to Come to the Interactive Space n Mean SD (ANOVA) Place-Behavioral 62 5.91 1.066 (ANOVA) Place-Behavioral 62 5.91 1.066 (ANOVA) Place-Behavioral 62 5.91 1.066 (ANOVA) Place-Behavioral 62 5.87 0.887 (ANOVA) (ANOVA) Place-Behavioral 207 5.84 0.973 (ANOVA) (Entertainment	207	4.97	1.298	
Practical Issues 127 4.91 1.319 Place 264 4.83 1.462 Requested by Child 36 4.83 1.464 Place-Behavioral 62 4.60 1.434 TOTAL 1438 4.92 1.333 Motivation to Come to the Interactive Space n Mean SD (ANOVA) Place-Behavioral 62 5.91 1.066 (ANOVA) Design 423 5.87 0.887 0.887 Entertainment 207 5.84 0.973 Place 264 5.83 1.033 Content 249 5.78 0.916 Practical Issues 127 5.78 0.976 Social Event 71 5.77 0.794 Requested by Child 36 5.77 0.985 TOTAL 1439 5.83 0.945 YES Motivation to Come to the Interactive Space n Mean SD (ANOVA, F=2.626, df=7, p<.05, n=1438)	Social Event	71	4.94	1.227	
Place 264 4.83 1.462 Requested by Child 36 4.83 1.464 Place-Behavioral 62 4.60 1.434 TOTAL 1438 4.92 1.333 Motivation to Come to the Interactive Space n Mean SD Place-Behavioral 62 5.91 1.066 Design 423 5.87 0.887 Entertainment 207 5.84 0.973 Place 264 5.83 1.033 Content 249 5.78 0.916 Practical Issues 127 5.78 0.976 Social Event 71 5.77 0.794 Requested by Child 36 5.77 0.985 TOTAL 1439 5.83 0.945 Motivation to Come to the Interactive Space n Mean SD Content 248 5.84 0.85 Social Event 71 5.75 0.835 (ANOVA, F=2.626, df=7, p<.05, n=1438)	Design	423	4.92	1.320	
Requested by Child Place-Behavioral Place-Behavioral TOTAL 62	Practical Issues	127	4.91	1.319	
Place-Behavioral 62 4.60 1.434 TOTAL 1438 4.92 1.333 Motivation to Come to the Interactive Space Attitudes - Art Museums NO Interactive Space n Mean SD (ANOVA) Place-Behavioral 62 5.91 1.066 (ANOVA) Design 423 5.87 0.887 (ANOVA) (ANOVA) Entertainment 207 5.84 0.973 (ANOVA) (ANOVA) Place 264 5.83 1.033 (ANOVA) (ANOVA) (ANOVA) Practical Issues 127 5.78 0.916 (ANOVA)	Place	264	4.83	1.462	
TOTAL 1438 4.92 1.333 Motivation to Come to the Interactive Space Attitudes - Art Museums NO (ANOVA) Place-Behavioral 62 5.91 1.066 Design 423 5.87 0.887 Entertainment 207 5.84 0.973 Place 264 5.83 1.033 Content 249 5.78 0.916 Practical Issues 127 5.78 0.976 Social Event 71 5.77 0.794 Requested by Child 36 5.77 0.985 TOTAL 1439 5.83 0.945 Motivation to Come to the Interactive Space n Mean SD (ANOVA, F=2.626, df=7, p<.05, n=1438)	Requested by Child	36	4.83	1.464	
Motivation to Come to the Interactive Space Attitudes - Art Museums NO (ANOVA) Place-Behavioral 62 5.91 1.066 Design 423 5.87 0.887 Entertainment 207 5.84 0.973 Place 264 5.83 1.033 Content 249 5.78 0.916 Practical Issues 127 5.78 0.976 Social Event 71 5.77 0.794 Requested by Child 36 5.77 0.985 TOTAL 1439 5.83 0.945 Motivation to Come to the Interactive Space n Mean SD (ANOVA, F=2.626, df=7, p<.05, n=1438)	Place-Behavioral	62	4.60	1.434	
Interactive Space n Mean SD (ANOVA) Place-Behavioral 62 5.91 1.066 Design 423 5.87 0.887 Entertainment 207 5.84 0.973 Place 264 5.83 1.033 Content 249 5.78 0.916 Practical Issues 127 5.78 0.976 Social Event 71 5.77 0.794 Requested by Child 36 5.77 0.985 TOTAL 1439 5.83 0.945 Motivation to Come to the Interactive Space n Mean SD (ANOVA, F=2.626, df=7, p<.05, n=1438)	TOTAL	1438	4.92	1.333	
Place-Behavioral 62 5.91 1.066 Design 423 5.87 0.887 Entertainment 207 5.84 0.973 Place 264 5.83 1.033 Content 249 5.78 0.916 Practical Issues 127 5.78 0.976 Social Event 71 5.77 0.794 Requested by Child 36 5.77 0.985 TOTAL 1439 5.83 0.945 Motivation to Come to the Interactive Space Attitudes - Art YES Interactive Space n Mean SD Content 248 5.84 0.85 Social Event 71 5.75 0.835	Motivation to Come to the	Attitud	les - Art M	useums	NO
Design 423 5.87 0.887 Entertainment 207 5.84 0.973 Place 264 5.83 1.033 Content 249 5.78 0.916 Practical Issues 127 5.78 0.976 Social Event 71 5.77 0.794 Requested by Child 36 5.77 0.985 TOTAL 1439 5.83 0.945 Motivation to Come to the Interactive Space n Mean SD (ANOVA, F=2.626, df=7, p<.05, n=1438)	Interactive Space	n	Mean	SD	(ANOVA)
Entertainment 207 5.84 0.973 Place 264 5.83 1.033 Content 249 5.78 0.916 Practical Issues 127 5.78 0.976 Social Event 71 5.77 0.794 Requested by Child 36 5.77 0.985 TOTAL 1439 5.83 0.945 Motivation to Come to the Interactive Space n Mean SD (ANOVA, F=2.626, df=7, p<.05, n=1438) Content 248 5.84 0.85 Social Event 71 5.75 0.835	Place-Behavioral	62	5.91	1.066	
Place 264 5.83 1.033 Content 249 5.78 0.916 Practical Issues 127 5.78 0.976 Social Event 71 5.77 0.794 Requested by Child 36 5.77 0.985 TOTAL 1439 5.83 0.945 Motivation to Come to the Interactive Space n Mean SD (ANOVA, F=2.626, df=7, p<.05, n=1438)	Design	423	5.87	0.887	
Content 249 5.78 0.916 Practical Issues 127 5.78 0.976 Social Event 71 5.77 0.794 Requested by Child 36 5.77 0.985 TOTAL 1439 5.83 0.945 Motivation to Come to the Interactive Space Attitudes - Art SD YES (ANOVA, F=2.626, df=7, p<.05, n=1438)	Entertainment	207	5.84	0.973	
Practical Issues 127 5.78 0.976 Social Event 71 5.77 0.794 Requested by Child 36 5.77 0.985 TOTAL 1439 5.83 0.945 Motivation to Come to the Interactive Space Attitudes - Art YES Interactive Space n Mean SD (ANOVA, F=2.626, df=7, p<.05, n=1438)	Place	264	5.83	1.033	
Social Event 71 5.77 0.794 Requested by Child 36 5.77 0.985 TOTAL 1439 5.83 0.945 Motivation to Come to the Interactive Space Attitudes - Art YES Content 248 5.84 0.85 Social Event 71 5.75 0.835	Content	249	5.78	0.916	
Requested by Child 36 5.77 0.985 TOTAL 1439 5.83 0.945 Motivation to Come to the Interactive Space Attitudes - Art YES Content Mean SD (ANOVA, F=2.626, df=7, p<.05, n=1438) Content 248 5.84 0.85 Social Event 71 5.75 0.835	Practical Issues	127	5.78	0.976	
TOTAL1439 5.83 0.945 Motivation to Come to the Interactive SpaceAttitudes - Art nYES (ANOVA, F=2.626, df=7, p<.05, n=1438)	Social Event	71	5.77	0.794	
Motivation to Come to the Interactive Space Attitudes - Art Nean SD (ANOVA, F=2.626, df=7, p<.05, n=1438) Content Social Event 248 5.84 0.85 0.85 5.75 0.835 0.835	Requested by Child	36	5.77	0.985	
Interactive Space n Mean SD (ANOVA, F=2.626, df=7, p<.05, n=1438) Content 248 5.84 0.85 Social Event 71 5.75 0.835	TOTAL	1439	5.83	0.945	
Content 248 5.84 0.85 Social Event 71 5.75 0.835	Motivation to Come to the	A	ttitudes - A	Art	YES
Social Event 71 5.75 0.835	Interactive Space	n	Mean	SD	(ANOVA, F=2.626, df=7, p<.05, n=1438)
	Content	248	5.84	0.85	
Design 423 5.75 0.945	Social Event	71	5.75	0.835	
	Design	423	5.75	0.945	



Variable	Rele	Relevant Statistics Statistically Sig. I			
Entertainment	207	5.72	0.910	, ,	
Practical Issues	127	5.65	0.875		
Place	264	5.58	1.157		
Requested by Child	36	5.45	1.211		
Place-Behavioral	62	5.40	1.365		
TOTAL	1438	5.70	0.989		
Motivation to Come to the	Self	- Independ	lence	NO	
Interactive Space	n	Mean	SD	(ANOVA)	
Practical Issues	127	5.27	1.116		
Content	249	5.24	1.032		
Design	423	5.23	1.091		
Entertainment	207	5.11	1.164		
Requested by Child	36	5.07	1.307		
Social Event	71	5.06	1.024		
Place	264	5.02	1.201		
Place-Behavioral	62	4.98	1.292		
TOTAL	1439	5.16	1.128		
Motivation to Come to the	Self – F	Perspective	e Taking	YES	
Interactive Space	n	Mean	SD	(ANOVA, F=2.500, df=7, p<.05, n=1439)	
Content	248	5.24	1.085		
Design	423	5.12	1.10		
Entertainment	207	5.08	1.109		
Practical Issues	128	5.03	1.264		
Place	264	4.95	1.333		
Social Event	71	4.92	1.113		
Requested by Child	36	4.86	1.386		
Place-Behavioral	62	4.67	1.369		
TOTAL	1439	5.06	1.184		
Motivation to Come to the	Se	lf - Express	sion	YES	
Interactive Space	n	Mean	SD	(ANOVA, F=4.148, df=7, p<.05, n=1439)	
Content	248	5.31	1.011		
Design	423	5.20	1.060		
Practical Issues	128	5.17	1.147		
Entertainment	207	5.07	1.086		
Place	264	5.05	1.221		
Requested by Child	36	5.03	1.392		
Social Event	71	4.97	0.982		
Place-Behavioral	62	4.54	1.318		
TOTAL	1439	5.13	1.121		



Motivation to Come to the	Sel	f – Social S	kills	NO
Interactive Space	n	Mean	SD	(ANOVA)
Practical Issues	127	4.00	1.615	
Entertainment	207	3.88	1.558	
Social Event	71	3.84	1.410	
Design	423	3.74	1.611	
Content	248	3.71	1.555	
Place	264	3.69	1.584	
Requested by Child	36	3.69	1.780	
Place-Behavioral	62	3.59	1.604	
TOTAL	1438	3.77	1.583	
Motivation to Come to the	Kn	owledge -	Art	YES
Interactive Space	n	Mean	SD	(ANOVA, F=3.855, df=7, p<.05, n=1438)
Content	248	5.43	0.927	
Design	423	5.30	1.024	
Practical Issues	127	5.20	1.042	
Entertainment	207	5.19	1.060	
Social Event	71	5.13	1.068	
Place	264	5.11	1.247	
Place-Behavioral	62	4.84	1.336	
Requested by Child	36	4.83	1.335	
TOTAL	1438	5.22	1.092	
Motivation to Come to the	Comple	ment Hom	e-School	YES
Interactive Space	n	Mean	SD	(ANOVA, F=2.557, df=7, p<.05, n=1437)
Content	248	5.11	1.081	
Design	422	5.02	1.125	
Social Event	71	4.96	1.166	
Practical Issues	127	4.95	1.240	
Entertainment	207	4.82	1.118	
Place	264	4.79	1.289	
Requested by Child	36	4.76	1.287	
Place-Behavioral	62	4.65	1.233	
TOTAL	1437	4.93	1.174	



Table 54: Post Hoc (Mean Difference I-J): Benefits to Children by Motivation to Come to the Interactive Space

<u> </u>	ive Space			J				
Art Skills – Art	Place	Content	Social	Entertain	Practical	Design	Place-	Requested
Making			Event	ment	Issues		Behavioral	by Child
Place		309 [*]	186	121	150	298 [*]	.248	.066
Content	.309*		.123	0.188*	.159	.011	.557 [*]	.375*
Social Event	.186	123		.065	.035	113	.434*	.251
Entertainment	.121	188 [*]	065		029	177 [*]	.369 [*]	.187
Practical Issues	.150	159	035	.029		148	.398*	.216
Design	.298 [*]	011	.113	.177*	.148		.546*	.364*
Place-Behavioral	248	557 [*]	434 [*]	369 [*]	398 [*]	546 [*]		182
Requested by Child	066	375 [*]	251	187	216	364 [*]	.182	
Attitudes - Art	Place	Content	Social	Entertain	Practical	Design	Place-	Requested
Place		259 [*]	Event 171	ment 136	Issues 065	169 [*]	Behavioral .177	by Child .126
Content	.259 [*]	.233	.088	.123	.193	.089	.435*	.385*
Social Event	.171	088	.000	.035	.106	.002	.348*	.297
Entertainment	.136	123	035	1000	.070	034	.313*	.262
Practical Issues	.065	193	106	070	.07.0	104	.242	.192
Design	.169*	089	002	.034	.104		.346*	.296
Place-Behavioral	177	435 [*]	348*	313*	242	346 [*]	10.10	050
Requested by Child	126	385*	297	262	192	296	.050	.000
Self – Perspective	Place	Content	Social	Entertain	Practical	Design	Place-	Requested
Taking			Event	ment	Issues		Behavioral	by Child
Place		286 [*]	.028	133	078	164	.276	.090
Content	.286 [*]		.314*	.153	.208	.122	.562 [*]	.375
Social Event	028	314 [*]		161	106	193	.248	.061
Entertainment	.133	153	.161		.055	031	.409*	.223
Practical Issues	.078	208	.106	055		086	.354	.168
Design	.164	122	.193	.031	.086		.440*	.254
Place-Behavioral	276	562 [*]	248	409 [*]	354	440 [*]		186
Requested by Child	090	375	061	223	168	254	.186	
Self - Expression	Place	Content	Social	Entertain	Practical	Design	Place-	Requested
Place		251 [*]	Event .085	ment 011	Issues 119	146	Behavioral .512*	by Child .027
Content	.251*		.336*	.240*	.132	.105	.763*	.278
Social Event	085	336 [*]		097	204	231	.426*	058
Entertainment	.011	240 [*]	.097		108	135	.523*	.038
Practical Issues	.119	132	.204	.108		027	.631*	.146
Design	.146	105	.231	.135	.027		.658*	.173
Place-Behavioral	512 [*]	763 [*]	426 [*]	523 [*]	631 [*]	658 [*]		485 [*]
Requested by Child	027	278	.058	038	146	173	.485*	
-								



Knowledge - Art	Place	Content	Social Event	Entertain ment	Practical Issues	Design	Place- Behavioral	Requested by Child
Place		318 [*]	016	075	087	188 [*]	.270	.278
Content	.318*		.302*	.243*	.231	.130	.587*	.595*
Social Event	.016	302 [*]		059	071	172	.285	.293
Entertainment	.075	243 [*]	.059		012	113	.345*	.353
Practical Issues	.087	231	.071	.012			101	.357*
Design	.188*	130	.172	.113	.101		.458*	.466*
Place-Behavioral	270	587 [*]	285	345 [*]	357 [*]	458 [*]		.008
Requested by Child	278	595 [*]	293	353	365	466 [*]	008	
Complement	Place	Content	Social Event	Entertain ment	Practical Issues	Design	Place- Behavioral	Requested by Child
Home-School Place		319 [*]	173	036	158	227 [*]	.137	.032
Content	.319*		.147	.283*	.161	.092	.456 [*]	.351
Social Event	.173	147		.137	.014	054	.309	.204
Entertainment	.036	283 [*]	137		122	191	.173	.068
Practical Issues	.158	161	014	.122		069	.295	.190
Design	.227*	092	.054	.191	.069		.364*	.259
Place-Behavioral	137	456 [*]	309	173	295	364 [*]		105
Requested by Child	032	351	204	068	190	259	.105	

^{*.} The mean difference is significant at the 0.05 level.

In general terms, those motivated by the interactive space as a social event (desire to spend time together) tended to rate most of the specific benefits to parents for visiting the interactive space the highest. The two exceptions were the benefits of the space in developing parents' as a facilitator of art learning and as an opportunity to learn about child, which had much lower ratings by those motivated by a social event. As with specific benefits to children, those motivated by a request by a child or the space as a behavioral tool rated almost all of the benefits as one of the lowest. A few statistically significant differences were found related to the benefit of learning about the child:

 Those respondents who were motivated by the interactive space as a behavioral tool or by a request from the child perceived rated the benefits of the space in promoting learning about the child lower than did those motivated by the content or design of the space, and those motivated by practical issues or by an opportunity for entertainment.

^{&#}x27;I' smaller than 'J'; 'I' larger than 'J'



Table 55: Benefits to Parents by Motivation to Come to the Interactive Space

Variable Variable		Relevant Statistics		Statistically Sig. Diff.?
Motivation to Come to the	Art Sk	Art Skills – Art Making		NO
Interactive Space	n	Mean	SD	(ANOVA)
Social Event	71	4.75	1.449	
Design	423	4.69	1.573	
Content	248	4.57	1.734	
Practical Issues	126	4.52	1.681	
Entertainment	205	4.41	1.745	
Place	264	4.37	1.806	
Requested by Child	36	4.36	1.726	
Place-Behavioral	62	4.20	1.889	
TOTAL	1435	4.53	1.695	
Motivation to Come to the	Art Sk	ills – Obse	rvation	NO
Interactive Space	n	Mean	SD	(ANOVA)
Social Event	70	4.77	1.267	
Content	248	4.74	1.483	
Design	423	4.67	1.449	
Place	264	4.63	1.661	
Entertainment	207	4.56	1.573	
Practical Issues	127	4.55	1.533	
Requested by Child	36	4.36	1.501	
Place-Behavioral	62	4.22	1.661	
TOTAL	1437	4.63	1.525	
Motivation to Come to the	Attitud	les - Art M	useums	NO
Interactive Space	n	Mean	SD	(ANOVA)
Social Event	71	5.38	0.970	
Place	264	5.34	1.313	
Design	423	5.29	1.190	
Entertainment	207	5.26	1.333	
Practical Issues	127	5.25	1.347	
Content	248	5.21	1.303	
Requested by Child	36	5.05	1.426	
Place-Behavioral	62	4.98	1.446	
TOTAL	1438	5.26	1.276	
Motivation to Come to the	A	ttitudes - A	\rt	NO
Interactive Space	n	Mean	SD	(ANOVA)
Social Event	71	5.04	1.196	
Content	248	5.01	1.337	
Design	423	4.96	1.321	
Place	264	4.90	1.546	
Practical Issues	127	4.86	1.459	
Requested by Child	36	4.82	1.261	



Variable	Rele	evant Stati	stics	Statistically Sig. Diff.?
Entertainment	207	4.81	1.484	
Place-Behavioral	62	4.47	1.627	
TOTAL	1438	4.91	1.412	
Motivation to Come to the	Kn	owledge -	Art	NO
Interactive Space	n	Mean	SD	(ANOVA)
Social Event	71	4.70	1.310	
Content	248	4.64	1.501	
Design	422	4.59	1.406	
Practical Issues	127	4.53	1.459	
Place	264	4.51	1.631	
Entertainment	207	4.48	1.557	
Requested by Child	36	4.13	1.613	
Place-Behavioral	62	4.05	1.700	
TOTAL	1437	4.53	1.509	
Motivation to Come to the	Facilit	ator Art Le	arning	NO
Interactive Space	n	Mean	SD	(ANOVA)
Content	248	5.57	1.00	
Design	423	5.55	0.99	
Entertainment	207	5.52	1.009	
Practical Issues	128	5.50	1.005	
Place	264	5.48	1.223	
Social Event	71	5.43	0.960	
Place-Behavioral	62	5.43	1.133	
Requested by Child	36	5.34	1.175	
TOTAL	1439	5.52	1.051	
Motivation to Come to the	Succ	essful Pare	enting	NO
Interactive Space	n	Mean	SD	(ANOVA)
Practical Issues	128	4.73	1.463	
Social Event	70	4.68	1.455	
Content	247	4.63	1.496	
Design	423	4.61	1.465	
Entertainment	207	4.54	1.499	
Place	264	4.53	1.653	
Requested by Child	36	4.36	1.733	
Place-Behavioral	62	4.06	1.489	
TOTAL	1437	4.57	1.520	
Motivation to Come to the	Leari	ning about	Child	YES
Interactive Space	n	Mean	SD	(ANOVA, F=2.678, df=7, p<.05, n=1440)
Content	249	5.53	1.028	
Practical Issues	128	5.46	1.056	
Design	423	5.36	1.138	
Entertainment	207	5.34	1.135	



Variable	Rele	Relevant Statistics		Statistically Sig. Diff.?
Social Event	71	5.31	1.014	
Place	264	5.29	1.306	
Place-Behavioral	62	5.00	1.187	
Requested by Child	36	4.92	1.555	
TOTAL	1440	5.35	1.159	

Table 56: Post Hoc (Mean Difference I-J): Benefits to Parents by Motivation to Come to the Interactive Space

I				J				
Learning about Child	Place	Content	Social Event	Entertain ment	Practical Issues	Design	Place- Behavioral	Requested by Child
Place		241 [*]	024	048	167	069	.287	.373
Content	.241*		.218	.193	.075	.172	.528 [*]	.614*
Social Event	.024	218		024	143	045	.311	.397
Entertainment	.048	193	.024		119	021	.335*	.421*
Practical Issues	.167	075	.143	.119		.098	.454*	.540*
Design	.069	172	.045	.021	098		.356*	.442*
Place-Behavioral	287	528 [*]	311	335 [*]	454 [*]	356 [*]		.086
Requested by Child	373	614*	397	421*	540 [*]	442 [*]	086	

^{*.} The mean difference is significant at the 0.05 level.

In general terms, and following the same trend with specific benefits to children and to parent, those motivated by a request by a child or the space as a behavioral tool rated the specific benefits to the parent-child relationship as one of the lowest. Those motivated by the space as a destination tended rated the relationship scales as one of the highest, with the exception of the benefits related with group identity. No statistically significant differences were found.

Table 57: Benefits to the Parent-Child Relationship by Motivation to Come to the Interactive Space

Variable	Rel	Relevant Statistics Bonding		Statistically Sig. Diff.?
Motivation to Come to the				NO
Interactive Space	n	Mean	SD	(ANOVA)
Practical Issues	128	5.93	0.859	
Place	264	5.85	1.083	
Content	248	5.82	0.937	
Entertainment	207	5.81	0.918	
Design	423	5.81	0.997	
Social Event	71	5.73	0.941	
Requested by Child	36	5.64	1.328	
Place-Behavioral	62	5.49	1.266	

^{&#}x27;I' smaller than 'J'; 'I' larger than 'J'



Variable	Rele	vant Stati	istics	Statistically Sig. Diff.?
TOTAL	1439	5.81	1.002	
Motivation to Come to the	Co	onversatio	ns	NO
Interactive Space	n	Mean	SD	(ANOVA)
Place	264	5.19	1.417	
Design	423	5.19	1.335	
Content	248	5.16	1.321	
Entertainment	207	5.09	1.281	
Practical Issues	127	5.08	1.462	
Requested by Child	36	5.08	1.365	
Social Event	71	5.06	1.269	
Place-Behavioral	62	4.85	1.384	
TOTAL	1438	5.14	1.351	
Motivation to Come to the	Gı	roup Ident	ity	NO
Interactive Space	n	Mean	SD	(ANOVA)
Content	249	4.96	1.497	
Design	423	4.95	1.418	
Practical Issues	127	4.91	1.468	
Place	264	4.84	1.578	
Social Event	71	4.82	1.31	
Entertainment	207	4.79	1.391	
Requested by Child	36	4.68	1.701	
Place-Behavioral	62	4.29	1.445	
TOTAL	1439	4.86	1.470	
Motivation to Come to the	Me	mory Mal	king	NO
Interactive Space	n	Mean	SD	(ANOVA)
Place	264	6.03	1.071	
Practical Issues	127	6.01	0.885	
Entertainment	207	6.00	0.858	
Design	423	5.97	1.011	
Social Event	71	5.96	0.927	
Content	248	5.93	1.09	
Place-Behavioral	62	5.87	1.191	
Requested by Child	36	5.86	1.106	
TOTAL	1438	5.98	1.011	

How do perceived benefits of interactive spaces in art museums relate to the nature of families' museum visit?

The nature of families' museum visit, as measured by total number of stops, stops in galleries, and stops in family programs, was also compared with the perceived benefits of visiting the interactive spaces.



Total Number of Stops x Benefits

The number of times respondents stopped during their visit in general and in galleries did not present a statistically significant correlation with the overall benefits of the space for children, to parents, and to the parent-child relationship. On the other hand, positive trivial statistically significant correlations were found between stops at family programs and overall benefits to children and to the parent-child relationship. More specifically, as the number of family programs attended increased, so did the perceived benefit of the interactive spaces for children and for the relationship (Table 58).

Table 58: Overall Benefits by Number of Stops During that Visit

Overall Benefits	Number of Stops - Statistically Sig. Corr.? (p<.05)						
	Total	Galley Stops	Family Program Stops				
Overall – Children Scale	NO (Pearson's)	NO (Pearson's)	YES, Pos. (Pearson's				
			r=.061, p<.05, n=1502)				
Overall – Parent Scale	NO (Pearson's)	NO (Pearson's)	NO (Pearson's)				
Overall – Relationship	NO (Pearson's)	NO (Pearson's)	YES, Pos. (Pearson's				
Scale			r=.068, p<.05, n=1502)				
Large = 0.5 and above	Moderate = 0.3 to 0.5	Small = 0.1 to 0.3 Triv	ial = smaller 0.1				

A few small and trivial positive statistically significant correlations were found when comparing the specific benefits to children and the respondents' stops during the visit (Table 59).

- In regards to the total number of stops during the visit, as the number of stops increased, so did the benefit ratings related to children's art skills in observation, attitudes towards art museums, and self-development in perspective taking.
- The number of gallery stops also correlated with the benefits towards art skills in observation; as the number of stops increased, so did the rating of this benefit.
- The number of stops in family programs correlated with attitudes towards art museums and with self-development in social skills; as the number of stops increased, so did the ratings of these benefits.



Table 59: Benefits to Children by Number of Stops During that Visit

Benefits to Children	Number of Stops - Statistically Sig. Corr.? (p<.05)						
	Total	Galley Stops	Family Program Stops				
Art Skills – Art Making	NO (Pearson's)	NO (Pearson's)	NO (Pearson's)				
Art Skills – Observation	YES, Pos. (Pearson's r=	YES, Pos. (Pearson's r=	NO (Pearson's)				
	.085, p<.05, n=1500)	.073, p<.05, n=1500)					
Attitudes - Art Museums	YES, Pos. (Pearson's r=	NO (Pearson's)	YES, Pos. (Pearson's r=				
	.071, p<.05, n=1501)		.082, p<.05, n=1501)				
Attitudes - Art	NO (Pearson's)	NO (Pearson's)	NO (Pearson's)				
Self - Independence	NO (Pearson's)	NO (Pearson's)	NO (Pearson's)				
Self – Perspective Taking	YES, Pos. (Pearson's r=	NO (Pearson's)	NO (Pearson's)				
	.044, p<.05, n=1501)						
Self - Expression	NO (Pearson's)	NO (Pearson's)	NO (Pearson's)				
Self – Social Skills	NO (Pearson's)	NO (Pearson's)	YES, Pos. (Pearson's r=				
			.149, p<.05, n=1500)				
Knowledge - Art	NO (Pearson's)	NO (Pearson's)	NO (Pearson's)				
Complement Home-	NO (Pearson's)	NO (Pearson's)	NO (Pearson's)				
School							
Large = 0.5 and above	Moderate = 0.3 to 0.5	Small = 0.1 to 0.3 Trivia	al = smaller 0.1				

Only a couple of trivial positive statistically significant correlations were found when comparing the specific benefits to parents and the respondents' stops during the visit (Table 60).

- The benefit of the interactive space in promoting parents skills as a facilitator of art learning correlated with the total number of stops and the number of stops in family programs; in other words, as the number of stops increased, so did the ratings of these benefits.
- No statistically significant correlations were found between the number of gallery stops and specific benefits to parents.

Table 60: Benefits to Parents by Number of Stops During that Visit

Benefits to Parents	Number of	Number of Stops - Statistically Sig. Corr.? (p<.05)						
	Total	Galley Stops	Family Program Stops					
Art Skills – Art Making	NO (Pearson's)	NO (Pearson's)	NO (Pearson's)					
Art Skills – Observation	NO (Pearson's)	NO (Pearson's)	NO (Pearson's)					
Attitudes - Art Museums	NO (Pearson's)	NO (Pearson's)	NO (Pearson's)					
Attitudes - Art	NO (Pearson's)	NO (Pearson's)	NO (Pearson's)					
Knowledge - Art	NO (Pearson's)	NO (Pearson's)	NO (Pearson's)					
Facilitator Art Learning	YES, Pos. (Pearson's r=	YES, Pos. (Pearson's r= NO (Pearson's)						
	.064, p<.05, n=1501)		.058, p<.05, n=1501)					
Successful Parenting	NO (Pearson's)	NO (Pearson's)	NO (Pearson's)					
Learning about Child	NO (Pearson's)	NO (Pearson's)	NO (Pearson's)					
Large = 0.5 and above	Moderate = 0.3 to 0.5	Small = 0.1 to 0.3 Trivia	al = smaller 0.1					



Several trivial positive statistically significant correlations were found when comparing the specific benefits to the parent-child relationship and the respondents' stops during the visit (Table 61).

- As the total number of stops and the number of gallery stops increased, so did the rating of conversations as a benefit of the interactive space for the parent-child relationship.
- The ratings of group identity as a benefit also increased, as number of gallery stops increased.
- The number of family programs correlated with all four parent-child relationship benefits; in other words, as the number of stops increased, so did the ratings of these benefits.

Table 61: Benefits to the Parent-Child Relationship by Number of Stops During that Visit

Benefits to Relationship	Number of Stops - Statistically Sig. Corr.? (p<.05)							
	Total	Galley Stops	Family Program Stops					
Bonding	NO (Pearson's)	NO (Pearson's)	YES, Pos. (Pearson's r= .056, p<.05, n=1501)					
Conversations	YES, Pos. (Pearson's r= .099, p<.05, n=1500)	YES, Pos. (Pearson's r= .071, p<.05, n=1500)	YES, Pos. (Pearson's r= .063, p<.05, n=1500)					
Group Identity	YES, Pos. (Pearson's r= .053, p<.05, n=1501)	NO (Pearson's)	YES, Pos. (Pearson's r= .059, p<.05, n=1501)					
Memory Making	NO (Pearson's)	NO (Pearson's)	YES, Pos. (Pearson's r= .072, p<.05, n=1501)					
Large = 0.5 and above	Moderate = 0.3 to 0.5	$\frac{\text{Small}}{\text{Small}} = 0.1 \text{ to } 0.3$ Trivia	al = smaller 0.1					

Position of Interactive Space X Benefits

The position of the interactive space within the visit was compared with perceived benefits. In general, those who made multiple stops to the interactive space rated overall benefits to children, parents, and parent-child relationship the highest, while those who made the interactive space their first or last stop rated these benefits the lowest. Several statistically significant differences were found (see Tables 62-63):

- Respondents who made multiple stops in the interactive space rated the overall benefits to children, to parent, and to the relationship higher than those who made it their first or last stop. They also rated the benefits to the relationship higher than those who had the interactive space as their only stop.
- Respondents who made the interactive space their last stop in the visit rated the overall benefits to children, to parent, and to the relationship lower than those who made it their middle stop or made multiple stops.



Table 62: Overall Benefits by Position of the Interactive Space During That Visit

Variable	Rele	evant Stat	istics	Statistically Sig. Diff.?
Position of the Interactive Space	Overa	II – Childre	en Scale	YES
	n	Mean	SD	(ANOVA, F=3.960, df=4, p<.05, n=1500)
Multiple IS stops	106	5.36	0.821	
Only stop	210	5.18	0.827	
Middle stop	292	5.17	0.852	
First stop	238	5.09	0.897	
Last stop	654	5.04	0.859	
TOTAL	1500	5.12	0.860	
Position of the Interactive Space	Over	all – Paren	t Scale	YES
	n	Mean	SD	(ANOVA, F=3.107, df=4, p<.05, n=1500)
Multiple IS stops	106	5.24	1.171	
Middle stop	292	5.04	1.098	
Only stop	210	5.03	1.083	
First stop	238	4.93	1.107	
Last stop	654	4.88	1.132	
TOTAL	1500	4.97	1.121	
Position of the Interactive Space	Over	all – Relati	onship	YES
		Scale		(ANOVA, F=3.165, df=4, p<.05, n=1500)
	n	Mean	SD	
Multiple IS stops	106	5.77	0.990	
Middle stop	292	5.57	0.971	
Only stop	210	5.49	1.019	
First stop	238	5.46	1.115	
Last stop	654	5.42	1.078	
TOTAL	1500	5.49	1.053	



Table 63: Post Hoc (Mean Difference I-J): Overall Benefits by Position of the Interactive Space During That Visit

l l			J		
Overall – Children	Only stop	First stop	Middle stop	Last stop	Multiple IS stops
Scale					
Only stop		.081	.003	.133	184
First stop	081		078	.052	265 [*]
Middle stop	003	.078		.130 [*]	188
Last stop	133	052	130 [*]		318 [*]
Multiple IS stops	.184	.265*	.188	.318*	
Overall – Parent	Only stop	First stop	Middle stop	Last stop	Multiple IS stops
Scale					
Only stop		.101	014	.149	208
First stop	101		115	.047	310 [*]
Middle stop	.014	.115		.163*	194
Last stop	149	047	163 [*]		357 [*]
Multiple IS stops	.208	.310*	.194	.357 [*]	
Overall –	Only stop	First stop	Middle stop	Last stop	Multiple IS stops
Relationship Scale					
Only stop		.030	082	.070	285 [*]
First stop	030		112	.039	316 [*]
Middle stop	.082	.112		.151*	204
Last stop	070	039	151 [*]		355 [*]
Multiple IS stops	.285*	.316*	.204	.355 [*]	

^{*.} The mean difference is significant at the 0.05 level.

In general, similarly to the overall benefits' comparisons, those who made multiple stops to the interactive space rated specific benefits to children the highest, while those who made the interactive space their first or last stop rated these benefits as one of the lowest. Several statistically significant differences were found, some of which are presented below:

- Respondents who made the interactive space their only stop rated art skills in observation lower than those who made the interactive space their middle or last stop, or made multiple stops.
- Respondents who made multiple stops in the interactive space rated the benefit to self-development in perspective taking higher than all other groups.
- Those who had the interactive space visit as their last stop rated the benefits to selfdevelopment in independence, in expression, and in social skill, and the benefits in complementing home-school learning, lower than those who had the interactive space as their only stop or made multiple stops.

^{&#}x27;I' smaller than 'J'; 'I' larger than 'J'



• No statistically significant differences were found in the art-related benefits, such as art skills in art making, attitudes towards art museums and art, and knowledge of art.

Table 64: Benefits to Children by Position of the Interactive Space During That Visit

Variable	Relevant Statistics			Statistically Sig. Diff.?
Position of the Interactive	Art S	kills – Art M	aking	NO
Space	n	Mean	SD	(ANOVA)
Multiple IS stops	106	5.84	0.995	
Only stop	210	5.73	0.873	
Middle stop	292	5.71	0.907	
Last stop	654	5.65	0.997	
First stop	238	5.61	0.958	
TOTAL	1500	5.68	0.957	
Position of the Interactive	Art Sl	kills – Obser	vation	YES
Space	n	Mean	SD	(ANOVA, F=2.524, df=4, p<.05, n=1498)
Multiple IS stops	106	5.18	1.333	
Middle stop	292	5.01	1.303	
Last stop	653	4.93	1.309	
First stop	238	4.91	1.352	
Only stop	209	4.72	1.393	
TOTAL	1498	4.93	1.331	
Position of the Interactive	Attitu	des - Art Mu	iseums	NO
Space	n	Mean	SD	(ANOVA)
Multiple IS stops	106	6.00	0.884	
Middle stop	292	5.92	0.875	
Last stop	654	5.79	0.993	
Only stop	209	5.78	0.916	
First stop	238	5.77	0.979	
TOTAL	1499	5.83	0.952	
Position of the Interactive	P	Attitudes - A	rt	NO
Space	n	Mean	SD	(ANOVA)
Multiple IS stops	106	5.82	0.916	
Middle stop	292	5.76	0.943	
First stop	238	5.68	1.063	
Last stop	654	5.68	1.032	
Only stop	208	5.63	0.900	
TOTAL	1498	5.70	0.995	
Position of the Interactive	Self	- Independe	ence	YES
Space	n	Mean	SD	(ANOVA, F=3.812, df=4, p<.05, n=1499)
Multiple IS stops	106	5.41	1.041	
Only stop	209	5.34	0.975	
First stop	238	5.18	1.158	



Variable	Relevant Statistics		stics	Statistically Sig. Diff.?
Last stop	654	5.06	1.162	
TOTAL	1499	5.16	1.130	
Position of the Interactive	Self –	Perspective	Taking	YES
Space	n	Mean	SD	(ANOVA, F=2.687, df=4, p<.05, n=1499)
Multiple IS stops	106	5.39	1.124	
Middle stop	292	5.11	1.154	
Only stop	209	5.05	1.169	
First stop	238	5.05	1.304	
Last stop	654	4.99	1.179	
TOTAL	1499	5.06	1.193	
Position of the Interactive	Se	elf - Expressi	on	YES
Space	n	Mean	SD	(ANOVA, F=3.365, df=4, p<.05, n=1499)
Multiple IS stops	106	5.36	1.051	
Only stop	209	5.21	1.036	
Middle stop	292	5.20	1.106	
First stop	238	5.15	1.209	
Last stop	654	5.02	1.130	
TOTAL	1499	5.13	1.124	
Position of the Interactive	Se	lf – Social Sk	kills	YES
Space	n	Mean	SD	(ANOVA, F=6.398, df=4, p<.05, n=1498)
Multiple IS stops	106	4.21	1.701	
Only stop	208	4.09	1.520	
First stop	238	3.81	1.487	
Middle stop	292	3.73	1.578	
Last stop	654	3.60	1.574	
TOTAL	1498	3.77	1.575	
Position of the Interactive	Kı	nowledge - A	4rt	NO
Space	n	Mean	SD	(ANOVA)
Multiple IS stops	106	5.43	1.067	
Middle stop	292	5.33	1.022	
First stop	238	5.22	1.196	
Last stop	654	5.20	1.081	
Only stop	208	5.12	1.136	
TOTAL	1498	5.23	1.098	
Position of the Interactive	Comple	ement Home	e-School	YES
Space	n	Mean	SD	(ANOVA, F=4.843, df=4, p<.05, n=1497)
Multiple IS stops	106	5.17	1.197	
Only stop	208	5.08	1.128	
Middle stop	292	5.08	1.099	
First stop	238	4.85	1.203	
Last stop	653	4.83	1.192	
TOTAL	1497	4.94	1.174	



Table 65: Post Hoc (Mean Difference I-J): Benefits to Children by Position of the Interactive Space During That Visit

1			J		
Art Skills –	Only stop	First stop	Middle stop	Last stop	Multiple IS stops
Observation			*	*	*
Only stop		188	287 [*]	216 [*]	462 [*]
First stop	.188	ı	100	029	274
Middle stop	.287	.100		.071	174
Last stop	.216*	.029	071		245
Multiple IS stops	.462*	.274	.174	.245	
Self - Independence	Only stop	First stop	Middle stop	Last stop	Multiple IS stops
Only stop		.158	.187	.274*	071
First stop	158		.029	.116	229
Middle stop	187	029		.087	258 [*]
Last stop	274 [*]	116	087		345*
Multiple IS stops	.071	.229	.258*	.345*	
Self – Perspective	Only stop	First stop	Middle stop	Last stop	Multiple IS stops
Taking					*
Only stop		.006	062	.057	338 [*]
First stop	006		068	.051	344*
Middle stop	.062	.068		.119	276 [*]
Last stop	057	051	119	*	395 [*]
Multiple IS stops	.338*	.344*	.276*	.395*	
Self - Expression	Only stop	First stop	Middle stop	Last stop	Multiple IS stops
Only stop		.063	.010	.194*	151
First stop	063		052	.131	214
Middle stop	010	.052		.183*	162
Last stop	194*	131	183 [*]		345 [*]
Multiple IS stops	.151	.214	.162	.345*	
Self – Social Skills	Only stop	First stop	Middle stop	Last stop	Multiple IS stops
Only stop		.283	.364*	.494*	121
First stop	283		.081	.211	404 [*]
Middle stop	364 [*]	081		.130	485 [*]
Last stop	494*	211	130		615 [*]
Multiple IS stops	.121	.404*	.485*	.615*	
Complement Home-	Only stop	First stop	Middle stop	Last stop	Multiple IS stops
School		- *		_ *	
Only stop	*	.231*	002	.256 [*]	091 *
First stop	231 [*]		233 [*]	.025	322 [*]
Middle stop	.002	.233*		.258*	089



Last stop	256 [*]	025	258 [*]		347 [*]
Multiple IS stops	.091	.322*	.089	.347*	

^{*.} The mean difference is significant at the 0.05 level.

In general, similarly to the overall benefits' comparisons, those who made multiple stops to the interactive space rated specific benefits to parents the highest, while those who made the interactive space their first or last stop rated these benefits as one of the lowest (Tables 66-67). Several statistically significant differences were found, some of which are presented below:

- Respondents who made multiple stops in the interactive space rated the benefits related to art skills in observation, to attitudes towards art museum, and to facilitating art learning higher than most groups.
- Those who had the interactive space visit as their last stop rated the benefits related to successful parenting and learning about child lower than those who had the interactive space as their first stop or made multiple stops.
- Respondents who had the interactive space as their middle stop rated the benefit in facilitating art learning higher than most of the others.
- No statistically significant differences were found in the art-related benefits, such as art skills in art making, attitudes towards art, and knowledge of art.

Table 66: Benefits to Parents by Position of the Interactive Space During That Visit

Variable	Relevant Statistics		tics	Statistically Sig. Diff.?
Position of the Interactive	Art Skills – Art Making		aking	NO
Space	n	Mean	SD	(ANOVA)
Middle stop	290	4.70	1.619	
Only stop	208	4.60	1.581	
Multiple IS stops	106	4.59	1.882	
First stop	238	4.47	1.677	
Last stop	653	4.46	1.734	
TOTAL	1495	4.54	1.694	
Position of the Interactive	Art Skills – Observation		vation	YES
Space	n	Mean	SD	(ANOVA, F=2.460, df=4, p<.05, n=1497)
Multiple IS stops	106	4.98	1.657	
Middle stop	292	4.75	1.483	
Last stop	653	4.58	1.505	
Only stop	208	4.55	1.531	
First stop	238	4.52	1.521	
TOTAL	1497	4.63	1.521	

^{&#}x27;I' smaller than 'J'; 'I' larger than 'J'



Position of the Interactive	Attitudes - Art Museums		ıseums	YES
Space	n	Mean	SD	(ANOVA, F=3.135, df=4, p<.05, n=1498)
Multiple IS stops	106	5.62	1.174	
Only stop	208	5.36	1.128	
Middle stop	292	5.29	1.341	
First stop	238	5.24	1.281	
Last stop	654	5.18	1.306	
TOTAL	1498	5.27	1.280	
Position of the Interactive	P	Attitudes - A	rt	NO
Space	n	Mean	SD	(ANOVA)
Multiple IS stops	106	5.11	1.538	
Middle stop	292	4.99	1.418	
Only stop	208	4.90	1.337	
First stop	238	4.90	1.341	
Last stop	654	4.86	1.435	
TOTAL	1498	4.91	1.411	
Position of the Interactive	Kı	nowledge - A	Art	NO
Space	n	Mean	SD	(ANOVA)
Multiple IS stops	106	4.79	1.651	
Middle stop	292	4.63	1.409	
First stop	238	4.54	1.508	
Only stop	208	4.50	1.446	
Last stop	653	4.48	1.546	
TOTAL	1497	4.54	1.509	
Position of the Interactive	Facili	tator Art Lea	arning	YES
Space	n	Mean	SD	(ANOVA, F=4.619, df=4, p<.05, n=1499)
Multiple IS stops	106	5.82	0.905	
Middle stop	292	5.65	1.014	
Last stop	654	5.47	1.068	
First stop	238	5.44	1.109	
Only stop	209	5.43	1.035	
TOTAL	1499	5.52	1.054	
Position of the Interactive	Suc	cessful Parei	nting	YES
Space	n	Mean	SD	(ANOVA, F=5.465, df=4, p<.05, n=1497)
Multiple IS stops	106	4.92	1.540	
Only stop	209	4.84	1.474	
Middle stop	292	4.64	1.431	
First stop	238	4.61	1.507	
Last stop	652	4.39	1.572	
TOTAL	1497	4.58	1.528	



Position of the Interactive	Learning about Child		Child	YES
Space	n	Mean	SD	(ANOVA, F=3.881, df=4, p<.05, n=1500)
Multiple IS stops	106	5.64	1.063	
Only stop	210	5.52	1.058	
Middle stop	292	5.39	1.116	
First stop	238	5.30	1.194	
Last stop	654	5.26	1.204	
TOTAL	1500	5.36	1.161	

Table 67: Post Hoc (Mean Difference I-J): Benefits to Parents by Position of the Interactive Space During That Visit

I			J		
Art Skills –	Only stop	First stop	Middle stop	Last stop	Multiple IS stops
Observation					
Only stop		.034	198	031	426 [*]
First stop	034		231	065	460 [*]
Middle stop	.198	.231		.166	228
Last stop	.031	.065	166		394 [*]
Multiple IS stops	.426*	.460*	.228	.394*	
Attitudes - Art	Only stop	First stop	Middle stop	Last stop	Multiple IS stops
Museums					
Only stop		.114	.069	.178	265
First stop	114		045	.065	379 [*]
Middle stop	069	.045		.109	334*
Last stop	178	065	109		444*
Multiple IS stops	.265	.379 [*]	.334*	.444*	
Facilitator Art	Only stop	First stop	Middle stop	Last stop	Multiple IS stops
Learning					
Only stop		014	228 [*]	039	397 [*]
First stop	.014		214 [*]	025	383 [*]
Middle stop	.228 [*]	.214*		.189*	169
Last stop	.039	.025	189 [*]		358 [*]
Multiple IS stops	.397*	.383*	.169	.358*	
Successful Parenting	Only stop	First stop	Middle stop	Last stop	Multiple IS stops
Onlyston		.236	.208	.448*	082
Only stop	226	.230			
First stop	236		028	.212	317
Middle stop	208	.028		.241*	289
Last stop	448 [*]	212	241*		530 [*]
Multiple IS stops	.082	.317	.289	.530 [*]	



Learning about Child	Only stop	First stop	Middle stop	Last stop	Multiple IS stops
Only stop		.212	.126	.253 [*]	127
First stop	212		086	.041	339 [*]
Middle stop	126	.086		.127	253
Last stop	253 [*]	041	127		380 [*]
Multiple IS stops	.127	.339*	.253	.380 [*]	

^{*.} The mean difference is significant at the 0.05 level.

In general, similarly to the overall benefits' comparisons, those who made multiple stops to the interactive space rated specific benefits to the parent-child relationship the highest, while those who made the interactive space their first or last stop rated these benefits as one of the lowest (Tables 68-69). Several statistically significant differences were found, some of which are presented below:

- Respondents who made multiple stops to the interactive space rated the benefits related to bonding higher than those who made the interactive space their first or last stop. Those respondents also rated the benefit of promoting conversations higher than any other group.
- Respondents who made the interactive space their middle stop rated the benefit of conversations higher than those who had the interactive space as their only or their last stop.
- No statistically significant differences were found in the benefits related to memory-making and building group identity.

Table 68: Benefits to the Parent-Child Relationship by Position of the Interactive Space During
That Visit

Variable	Rel	evant Statis	tics	Statistically Sig. Diff.?
Position of the Interactive		Bonding		YES
Space	n	Mean	SD	(ANOVA, F=2.920, df=4, p<.05, n=1499)
Multiple IS stops	106	6.04	0.934	
Only stop	209	5.87	0.913	
Middle stop	292	5.86	0.921	
First stop	238	5.79	1.031	
Last stop	654	5.73	1.062	
TOTAL	1499	5.80	1.005	
Position of the Interactive	(Conversation	ıs	YES
Space	n	Mean	SD	(ANOVA, F=4.529, df=4, p<.05, n=1498)
Multiple IS stops	106	5.57	1.196	
Middle stop	292	5.27	1.273	

^{&#}x27;I' smaller than 'J'; 'I' larger than 'J'



Variable	Relevant Statistics		tics	Statistically Sig. Diff.?
First stop	238	5.10	1.427	
Last stop	654	5.08	1.344	
Only stop	208	4.98	1.421	
TOTAL	1498	5.14	1.352	
Position of the Interactive	G	iroup Identi	ty	NO
Space	n	Mean	SD	(ANOVA)
Multiple IS stops	106	5.23	1.398	
Middle stop	292	4.93	1.404	
First stop	238	4.84	1.533	
Only stop	209	4.83	1.433	
Last stop	654	4.78	1.497	
TOTAL	1499	4.86	1.472	
Position of the Interactive	М	emory Maki	ing	NO
Space	n	Mean	SD	(ANOVA)
Multiple IS stops	106	6.11	0.927	
Middle stop	292	6.08	0.907	
Only stop	208	5.95	0.996	
Last stop	654	5.93	1.040	
First stop	238	5.91	1.121	
TOTAL	1498	5.97	1.016	

Table 69: Post Hoc (Mean Difference I-J): Benefits to the Parent-Child Relationship by Position of the Interactive Space During That Visit

I			J		
Bonding	Only stop	First stop	Middle stop	Last stop	Multiple IS stops
Only stop		.079	.008	.140	177
First stop	079		071	.061	256 [*]
Middle stop	008	.071		.132	185
Last stop	140	061	132		317*
Multiple IS stops	.177	.256*	.185	.317*	
Conversations	Only stop	First stop	Middle stop	Last stop	Multiple IS stops
Only stop		120	287 [*]	093	588 [*]
First stop	.120		168	.027	468 [*]
Middle stop	.287*	.168		.195*	300 [*]
Last stop	.093	027	195 [*]		495 [*]
Multiple IS stops	.588*	.468*	.300*	.495*	

^{*.} The mean difference is significant at the 0.05 level.

'I' smaller than 'J'; 'I' larger than 'J'



Appendices

Appendix 1 Sample Online Questionnaire

Family Learning in Art Museums - Frist Center for the Visual Arts

Welcome!

Thank you for speaking with us at the Frist Center for the Visual Arts the other day. We really enjoyed learning about your experiences at ArtQuest. We look forward to including your opinions in this part of the study as well.

This online questionnaire should take about 15 minutes. Once you have completed it, you will be entered in a monthly raffle for a \$100 American Express Gift Card.

If you have any questions about this study or problems completing the questionnaire, please contact Jessica Luke at artmuseumresearch@ilinet.org.

Thank you again for participating in this research!

To get started, click "Next Page"

1) Before you begin, please enter your Participant ID# in the space below.

Your ID# can be found in the email invitation that contained the link to this survey. The ID# is located just below the web link.

Participant ID#:	



THINGS TO CONSIDER:

We want to know more about what visitors value about the interactive galleries in art museums, such as ArtQuest. As you complete this questionnaire, please keep in mind four very important points:

Focus only on your visit to ArtQuest...

NOT the entire Frist Center or other programs that happen in the museum when you were there. We are trying to understand what is valuable only about ArtQuest.

Focus on the group that came with you to ArtQuest that day... when you answered the first part of the study. We understand that your experiences in ArtQuest may change depending on who is visiting the gallery with you.

Focus on what YOU think is valuable...

rather than what your child or other adults in your group may think is valuable. We cannot study everyone's opinions at the same time and we are really interested in what you, as an adult and caregiver, think.

Try to discern what is more or less valuable for you.

When reading the questions, it may be tempting to tell us that everything is valuable. But if you think about the different activities your group does together, you likely value different experiences in different ways. What is valuable about going to a state park is likely different from what is valuable about going to ArtQuest.

In the following questions, you will be asked to tell us what you think is most valuable about a visit to ArtQuest.

First, we will ask you what you think is valuable to your child(ren). Then, we will ask what is valuable to you. Finally, we will ask what is valuable for your group <u>together</u>.

LET'S BEGIN...



What do you think is most valuable about a visit to ArtQuest for your child(ren)?

What I value about ArtQuest is that, there, MY CHILD(REN) ...

	Not at all what I value about	2	3	4	5	6	All that I value about the
	the						space
	space						эрасс
learn how art is made	O	0	O	O	O	C	O
further their interest in art	O	0	O	O	O	C	O
consider a different point of view	O	0	O	O	O	O	O
use materials they don't have at school	O	0	O	O	O	C	O
feel welcome in the museum	O	0	O	O	O	O	O
have experiences that build on what they learn at school	O	O	O	O	O	O	0
experiment with various materials	O	O	O	0	O	O	O
play with other children	O	0	O	0	0	O	O
express their feelings	O	O	0	O	O	C	O
think about a problem in a new way	O	0	O	0	O	O	O
observe the details in a work of art	O	0	O	O	O	O	O
use materials they don't have at home	O	O	0	0	0	O	O
learn by doing	O	O	0	0	0	O	O
open their minds to new ideas	O	O	0	O	0	O	O
have experiences that build on what they learn at	O	O	0	O	0	0	0
home							
become curious about art	O	O	O	O	O	O	O
learn about the elements of art (e.g., line, shape color, texture)	O	0	O	•	O	O	O
feel that art museums are fun	O	O	O	O	O	C	C
work independently	C	0	O	O	O	C	O
practice getting along with others	O	0	O	O	O	C	O
feel like they accomplished something	O	0	O	O	O	C	C
become more aware of different types of art	C	0	O	O	O	C	C
feel excited about coming to the museum	C	0	O	O	O	C	C
practice different art techniques	C	0	O	O	O	C	C
look carefully at a work of art	O	0	O	O	O	O	O
feel excited about art	O	0	O	O	O	O	O
learn something about themselves	O	0	O	O	O	O	O
choose what they want to do	O	O	O	O	O	O	O
meet new people	O	O	O	O	O	C	C
figure things out for themselves	C	0	O	O	O	C	C
engage in conversations with other children	C	O	O	O	O	O	O
express themselves creatively	C	O	O	O	O	O	O
make art	O	0	O	O	O	O	O



What do you think is most valuable about a visit to ArtQuest for YOU?

What I value about ArtQuest is that, there, I ...

	Not at all what I value about the space	2	3	4	5	6	All that I value about the space
feel welcome in the museum	O	O	O	O	O	0	0
look carefully at a work of art	O	O	O	O	O	0	O
feel that art museums are fun	O	O	O	O	O	0	O
become curious about art	O	0	O	O	O	0	0
learn something about myself, as a parent/caregiver	O	O	O	O	O	O	0
feel like a good parent/ caregiver	O	0	O	O	O	0	0
observe what my child(ren) are capable of doing	O	0	O	O	O	0	0
facilitate a learning experience for my child(ren)	O	0	O	O	O	0	0
better understand my child(ren)'s interests	O	0	O	O	O	0	0
become more aware of different types of art	O	0	O	0	O	0	0
practice different art techniques	O	0	O	O	O	0	0
experiment with various materials	O	0	O	O	O	0	0
gain insight into my child(ren)'s personality	O	0	O	O	O	0	0
feel confident taking my child(ren) into the rest of the museum	O	O	O	O	O	O	0
feel excited about art	O	0	0	O	0	0	O
further my interest in art	O	O	O	O	O	0	0
feel that I can facilitate conversations about art with my child(ren)	0	O	O	O	O	O	0
see how my child(ren) solve problems	O	0	0	O	0	0	O
better understand what I am good at, as a parent/caregiver	0	O	O	O	O	O	O
learn about the elements of art (e.g., line, shape, color, texture)	0	O	O	O	O	O	0
feel excited about coming to the museum	O	O	O	O	O	O	O
observe the details in a work of art	O	O	•	O	O	O	O
learn how art is made	O	O	•	O	O	O	O



You have told us what's most valuable about ArtQuest for your children, and you personally.

Now please tell us what you find valuable about the space for both of you together.

What I value about ArtQuest is that, there, WE ...

	Not at all what I value about the space	2	3	4	5	6	All that I value about the space
build a shared memory	C	0	C	0	C	C	O
have fun together	O	O	O	O	O	O	O
learn about each other	C	0	O	0	O	C	O
express our values	C	0	O	0	O	C	O
strengthen our values	C	0	O	0	O	C	O
talk about things that are important to us	C	0	O	0	O	C	O
spend quality time together	C	0	O	0	O	0	0
get closer to each other	C	0	O	0	O	O	O
make art a central part of who we are	C	0	O	O	O	O	O
build positive memories	C	0	O	0	O	O	O
play together	C	0	O	0	O	O	O
talk about art	C	C	O	C	O	O	O

Thinking back to your visit to the Frist Center that day How did your experience in ArtQuest influence you in the rest of the museum, in terms of what you did or how you felt in the other galleries?					
Since your visit to the Frist Center that day Please describe a conversation you have had with other people specifically about your visit to ArtQuest. What did you talk about, and with whom?					
Is there anything else you would like to share with us about your group's experience at ArtQuest?					



As a thank-you for participating in this study, would you like to enter our monthly drawing for a \$100 American Express Gift Card?

O No O Yes

To review your responses, click the "Previous Page" button. Otherwise, click "Submit Survey" to send in your responses.

Thank you for completing the Family Learning in Art Museums questionnaire. We appreciate your time and effort in sharing your experiences with us. Your opinions will be incorporated into this study and enable museums to develop meaningful spaces for visitors like you.



Appendix 2 Sample Onsite Interview Instrument



Families in Art Museums: ONSITE INTERVIEW

these pictur visit today. gallery you an activity them in or	ng I'd like you to re cards to re Each card r may have spen you may have rder for me i n order of wha	ecreate your epresents a ttime in, or e done. Put representing	ı	Visitor ID: Date: Time Interviewed:		
	1 (Orig)	2 (Spur)		Group Composition:		
1 st			v	OUR MUSEUM VISI	T EVDEDIENI	CE.
2nd			_			
3rd			1.	Including this visit, how visited the <i>Frist Center for last 12 months</i> ?		
4 th					times	
5 th					times	
6 th			2.	How many times have yo the <u>last 12 months</u> ?	ou visited other	museums in
7 th				Art museums (not the Frist)	times	None
8 th					times	None
6				•	times	None
9th					times times	☐ None
10 th			3.	Do you currently have a	membership	
11 th					Yes	No
11				at the Frist Center?		
12 th				at another museum?		Ш
13 th						



4. Why did you come to the Frist Center today?	•
--	---

5. While you were at the *Frist Center* today, did you pick up and/or use any of the following items?

	No	Pick Up	Use
Gallery Guides – printed or audio			
Family Activity Pack			

6. Over the <u>last 12 months</u>, how often have you participated or used the following family programs:

Kid's Club	times
Summer Camp	times
Free Family Days	times
Story Time	times
Lectures/Presentations	times
Other:	times

YOUR INTEREST IN ART

7. I'm going to read you six statements. For each one, please tell me whether or not it describes you by answering Yes or No.

	Yes	No
I create art for my own enjoyment.		
I have participated in art enrichment classes in my free time. (e.g., art-making, lectures, gallery talks, etc.)		
I have taken 2 or more art courses in school.		
I have an art-related degree.		
I create art professionally.		
I work or have worked in an art-related field.		



8.	How often did you visit museums as a child?
	☐ Never
	Maybe once
	Occasionally
	Often
YO	UR ARTQUEST EXPERIENCE
9.	Had you heard about ArtQuest before today?
	☐ Yes
	□ No
10.	Including this visit, how many times have you been to ArtQuest in the <u>last 12 months?</u>
	times
11	Why did you go to ArtQuest during your visit to the museum today?



Tell us about yourself

12. Who are you visiting the museum with today? List everyone in your group, including yourself.	13. What is your ethnic origin? [Check all that apply]
Sex Age	☐ African American ☐ Asian/Pacific Islander ☐ Caucasian
1. MYSELF F M OTHERS: [Please indicate relationship to you – i.e. husband, friend, daughter, mother, etc.]	Hispanic/Latino Native American
2 F M	14. Please indicate your educational
4	background: Some high school High school graduate
5 F M	Some college College degree
7 F M	☐ Some graduate work☐ Graduate degree
8 F M 9 F M	Other (please describe) 15. What is your zip code?
10	[If outside of U.S., please indicate country] Zip Code

P	Please provide us with YOUR CONTACT INFORMATION							
In order for us to contact you to complete the second part of this research study, we need some additional information from you.								
Name:								
Email:								
Phone:								
	This number is for my: Home Work Cell							

4 of 4